

A computer friendly alternative to Morse-Novikov theory

Dan Burghelea

¹Department of mathematics
Ohio State University, Columbus, OH

Kochi, December 2018

Input:

- M^n smooth manifold,
- (X, ω)
 - X smooth vector field which is Morse Smale
 - ω closed differential degree one form Lyapunov for X
- κ a field.

For a vector field X the dynamics consists of

- **rest points** $R(X) := \{x \in M \mid X(x) = 0\}$
- **instantons** $I(x, y)$ visible (isolated) trajectories from x to y ,
 $x, y \in R(X)$
- **visible closed trajectories**

- $\omega \Rightarrow \xi_\omega \in H^1(M; \mathbb{R}) = \text{hom}(H_1(X; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R})$

$$H^1(X; \mathbb{R}) \ni \xi \Rightarrow \text{img}(\xi) = \boxed{\Gamma_\xi \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{n(\xi)} \subset \mathbb{R}}$$

$n(\xi)$ the degree of irrationality

- **Novikov field** $\kappa(\xi)$ the completion of $\kappa[\mathbb{Z}^{n(\xi)}]$ w.r. to the embedding $\mathbb{Z}^{n(\xi)} \subset \mathbb{R}$.

For a generic set of vector fields one has

- 1 The set $R(X)$ is finite and each $x \in R(X)$ has a Morse index $i(x)$,
- 2 Instantons exists only from x to y with $i(x) - i(y) = 1$
- 3 The Poincaré return map of any closed trajectories has no eigenvalue one
- 4 in each homotopy class of paths from x to y , $x, y \in R(X)$ or of closed curves there are only finitely many instantons or closed trajectories

and the Morse-Novikov theory outputs

- A field $\kappa(\xi_\omega)$, the **Novikov field** and for any (M, X, ω) as above with X generic
- The **chain complex** $(C_*(X, \partial_*(X, \omega))$ of $\kappa(\xi_\omega)$ vector spaces the **Novikov complex** generated by the rest points and the visible (=isolated) trajectories of X

The main results of MN-theory state that

- - The homology $H_r(C_*, \partial_*)$ calculates the r -th Novikov-Betti number,

- - The complex depends only on ω and is determined up to a non canonical isomorphism by two of the three collections of numbers:

$$\beta_r^N := \dim H_r(C_*, \partial_r), \quad \rho_r^N = \text{rank}(\partial_r), \quad c_r^N := \dim C_r.$$

Relevance

The chain complex (C_*, ∂_*)

- 1 *provides the exact number of rest points of Morse index r*
- 2 *give a good information about the instantons between rest points,*
- 3 *establishes the existence of closed trajectories.* (when combined

with standard Betti numbers)

Computer friendly means computable by **computer implementable algorithms**; $\kappa(\xi_\omega)$ and ∂_r are not computer friendly.

In view of potential interest outside mathematics we like:

- 1 to derive the collection of numbers β_r, ρ_r, c_r as **computer friendly invariants** and without any reference to the field $\kappa(\xi_\omega)$,
- 2 to extend MN-theory from

closed smooth manifolds
vector fields
differential closed one form

Lyapunov for the vector field

compact ANR's
to flows on compact ANR's
TC1-form

Lyapunov for the flow

in particular get rid of genericity hypothesis. Done in [1] and [3] in case the TC1-form ω is exact and in case ξ_ω is an integral cohomology class.

("TC1-form" abbreviation for "topological closed one form")

- Let $\xi \in H^1(X; \mathbb{R}) = \text{Hom}(H_1(X; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R})$ and $\Gamma = \Gamma_\xi := (\text{img} \xi) \subset \mathbb{R}$.
- The homomorphism $\xi : H_1(X; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defines the associated Γ -principal cover, $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ i.e. the free action $\mu : \Gamma \times \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ with π the quotient map $\tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{X}/\Gamma = X$.
- Consider $f : \tilde{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, Γ -equivariant maps, i.e. $f(\mu(g, x)) = f(x) + g$.

Definition

A TC1- form of cohomology class ξ is an equivalence class of Γ -equivariant maps with $f \sim g$ iff $f - g$ is locally constant.

