

# Algebraic Topology II – Lecture 17

Nathan Broaddus

February 25, 2015

## 1 Poincaré Duality

### 1.1 Orientation in manifolds

- $R$  a ring (usually  $R = \mathbf{Z}$  or  $R = \mathbf{Z}_2$ ).
- $M$  an  $n$ -manifold.
- **Open ball**  $B$  of  $M$  is image under some chart  $\phi : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow M$  of a ball of radius  $\varepsilon > 0$ .
- Open balls give basis for topology on  $M$ .
- For all  $x \in M$  let  $B$  be an open ball neighborhood of  $x$ . By excising  $M - B$

$$\begin{aligned} H_n(M|x; R) &\cong H_n(B, B - \{x\}; R) \\ &\cong \tilde{H}_{n-1}(B, B - \{x\}; R) \\ &\cong \tilde{H}_{n-1}(S^{n-1}; R) \\ &\cong R \end{aligned}$$

**Definition 1.1** (Local orientation). A **local  $R$ -orientation** at  $x \in M$  is a choice of unit

$$\mu_x \in H_n(M, M - \{x\}; R) \cong R$$

**Definition 1.2** (Local homology and localization).

- Fix a topological space  $X$  and abelian group  $G$ .
- For  $A \subset X$  the **local homology** at  $A$  with coefficients in  $G$  is

$$H_k(X|A; G) := H(X, X - A; G)$$

- Similarly if  $x \in X$  the **local homology** at  $x$  with coefficients in  $G$  is

$$H_k(X|x; G) := H(X, X - \{x\}; G)$$

- If  $A \subset B \subset X$  then we have the inclusion map of topological pairs

$$i^B : (X, X - B) \rightarrow (X, X - A)$$

which induces the **localization** to  $A$

$$i_*^A : H_k(X|B; G) \rightarrow H_k(X|A; G)$$

- Note that the domain of  $i_*^A$  is ambiguous but should be clear from context.

Let  $\alpha : M \rightarrow \coprod_{x \in M} H_n(M|x; R)$  be a function such that

$$\alpha(x) \in H_n(M|x; R) \cong R$$

**Definition 1.3** (Locally consistent).  $\alpha$  is **locally consistent** if for all  $x \in M$  there is an open ball neighborhood  $B \subset M$  of  $x$  and  $\alpha_B \in H_n(M|B; R)$  such that for all  $y \in B$  the inclusion map  $i^y : (M, M - B) \rightarrow (M, M - \{y\})$  induces  $i_*^y : H_n(M|B; R) \rightarrow H_n(M|y; R)$  satisfying

$$i_*^y(\alpha_B) = \alpha(y)$$

**Definition 1.4** (Orientation). An  **$R$ -orientation** on the manifold  $M$  is a function  $\mu : M \rightarrow \coprod_{x \in M} H_n(M|x; R)$  with

$$\mu(x) \in H_n(M|x; R) \cong R$$

a unit for all  $x \in M$  which furthermore is locally consistent.

### $R$ -module of locally consistent functions

- Set

$$\Gamma_R(M) = \left\{ \alpha \mid \alpha : M \rightarrow \coprod_{x \in M} H_n(M|x; R) \text{ locally consistent} \right\}$$

to be the set of locally consistent functions on  $M$ .

- Sums and scalar multiples of locally consistent functions are locally consistent so  $\Gamma_R(M)$  is an  $R$ -module.
- Let  $\ell : H_n(M; R) \rightarrow \Gamma_R(M)$  be  $R$ -module homomorphism where for  $\alpha_M \in H_n(M; R) = H_n(M|M; R)$  we set  $\ell(\alpha_M) \in \Gamma_R(M)$  to be the function

$$\ell(\alpha_M)(x) = i_*^x(\alpha_M) \quad \text{for } x \in M.$$

- We will show  $\ell$  is an isomorphism for closed  $R$ -orientable  $M$ .

