Symmetric functions. [Eg]

Definition. A symmetric function on n (may be infinitely many) variables x_1, \ldots, x_n is a function that is unchanged by any permutation of its variables. If π is a permutation of $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$, then for a symmetric function $f(x_1,\ldots,x_n)$ we have $f(x_1,\ldots,x_n) = f(x_{\pi(1)},\ldots,x_{\pi(n)})$ So it is invariant under the action of the symmetric group S_n acting on the indices of variables.

The symmetric functions form a vector space $\mathbb{R}[x_1,\ldots,x_n]^{S_n}$. Moreover, they form an algebra (a vector space with an operation of multiplication of vectors).

Elementary symmetric functions.

 $e_0 := 1;$ e_1 $:= x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n;$ $e_2 := (x_1x_2 + x_1x_3 + \dots + x_1x_n) + (x_2x_3 + \dots + x_2x_n) + \dots + x_{n-1}x_n;$ $e_3 := x_1 x_2 x_3 + \ldots + x_{n-2} x_{n-1} x_n;$ $:= x_1 x_2 \dots x_{n-1} x_n.$ e_n

In general

$$e_k := \sum_{1 \le j_1 < j_2 \dots j_k \le n} \quad x_{j_1} \dots x_{j_k} \, .$$

Vieta's formulas: the elementary symmetric polynomials in x_1, \ldots, x_n are coefficients (up to the sign) of a polynomial p(x) with roots x_1, \ldots, x_n :

$$p(x) = x^{n} - e_{1}x^{n-1} + e_{2}x^{n-2} - \dots \pm e_{n-1}x \mp e_{n} = (x - x_{1})(x - x_{2})\dots(x - x_{n}).$$

Fundamental theorem of symmetric polynomials.

Any symmetric polynomial $p(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ can be uniquely (!!!) represented as a polynomial in the elementary symmetric functions: $p(x_1,\ldots,x_n) = q(e_1,\ldots,e_n)$ for an appropriate polynomial $q(y_1,\ldots,y_n).$

In other words, the algebra of symmetric polynomials $\mathbb{R}[x_1,\ldots,x_n]^{S_n}$ is isomorphic to the algebra of polynomials $\mathbb{R}[y_1,\ldots,y_n]$. Thus, the elementary symmetric polynomials e_1,\ldots,e_n constitute the generating set for the algebra $\mathbb{R}[x_1,\ldots,x_n]^{S_n}$. As a vector space, it has a basis (linear, or additive) consisting of all monomials in e_1, \ldots, e_n .

Symmetric power functions

$$p_k(x_1, \dots, x_n) := x_1^k + x_2^k + \dots + x_n^k$$

also form a generating set for $\mathbb{R}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]^{S_n}$.

Newton's identities.

$$ke_k = \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^{i-1} e_{k-i} p_i.$$

In particular, $2e_2 = e_1p_1 - e_0p_2 = e_1^2 - p_2$ because $p_1 = e_1$ and $e_0 = 1$. So, $p_2 = e_1^2 - 2e_2$.

Stanley's chromatic symmetric function. [St1]

$$X_G(x_1, x_2, \dots) := \sum_{\substack{\varkappa: V(G) \to \mathbb{N} \\ \text{proper}}} \prod_{v \in V(G)} x_{\varkappa(v)}$$

For example, $\chi_G(q) = X_G(\underbrace{1, 1, \dots, 1}_{q}, 0, 0, 0, \dots).$

Example.

$$X_{\bullet \bullet \bullet} = \widehat{x_1 x_1} + x_1 x_2 + x_1 x_3 + \dots$$

 $x_2 x_1 + \widehat{x_2 x_2} + x_2 x_3 + \dots$
 $x_3 x_1 + x_3 x_2 + \widehat{x_3 x_3} + \dots$
 $\vdots \qquad \vdots \qquad \ddots$
 $= p_1^2 - p_2 = e_1^2 - (e_1^2 - 2e_2) = 2e_2$, where $p_m := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i^m$ is the power function basis

for the space of symmetric functions. In general, $X_{K_k} = k!e_k$.

Symmetric Stanley's acyclicity theorem deals with the expression of X_G in terms of elementary symmetric functions.

Theorem. [St1, Theorem 3.3] Let $X_G = \sum c_{l_1,l_2,\ldots,l_s} e_{l_1} e_{l_2} \ldots e_{l_s}$ be the expression of X_G in terms of elementary symmetric functions. (Note that $l_1 + l_2 + \cdots + l_s = \#$ of vertices of G.) Then for every s, $\sum c_{l_1,l_2,\ldots,l_s} = \#$ of acyclic orientations of G with exactly s sinks.

For example, the graph $G = \bullet \bullet \bullet$ has 2 acyclic orientations with exactly one sink $\bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet$ and •••, because $X_{\bullet} = 2e_2$.

Chromatic polynomial of signed graphs.