One denotes:

$\mathcal{Z}^1(X; \xi)$ the set of TC-1 forms in the class ξ

$$\mathcal{Z}^1(X) = \bigcup_{\xi \in H^1(X; \mathbb{R})} \mathcal{Z}(X; \xi)$$

Examples

- 1 A smooth closed one form on a manifold
- 2 A simplicial one co-cycle on a simplicial complex

Let $f : \tilde{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Denote by :

$$\tilde{X}_t^f = f^{-1}((-\infty, t]), \quad \tilde{X}_{<t}^f = f^{-1}((-\infty, t)) = \cup_{t' < t} \tilde{X}_{t'},$$

$$\tilde{X}_f^t = f^{-1}([t, \infty)), \quad \tilde{X}_f^{>t} = f^{-1}((t, \infty)) = \cup_{t' > t} \tilde{X}_{t'}.$$

$$R^f(t) := \dim H_*(\tilde{X}_t^f, \tilde{X}_{<t}^f) + \dim H_*(\tilde{X}_f^t, \tilde{X}_f^{>t}).$$

Definition

- 1 t regular value if $R^f(t) = 0$
- 2 t critical value if $R^f(t) \neq 0$
- 3 $CR(f) := \{t \in \mathbb{R} \mid R^f(t) \neq 0\}$ $\mathcal{O}(f) := CR(f)/\Gamma$

Definition

Supposed X compact, $\xi \in H^1(X; \mathbb{Z})$

- The Γ -equivariant map $f : \tilde{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is tame if for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$ $f^{-1}(t)$ is an ANR.
- The TC1-form $\omega \in \mathcal{Z}^1(X)$ is tame if one (and then any) $f \in \omega$ is tame.

Proposition

- 1 X is a compact ANR.
- 2 $R^f(t) < \infty$ for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$,
- 3 the set $\mathcal{O}(f)$ is a finite set.

Denote by $\mathcal{Z}_{tame}^1(\dots) := \{\omega \in \mathcal{Z}^1(\dots) \mid \omega \text{ tame}\}$

Closed one form which are locally polynomials on a closed manifold and simplicial one cocycle on a finite simplicial complex are tame TC1-forms

For any tame TC-1 form $\omega \in \mathcal{Z}(X; \xi)$, field κ and nonnegative integer r we define two configurations

$$\underline{\delta}_r^\omega : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \quad \text{and} \quad \underline{\gamma}_r^\omega : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$$

and the numbers β_r^ω , ρ_r^ω and c_r^ω ,

$$\beta_r^\omega := \sum_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \underline{\delta}_r^\omega(t), \quad \rho_r^\omega := \sum_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} \underline{\gamma}_r^\omega(t)$$

and

$$c_r^\omega := \beta_r^\omega + \rho_r^\omega + \rho_{r-1}^\omega.$$

Theorem

Let $\omega \in \mathcal{Z}_{\text{tame}}^1(X)$. One has

1 $\underline{\delta}_r^\omega(t) \neq 0$ or $\underline{\gamma}_r^\omega(t) \neq 0$ implies that for any $f \in \omega$, $t = c' - c''$ with $c', c'' \in \overline{CR}(f)$,

2

$$\beta_r^\omega = \sum \underline{\delta}_r^\omega(t) = \beta_r^N(X; \xi)$$

3 for any $f \in \omega$ and $a_0 \in o \in \mathcal{O}(f)$,

$$\begin{aligned} c_r^\omega &= \sum_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \underline{\delta}_r^\omega(t) + \sum_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} \underline{\gamma}_r^\omega(t) + \sum_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} \underline{\gamma}_{r-1}^\omega(t) = \\ &= \sum_{o \in \mathcal{O}(f)} \dim H_r(\tilde{X}_{a_0}^f, \tilde{X}_{<a_0}^f) \end{aligned}$$

Recall $\tilde{X}_{a_0}^f = f^{-1}((-\infty, a_0])$, $\tilde{X}_{<a_0}^f = f^{-1}((-\infty, a_0))$.

Corollary

The Novikov complex of the Morse form ω in Hodge form is given by $C_r = C_r^- \oplus C_r^+ \oplus \mathcal{H}_r$ with

$$\mathcal{H}_r = \kappa^{\beta_r^\omega},$$

$$C_r^- = C_{r-1}^+ = \kappa^{\rho_r^\omega}$$

$$\partial_r = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ Id & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Poincaré Duality property

Theorem

Suppose X is a closed topological n -dimensional manifold and $\omega \in \mathcal{Z}_{tame}^1(M)$.