**Definition 1.5.**

$$M_R \stackrel{\text{setwise}}{=} \left\{ (x, \alpha_x) \mid x \in M \text{ and } \alpha_x \in H_n(M|x; R) \right\}$$

For each open ball  $B \subset M$  and  $\alpha_B \in H_n(M|B; R) \cong R$  set

$$U(B, \alpha_B) = \left\{ (x, \alpha_x) \mid x \in B \text{ and } \alpha_x = i_*^x(\alpha_B) \right\}$$

Topologize  $M_R$  using basis

$$\left\{ U(B, \alpha_B) \mid B \text{ open ball of } M \text{ and } \alpha_B \in H_n(M|B; R) \right\}$$

The map  $p : M_R \rightarrow M$  with  $p(x, \alpha_x) = x$  is a covering map.

A **section** of  $M_R$  is a continuous map  $s : M \rightarrow M_R$  with  $p(s(x)) = x$  for all  $x \in M$ . Note that sections of  $M_R$  correspond 1-1 with locally consistent functions  $\alpha : M \rightarrow \coprod_{x \in M} H_n(M, M - \{x\}; R)$  via the bijection  $s \mapsto \alpha$  with  $s(x) = (x, \alpha(x))$

The following lemma allows us to “integrate” our locally described orientation (or any other locally consistent function) to get a unique homology class on an arbitrarily large compact subset  $K \subset M$ .

**Lemma 1.6.**  *$M$  an  $n$ -manifold and  $K \subset M$  compact. Then*

1.  $H_k(M|K; R) = 0$  for  $k > n$ .
2.  $\alpha_K \in H_k(M|K; R)$  is 0 if and only if  $i_*^x(\alpha_K) = 0$  for all  $x \in K$ .
3. If  $\alpha : M \rightarrow \coprod_{x \in M} H_n(M|x; R)$  is locally consistent then there is unique

$$\alpha_K \in H_n(M|K; R)$$

such that  $i_*^x(\alpha_K) = \alpha(x)$  for all  $x \in K$ .

*Proof.*

- Suppose:
  1.  $M$  is an  $n$ -manifold
  2.  $\alpha : M \rightarrow \coprod_{x \in M} H_n(M|x; R)$  is locally consistent.
- First we show:

**Claim I:** *If Lemma holds for compact subsets  $A$ ,  $B$  and  $A \cap B$  in  $M$  then it holds for  $A \cup B$ .*

- Suppose Lemma holds for compact subsets  $A$ ,  $B$  and  $A \cap B$  in  $M$ .
- Then for  $k > n$  the relative Mayer-Vietoris sequence yields exact

$$H_k(M|A \cap B; R) \rightarrow H_k(M|A \cup B; R) \rightarrow H_k(M|A; R) \oplus H_k(M|B; R)$$

Hence  $H_k(M|A \cup B; R) = 0$  for  $k > n$ .

- Thus Part 1 of Lemma holds for  $A \cup B$ .
- Again relative Mayer-Vietoris sequence yields exact

$$0 \rightarrow H_n(M|A \cup B; R) \xrightarrow{\Phi} H_n(M|A; R) \oplus H_n(M|B; R) \xrightarrow{\Psi} H_n(M|A \cap B; R)$$

where  $\Phi(\gamma) = (i_*^A(\gamma), -i_*^B(\gamma))$  and  $\Psi(\gamma, \eta) = i_*^{A \cap B}(\gamma) + i_*^{A \cap B}(\eta)$ .

- By assumption we have unique classes

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_A &\in H_n(M|A; R) \\ \alpha_B &\in H_n(M|B; R) \\ \alpha_{A \cap B} &\in H_n(M|A \cap B; R) \end{aligned}$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(x) &= i_*^x(\alpha_A) \text{ for all } x \text{ in } A \\ \alpha(x) &= i_*^x(\alpha_B) \text{ for all } x \text{ in } B \\ \alpha(x) &= i_*^x(\alpha_{A \cap B}) \text{ for all } x \text{ in } A \cap B \end{aligned}$$

- Note that for all  $x \in A \cap B$

$$\begin{aligned} i_*^x i_*^{A \cap B}(\alpha_A) &= i_*^x(\alpha_A) = \alpha(x) \\ i_*^x i_*^{A \cap B}(\alpha_B) &= i_*^x(\alpha_B) = \alpha(x) \end{aligned}$$

Thus both  $i_*^{A \cap B}(\alpha_A)$  and  $i_*^{A \cap B}(\alpha_B)$  must be the unique class  $H_n(M|A \cap B; R)$  with this property.

