

**LAPLACE TRANSFORM, FROM
TOPOLOGY TO SPECTRAL GEOMETRY**

Dan Burghelea and Stefan Haller

DYNAMICS:

X vector field on a closed manifold M

$\Psi_t : M \rightarrow M$ its flow

trajectory $\therefore \theta : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M$ s.t. $\theta(t) = \Psi_t(x)$

ELEMENTS OF DYNAMICS:

a) **Rest points** $\therefore \mathcal{X} = \{x \in M | X(x) = 0\}$

b) **Instantons** $\therefore \theta(t), \lim_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} \theta(t) = x/y,$
 $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$

c) **Closed trajectories** $\therefore \tilde{\theta} \equiv (\theta, T)$
s.t. $\theta(t + T) = \theta(t).$

Topology can be used to count a) b) c)

Riemannian geometry can be used to conveniently express the result

Laplace transform of Dirichlet series is the link between them

For $x \in \mathcal{X}$ the **stable/ unstable** set W_x^\pm

$$W_x^\pm := \left\{ y \in M \mid \lim_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} \Psi_t(y) = x \right\}$$

(ND) NONDEGENERATE VECTOR FIELDS X

i) All rest points $x \in \mathcal{X}$ are **standard hyperbolic**, i.e. there exists coordinates x_i 's about x so that

$$X = - \sum_{i=1}^k x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + \sum_{i=k+1}^n x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} .$$

ii) All instantons are nondegenerate, i.e.
 $x, y \in \mathcal{X} \Rightarrow W_x^- \cap W_y^+ = \emptyset$

iii) All closed trajectories are nondegenerate, i.e.

$$\theta(t) = \Psi_t(x), \quad D_x(\Psi_t) : T_x(M) \rightarrow T_x(M)$$

has 1 an eigenvalue with multiplicity one.

(MS) MORSE SMALE VECTOR FIELDS

Satisfy (i) and (ii)

Any closed trajectory $\tilde{\theta}$ has a sign,

$$\boxed{\text{sign}(\tilde{\theta}) \in \{\pm 1\}}$$

and a period

$$\boxed{p(\tilde{\theta}) \in \mathbb{N}}.$$

Given X an ND vector field choose a collection of **orientations** $\mathcal{O} = \{\mathcal{O}_x, x \in \mathcal{X}\}$.

Any instanton θ from x to y with $\text{ind}(x) - \text{ind}(y) = 1$ has a sign,

$$\boxed{\text{sign}(\theta) \in \{\pm 1\}}.$$

TOPOLOGY

$$\xi \in H^1(M, \mathbb{R}) \Rightarrow \xi : H_1(M, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

$$\boxed{\Gamma := H_1(M, \mathbb{Z}) / \ker \xi}, \quad \xi : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

For $x, y \in M$

$\hat{P}_{x,y}$ the set of equivalency classes $\hat{\alpha}$

of continuous paths α from x to y with

$$\alpha_1 \equiv \alpha_2 \text{ iff } \alpha_1^{-1} * \alpha_2 \in \ker \xi.$$

$-\xi$ GRADIENT LIKE VECTOR FIELD

X is $-\xi$ gradient like vector field if there exists a closed one form ω representing ξ and a Riemannian metric g so that :

1) ω is a Morse form

2) $X = -\text{grad}_g(\omega)$

Proposition.

1) *In the space of $-\xi$ gradient like vector fields those which are ND form a generic set.*

2) *If X is $-\xi$ gradient like and ND then:*

i) \mathcal{X} is finite and $x \in \mathcal{X}$ has a Morse index $\text{ind}(x)$.

ii) Isolated solitons from x to y exists only if $\text{ind}(x) - \text{ind}(y) = 1$. In each class $\hat{\alpha}$ there are finitely many solitons.

iii) In each class $\gamma \in \Gamma$ there are finitely many closed trajectories.

COUNTING FUNCTIONS:

1) For $x, \in \mathcal{X}_q, y, \in \mathcal{X}_{q-1}$, define

$\mathbb{P}_{x,y}^{\mathcal{O}} : \hat{\mathcal{P}}_{x,y} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ by

$$\hat{\mathcal{P}}_{x,y}(\hat{\alpha}) = \sum_{\theta \in \hat{\alpha}} \text{sign}(\theta)$$

2) Define $\mathbb{Z}_{X,\xi} : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ by

$$\mathbb{Z}_{X,\xi}(\gamma) := \sum_{\tilde{\alpha} \in \gamma} \frac{\text{sign}(\tilde{\theta})}{p(\tilde{\theta})}.$$

TOPOLOGICAL SOLUTION

Novikov has defined a ring Λ_ξ and a cochain complex of free Λ_ξ modules whose boundary homomorphisms are given in terms of $\mathbb{P}_{x,y}^{\mathcal{O}}$ interpreted as elements in Λ_ξ and cohomology is expressed in terms of the topology of M and ξ .