- 1 $\underline{\delta}_r^\omega(t) = \underline{\delta}_{n-r}^\omega(-t),$
- 2 $\underline{\gamma}_r^\omega(t) = \underline{\gamma}_{n-r-1}^{-\omega}(t).$

- The set of TC-1 forms $\mathcal{Z}^1(X; \xi)$ is an \mathbb{R} -vector space equipped with an obviously defined distance

$$\|\omega - \omega'\| := \inf_{\substack{f \in \omega \\ g \in \omega'}} \sup_{x \in \tilde{X}} |f(x) - g(x)|.$$

- The space of configurations

$Conf_N(Y) := \{\delta : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \mid \sum \delta(y) = N\}$ is equipped with the obvious *collision topology*.

- For K closed subset of Y the space of configurations $Conf(Y \setminus K)$ is equipped with the *bottleneck topology* provided by the pair $K \subset Y$.

Stability property

Theorem

- 1 the assignment $\mathcal{Z}_{\text{tame}}^1(X; \xi) \ni \omega \rightsquigarrow \underline{\delta}_r^\omega \in \text{Conf}_{\beta_r^N(X; \xi)}(\mathbb{R})$ is continuous and extend continuously to $\mathcal{Z}(X; \xi)$.
- 2 the assignment $\mathcal{Z}_{\text{tame}}^1(X; \xi) \ni \omega \rightsquigarrow \underline{\gamma}_r^\omega \in \text{Conf}([0, \infty) \setminus 0)$ is continuous.

Definition of $\underline{\delta}_r^\omega$ and $\underline{\gamma}_r^\omega$.

For $f \in \omega$, $f : \tilde{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $f(\mu(g, x)) = f(x) + g$ one defines the maps

$$\delta_r^f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \text{ and } \gamma_r^f : \mathbb{R}_+^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0},$$

$\mathbb{R}_+^2 := \{(x, y) \mid x < y\}$ as follows.

Denote :

$$\textcircled{1} \quad \mathbb{I}_a^f(r) := \text{img}(H_r(\tilde{X}_a) \rightarrow H_r(\tilde{X})), \quad \mathbb{I}_a^f(r) \subset H_r(\tilde{X})$$

$$\mathbb{I}_{<a}^f(r) := \cup_{a' < a} \mathbb{I}_{a'}^f(r), \quad \mathbb{I}_{-\infty}^f(r) = \cap_a \mathbb{I}_a^f(r),$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad \mathbb{I}_f^a(r) := \text{img}(H_r(\tilde{X}^a) \rightarrow H_r(\tilde{X})), \quad \mathbb{I}_f^a(r) \subset H_r(\tilde{X})$$

$$\mathbb{I}_f^{>a}(r) := \cup_{a' > a} \mathbb{I}_{f'}^a(r), \quad \mathbb{I}_f^{+\infty}(r) = \cap_a \mathbb{I}_f^a(r),$$

$\textcircled{3}$ For $a < b$

$$\mathbb{T}_r^f(a, b) := \ker(H_r(\tilde{X}_{\leq a}) \rightarrow H_r(X_{\leq b})),$$

and for $a' < a$ the inclusion induced map

$$i : \mathbb{T}_r(a', b) \rightarrow \mathbb{T}_r(a, b).$$

$$\textcircled{4} \quad \mathbb{T}_r(a, < b) := \cup_{a < b' < b} \mathbb{T}_r(a, b') \subseteq \mathbb{T}_r(a, b),$$

$$\mathbb{T}_r(< a, b) := \cup_{a' < a} i(\mathbb{T}_r(a', b)) \subseteq \mathbb{T}_r(a, b).$$

- Define
for $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\hat{\delta}_r^f(a, b) := \frac{\mathbb{I}_a(r) \cap \mathbb{I}^b(r)}{\mathbb{I}_{<a}(r) \cap \mathbb{I}^b(r) + \mathbb{I}_a(r) \cap \mathbb{I}^{>b}(r)}, \quad \delta_r^f(a, b) := \dim \hat{\delta}_r^f(a, b)$$

for $a, b \in \mathbb{R}, a < b$

$$\hat{\gamma}_r^f(a, b) := \frac{T_r(a, b)}{T_r(< a, b) + T_r(a, < b)}, \quad \gamma_r^f(a, b) := \dim \hat{\gamma}_r^f(a, b).$$

and for $t \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\mathbb{F}_r^f(t) := \sum_{\begin{cases} a - b \leq t, \\ a, b \in CR(f) \end{cases}} \mathbb{I}_a(r) \cap \mathbb{I}^b(r)$$