- Hence  $i_*^{A \cap B}(\alpha_A) = i_*^{A \cap B}(\alpha_B) = \alpha_{A \cap B}$ .
- and  $\Psi(\alpha_A, -\alpha_B) = i_*^{A \cap B}(\alpha_A) - i_*^{A \cap B}(\alpha_B) = \alpha_{A \cap B} - \alpha_{A \cap B} = 0$ .
- By exactness of

$$0 \rightarrow H_n(M|A \cup B; R) \xrightarrow{\Phi} H_n(M|A; R) \oplus H_n(M|B; R) \xrightarrow{\Psi} H_n(M|A \cap B; R)$$

there is unique  $\alpha_{A \cup B} \in H_n(M|A \cap B; R)$  such that  $\Phi(\alpha_{A \cup B}) = (\alpha_A, -\alpha_B)$ .

- If  $x \in A$  then  $i_*^x(\alpha_{A \cup B}) = i_*^x(i_*^A(\alpha_{A \cup B})) = i_*^x(\alpha_A) = \alpha(x)$ .
- If  $x \in B$  then  $i_*^x(\alpha_{A \cup B}) = i_*^x(i_*^B(\alpha_{A \cup B})) = i_*^x(\alpha_B) = \alpha(x)$
- Hence if  $x \in A \cup B$  then  $i_*^x(\alpha_{A \cup B}) = \alpha(x)$ .
- By injectivity of  $\Phi$  any other class  $\gamma \in H_n(M|A \cap B; R)$  must have either  $i_*^A(\gamma) \neq \alpha_A$  or  $i_*^B(\gamma) \neq \alpha_B$ .
- Suppose  $i_*^A(\gamma) \neq \alpha_A$
- Then by uniqueness of  $\alpha_A$  must be some  $x \in A$  such that

$$i_*^x(\gamma) = i_*^x(i_*^A(\gamma)) \neq \alpha(x)$$

- Same argument for  $i_*^B(\gamma) \neq \alpha_B$  shows uniqueness of  $\alpha_{A \cup B}$ .
- Lemma holds for  $A \cup B$ .
- Thus Claim I holds.

**Claim II:** *If Lemma holds for  $M = \mathbf{R}^n$  then it holds for all manifolds*

- Suppose  $M$  is an  $n$ -manifold and  $K \subset M$  is compact.
- Suppose Lemma holds for all compact  $K' \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ .
- We may write  $K = K_1 \cup \dots \cup K_m$  with each  $K_i \subset \phi_i(\mathbf{R}^n) \subset M$  compact.
- Let  $A = K_m$  and  $B = K_1 \cup \dots \cup K_{m-1}$ . Then  $A \cup B = K$  and

$$A \cap B = (K_1 \cap K_m) \cup \dots \cup (K_{m-1} \cap K_m)$$

is union of  $m - 1$  compact sets in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ .

- Lemma holds for  $A$  by assumption.
- By inductive assumption Lemma holds for  $B$  and  $A \cap B$ .
- Hence by above, Lemma holds for  $K = A \cup B$ .

**Claim III:** *If  $M = \mathbf{R}^n$  and  $K \subset M$  is finite union of convex compact sets then the Lemma holds.*

- By inductive argument from Claim II only need case where  $K$  is convex.
- Choose a large open ball  $B \subset \mathbf{R}^n$  so that  $K \subset B$ . For all  $x \in K$  have a deformation retracts of  $M - K$  and  $M - \{x\}$  to  $S^{n-1}$  so

•

$$H_n(M|B; R) \cong H_n(M|K; R) \cong H_n(M|x; R)$$

**Claim IV:** *If  $M = \mathbf{R}^n$  and  $K \subset \mathbf{R}^n$  is compact then the Lemma holds.*

- *revise*

□