There are two chromatic polynomials of signed graphs [Za].

A q-coloring of a signed Γ is a map $\varkappa : V(\Gamma) \to \{-q, -q+1, \ldots, -1, 0, 1, \ldots, q-1, q\}$. A q-coloring \varkappa is proper if for any edge e with the sign ε_e : $\varkappa(v_1) \neq \varepsilon \varkappa(v_2)$, where v_1 and v_2 are the endpoints of e.

Definition.

 $\chi_{\Gamma}(2q+1) := \# \text{ of proper } q\text{-colorings of } \Gamma.$ $\chi_{\Gamma}^{\neq 0}(2q) := \# \text{ of proper } q\text{-colorings of } \Gamma \text{ which take nonzero values.}$

Properties.

- $\chi_{\Gamma}(l)$ is a polynomial function of l = 2q + 1 > 0;

- $\chi_{\Gamma}(l)$ is a polynomial function of l = 2q + 1 > 0; $\chi_{\Gamma}^{\neq 0}(l)$ is a polynomial function of l = 2q > 0; $\chi_{\Gamma}(l) = \chi_{\Gamma-e}(l) \chi_{\Gamma/e}(l)$; $\chi_{\Gamma}^{\neq 0}(l) = \chi_{\Gamma-e}^{\neq 0}(l) \chi_{\Gamma/e}^{\neq 0}(l)$; $\chi_{\Gamma_{1}\sqcup\Gamma_{2}} = \chi_{\Gamma_{1}} \cdot \chi_{\Gamma_{2}}$ and $\chi_{\Gamma_{1}\sqcup\Gamma_{2}}^{\neq 0} = \chi_{\Gamma_{1}}^{\neq 0} \cdot \chi_{\Gamma_{2}}^{\neq 0}$ for a disjoint union $\Gamma_{1} \sqcup \Gamma_{2}$; $\chi_{\Gamma} = 1$

•
$$\chi_{\emptyset} = 1$$

Example.
$$\chi \not= 0$$
 $(2q) = 2q(2q-1).$

There are two tricky issues in Zaslavky's acyclicity theorem. The first one is the notion of a cycle. A subset S of edges of a sign graphs is called *balanced* if for every circuit in S the product of the signs of edges of the circuit is equal to 1. A cycle of a signed graph G is a subgraph of one the following 3 types: 1) a balanced circuit, 2) a subdivision of a tight handcuff \bigcirc with both circuits to be unbalanced, and 3) a subdivision of a loose handcuff (• with both circuits to be unbalanced. The second issue is a notion of orientation. An *orientation* of an edge is a pair of arrows on its half-edges which are coherent for positive edges and not coherent for negative edges. An orientation of a sign graph is *acyclic* if every cycle contains either a source or a sink. An orientation of a sign graph is *compatible* with a coloring c if for every positive edge the color of it arrow-head is greater or equal to the color of it arrow-tail and for every negative edge the sum of colors of its ends is not negative (resp. not positive) for the arrows pointed towards the ends (resp. away from the end).

Theorem. [Za, Theorem 3.5] Let $q \in \mathbb{N}$ and G be a signed graph with n vertices. The the number of compatible pairs of acyclic orientations of G and colorings $V(G) \rightarrow \{-q, -q + 1, \ldots, -1, 1, \ldots, q - 1, q\}$ is equal to $(-1)^n \chi_G^{\neq 0}(-2q)$.

Example. For q = 1 and the graph above we have $\chi \neq 0$ (-2) = 6. Here are 6 compatible

pairs of acyclic orientations and colorings $V(G) \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$ (we mark the source-vertex red and the sink-vertex blue).

$$(\mathbf{P} \bullet \mathbf{P} \bullet$$

Note that the first two colorings are proper and the last four are improper.

 $i \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ The signed chromatic polynomial $\chi_G^{\neq 0}(2q)$ is a specialization of Y_G obtained by substitution $x_i = 1$ for $|i| \leq q$ and $x_i = 0$ for $|i| \geq q$. This is the same substitution as $p_{a,b} = l = 2q$.

References

- [Ch] S. Chmutov, B-symmetric chromatic function of signed graphs, https://people.math.osu.edu/chmutov.1/talks/2020/slides-Moscow.pdf Video is available at https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=khA7rP84sYY
- [Eg] E. Egge, An Introduction to Symmetric Functions and their Combinatorics, AMS, STML 91 (2019).
- [Ra] R. Raghavan, A Symmetric Chromatic Function for Signed Graphs,
- https://people.math.osu.edu/chmutov.1/wor-gr-su19/Rushil-Raghavan-MIGHTY_10192019.pdf
- [St1] R. Stanley, A symmetric function generalization of the chromatic polynomial of a graph, Advances in Math. 111(1) (1995) 166–194.

[Za] T. Zaslavsky, Signed graph coloring, Discrete Mathematics **39**(2) (1982) 215–228.