Hutchings - Lee and Pajinhof have interpreted $\mathbb{Z}_{X,\xi}$ as "torsion" associated to the Novikov complex.

SPECTRAL GEOMETRY SOLUTION

*will be given in terms of **real valued functions** which and determine the Novikov and Hutchings..... solutions.*

DIRICHLET SERIES:

$$f \equiv \left(\begin{array}{ccccccc} \lambda_1 & < & \lambda_2 & < & \cdots & \lambda_k & < & \lambda_{k+1} & \cdots \\ a_1 & & a_2 & & \cdots & a_k & & a_{k+1} & \cdots \end{array} \right)$$

defines a measure with discrete support and Laplace transform

$$L(f)(z) := \sum_i e^{-z\lambda_i} a_i$$

with an abscissa of convergence $\rho(f) \leq \infty$
($f(z)$ convergent on $\Re z > \rho(f)$ and divergent on $\Re z < \rho(f)$).

THE INVARIANT $\rho(X, \xi) \in [0, \infty]$.

X vector field with hyperbolic rest points, and ω ,
and $\xi \in H^1(M; \mathbb{R})$.

$$\rho(X, \omega, g, x) := \inf \left\{ \tau \in \mathbb{R} \mid \int_{W_x^-} e^{\tau h_x} \text{Vol}_{(i_x^-)^* g} \right\}$$

$h_x : W_x^- \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ s.t. $dh_x = (i_x^-)^*(\omega)$, $h_x(x) = 0$.

$\rho(\dots)$ independent on g , and on $\omega \in \xi$.

$$\rho(X, \xi) := \inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \rho(X, \omega, g, x)$$

Proposition.

Let X be $-\xi$ gradient like which is ND.

1) The pairs $(\xi(\gamma), \mathbb{Z}_{X,\xi}(\gamma))$ with $\mathbb{Z}_{X,\xi}(\gamma) \neq 0$ define a Dirichlet series with λ 's given by $\xi(\hat{\alpha})$ and a 's given by $\mathbb{Z}_{X,\xi}(\gamma)$.

2) Let ω be a closed one form representing ξ and \mathcal{O} a collection of orientations. The pairs $(\omega(\hat{\alpha}), \mathbb{P}^{\mathcal{O}}(x, y)(\hat{\alpha}))$ with $\mathbb{P}^{\mathcal{O}}(x, y)(\hat{\alpha}) \neq 0$ define a Dirichlet series with λ 's given by $\omega(\hat{\alpha})$ and a 's given by $\mathbb{P}^{\mathcal{O}}(x, y)(\hat{\alpha})$. Changing ω and \mathcal{O} one might change the sequence of λ 's by sign and the sequence of a 's by a factor.

3) If $\rho(X, \xi) < \infty$ the above series have a finite abscissa of convergence.

In particular the functions of one real variable t

$L(\mathbb{P}_{x,y}^{\mathcal{O}})(e^t)$ and $L(\mathbb{Z}_{X,\xi})(e^t)$ restricted (a, ∞)

determine by **analytic continuation** and

inverse Laplace transform the counting

functions $\mathbb{P}_{x,y}^{\mathcal{O}}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_{X,\xi}$.

SPECTRAL GEOMETRY:

(M, g) closed Riemannian manifold,

ω a Morse one form (*locally* $\omega = dh$, h smooth function with all critical points nondegenerate),

$t \in [0, \infty)$.

Consider

$$\boxed{(\Omega^*(M), d_\omega^*(t)), d_\omega^q(t) : \Omega^q(M) \rightarrow \Omega^{q+1}(M)}$$

with $d_\omega^q(t)(\alpha) := d\alpha + t\omega \wedge \alpha$.