One can show that:

Proposition

- 1 $\delta_r^f(a, b) = \delta_r^f(a + g, b + g)$ and $\gamma_r^f(a, b) = \gamma_r^f(a + g, b + g)$ for any $g \in \Gamma$,
- 2 for a regular value $\text{supp}\delta_r^f \cap (a \times \mathbb{R})$ and $\text{supp}\gamma_r^f \cap (a \times (a, \infty))$ is empty,
- 3 for a critical value $\text{supp}\delta_r^f \cap (a \times \mathbb{R})$ and $\text{supp}\gamma_r^f \cap (a \times (a, \infty))$ is finite,
- 4 for b regular value $\text{supp}\delta_r^f \cap (\mathbb{R} \times b)$ and $\text{supp}\gamma_r^f \cap ((-\infty, b) \times b)$ is empty,
- 5 for b critical value $\text{supp}\delta_r^f \cap (\mathbb{R} \times b)$ and $\text{supp}\gamma_r^f \cap ((-\infty, b) \times b)$ is finite.
- 6 $\mathbb{F}_r^f(t)$ is a f.g. $\kappa(\Gamma)$ -module.

For $\omega \in \mathcal{Z}_{tame}^1(X)$ define

$$\mathcal{O}(\omega) := \sqcup_{f \in \omega} \mathcal{O}(f) / \sim,$$

$\mathcal{O}(f_1) \ni o_1 \sim o_2 \in \mathcal{O}(f_2)$, $f_2 = f_1 + s$, iff $\theta(s) : \mathcal{O}(f_1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(f_2)$, the bijective correspondence induced the translation $\theta(s)(t) = t + s$ satisfies $\theta(s)(o_1) = o_2$.

Observation

For $a_o \in o \in \mathcal{O}(f)$, $f \in \omega$, $\delta_r^f(a_o, a_o + t)$ and $\gamma_r^f(a_o, a_o + t)$ are independent on a_o and f .

Define $\underline{\delta}_{o,r}^\omega(t) := \delta_r^f(a_o, a_o + t)$ and then

$$\underline{\delta}_r^\omega(t) = \sum_{o \in \mathcal{O}(\omega)} \underline{\delta}_{o,r}^\omega(t).$$

Define $\underline{\gamma}_{o,r}^\omega(t) := \gamma_r^f(a_o, a_o + t)$ and then

$$\underline{\gamma}_r^f(t) = \sum_{o \in \mathcal{O}(\omega)} \underline{\gamma}_{o,r}^\omega(t).$$

If ω has degree of rationality ≤ 1 (i.e. $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}$ is a discrete subset) then the calculations of $\mathcal{B}_r(f)$ proceed as in [2] or [1]

One hopes that the barcodes of tame TC1-forms of degree of rationality one approximate arbitrary close the bar codes of any tame TC1-form ω . This turns out to be true in the smooth case when the space is a compact smooth manifold and ω is a Morse closed one form. THIS IS WORK IN PROGRESS.

1. **MN theory** on M^{S^1}
2. **Data analysis** Point cloud data with a skew symmetric two point map.
3. **Dynamics** on flows on compact spaces which are not manifolds.

-  Dan Burghilea, *New topological invariants for real- and angle-valued maps; an alternative to Morse-Novikov theory* Word scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd, 2017
-  D. Burghilea and T. K. Dey, *Persistence for circle valued maps. Discrete Comput. Geom.* **50** 2013 pp69-98 ; arXiv:1104.5646
-  Dan Burghilea, Stefan Haller, *Topology of angle valued maps, bar codes and Jordan blocks*, J. Appl. and Comput. Topology, vol 1, pp 121-197, 2017; arXiv:1303.4328; Max Plank preprints
-  Michael Usher and Juo Zhang, *Persistent homology and Floer-Novikov theory* Geom. Topol. Volume 20, Number 6 (2016), 3333-3430.