Use g to define $(d_\omega^q(t))^\sharp : \Omega^{q+1}(M) \rightarrow \Omega^q(M)$

and define $\Delta_\omega^q(t) : \Omega^q(M) \rightarrow \Omega^q(M)$ by:

$$\Delta_\omega^q(t) := (d_\omega^q(t))^\sharp \cdot d_t^q + d_\omega^{q-1}(t) \cdot (d_\omega^{q-1}(t))^\sharp.$$

$$\boxed{\Delta_\omega^q(t) := \Delta^q + t(L + L^\sharp) + t^2 \|\omega\|^2 Id}$$

with L the Lie derivative along $-\text{grad}_g \omega$, L^\sharp the adjoint of L , and $\|\omega\|^2$ the fiberwise norm of ω .

Theorem 1.

There exist $C_1, C_2, C_3, T > 0$ so that for $t > T$ one has:

$$i) \text{Spect}\Delta_\omega^q(t) \cap [C_1 e^{-C_2 t}, C_3 t] = \emptyset \text{ and } 1 \in (C_1 e^{-C_2 t}, C_3 t)$$

$$ii) \#(\text{Spect}\Delta_\omega^q(t) \cap [0, C_1 e^{-C_2 t}]) = \#(\mathcal{X}_q).$$

iii) For all but finitely many t , $\dim(\ker \Delta_\omega(t))$ is constant in t .

Denote by :

$\Omega_{sm}^*(M)(t)$ the span of the eigenforms which correspond to eigenvalues smaller than 1.

$\Omega_{la}^*(M)(t)$ the span of the eigenforms which correspond to eigenvalues larger than 1.

Theorem 1 implies that for $t > T$

$$\begin{aligned} &(\Omega^*(M), d_\omega(t)) = \\ &(\Omega_{sm}^*(M)(t), d_\omega(t)) \oplus (\Omega_{la}^*(M)(t), d_\omega(t)) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\boxed{\Delta_\omega^q(t) = \Delta_{\omega,sm}^q(t) \oplus \Delta_{\omega,la}^q(t)}$$

with $\dim(\Omega^q(M)_{sm}(t)) = \#(\mathcal{X}_q)$ for any $t > T$.

Theorem2.

Suppose $X = -\text{grad}_g(\omega)$ where ω is a closed one form representing $\xi \in H^1(M; \mathbb{R})$, X is MS, $\rho(\xi, X) < \infty$ and orientations \mathcal{O} are given.

There exists T , and a canonical base of $\Omega^q(M)_{sm}(t)$, $\{E_x^{\mathcal{O}}(t), x \in \mathcal{X}\}$, so that for $t > T$ and $y \in \mathcal{X}_q$

$$d_\omega(t)E_y(t) = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}_{q+1}} I_{x,y}(t)E_x(t) \quad \text{with}$$

$$I_{y,x}(t) = L(\mathbb{P}_{x,y}^{\mathcal{O},\omega})(e^t).$$

Proposition.

Suppose X is a $-\xi$ gradient like vector field **with no rest points** and all closed trajectories nondegenerate, ω a closed one form representing $\xi \in H^1(M, \mathbb{R})$ and g a Riemannian metric.

Denote $\log T_{an}(t) := 1/2 \sum (-1)^{q+1} q \log \det(\Delta_\omega^q(t))$.

Then

$$\log T_{an}(t) = (-1)^{n+1} t \int_M \omega \wedge X^*(\Psi(g))$$

is the Laplace transform of the Dirichlet series \mathbb{Z}_X .

**DROPPING THE HYPOTHESIS
"NO REST POINTS"
ADDITIONAL SPECTRAL GEOMETRY**

Denote by

$$\log Vol(t) = \sum (-1)^q \log Vol\{E_x(t), x \in \mathcal{X}_q\}$$

Define

$$\log T_{an,la}(t) := 1/2 \sum (-1)^{q+1} q \log \det(\Delta_{\omega,la}^q(t)).$$

ADDITIONAL RIEMANNIAN GEOMETRY

An invariant $\mathcal{R}(\omega, g, X)$ was introduced for

X with standard hyperbolic zeros,

ω closed one form,

g Riemannian metric

Theorem.

Suppose X is a $-\xi$ gradient like vector field which is ND and $\rho(\xi, X) < \infty$. Suppose (ω, g) is a pair with ω representing ξ and $X = -\text{grad}_g(\omega)$.

There exists a positive real number $R > \rho([\omega], X)$ so that for $t > R$ the function

$$\boxed{\log T_{an,la}(t) + \log Vol(t) + t\mathcal{R}(\omega, g, X)}$$

is the restriction of a holomorphic function on $\{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \Re z > R\}$ which is inverse Laplace transform of the Dirichlet series \mathbb{Z}_X