Recall that the Jones polynomial of an oriented knot \vec{K} is given by

$$J_{\vec{K}}(A) = (-A)^{-3w(\vec{K})} \sum_{S \in \mathcal{S}(\vec{K})} A^{\alpha(S)} B^{\beta(S)} d^{\delta(S)-1}$$

where $B = A^{-1}$ and $d = -A^2 - B^2$. Likewise, we define the arrow polynomial

$$\mathcal{A}_{\vec{K}}(A, K_1, K_2, \ldots) = (-A)^{-3w(\vec{K})} \sum_{S \in \mathcal{S}(\vec{K})} A^{\alpha(S)} B^{\beta(S)} d^{\delta(S)-1} \langle S \rangle$$

where $\langle S \rangle$ is called the *evaluation* of the state (to be defined). Note that $\mathcal{A}_{\vec{K}} \in \mathbb{Z}[A, A^{-1}, K_1, K_2, ...]$ with all the variables commuting and independent.

State Evaluation

We define the oriented state expansion by

In contrast to the usual state reduction, we now have cusps on each of the remaining circles. Next, we bring each loop into its *reduced state* by "canceling" similar adjacent cusps:

At this point, each loop C in the state is reduced and has 2n cusps. Now we let

$$\left< C \right> = egin{cases} 1 & ext{if } n = 0 \ K_n & ext{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

Finally, we define

$$\langle S \rangle = \prod_{C} \langle C \rangle$$
.

This turns out to be invariant under classical and virtual Reidermaster moves.

Arrow Polynomial of the Virtual Hopf Link

Let \vec{K} denote the knot



Its states are



Hence, $\langle S_1
angle = B$ and $\langle S_2
angle = AK_1$. Thus,

$$\mathcal{A}_{\vec{K}} = -A^{-3}(A^{-1} + K_1 A).$$

Virtualized Trefoil

Consider the knot \vec{K} with its states:



For example, we have $\langle S_6 \rangle = A^2 B d K_1^2$ and $\langle S_8 \rangle = A B^2 d^2 K_1^2$. It turns out that

$$\mathcal{A}_{\vec{K}} = -A^{-3}(-A^{-5} + K_1^2 A^{-5} - K_1^2 A^3).$$

Twisted Arrow Polynomial

Recall that given an oriented twisted knot $\vec{\mathcal{K}}$, we define the *twisted Jones* polynomial of an oriented twisted knot $\vec{\mathcal{K}}$ by

$$\mathscr{J}_{\vec{\mathcal{K}}}(A,M) = (-A)^{-3w(\vec{\mathcal{K}})} \sum_{S \in \mathcal{S}(\vec{\mathcal{K}})} A^{\alpha(S)} B^{\beta(S)} d^{\gamma_1(S)} M^{\gamma_2(S)}$$

where

γ₁(S) is the number of circles with an even number of bars, and
 γ₂(S) is the number of circles with an odd number of bars.
 So naturally, we define the twisted arrow polynomial as

$$\mathscr{A}_{\vec{\mathcal{K}}}(A, M, K_1, K_2, \ldots) = (-A)^{-3w(\vec{\mathcal{K}})} \sum_{S \in \mathcal{S}(\vec{\mathcal{K}})} A^{\alpha(S)} B^{\beta(S)} d^{\delta(S)-1} \langle S \rangle \,.$$

However, we add a few new rules for evaluating the state.

In addition to the reduction rules from before, we also stipulate that



With this, we can reduce each loop in the state. We now define $\langle C \rangle$ the same as before with a small change. If C is a trivial loop with bar, we let $\langle C \rangle = M$.

Now like before, we define

$$\langle S \rangle = \prod_{C} \langle C \rangle$$
.



References

- Virtual Crossing Number and the Arrow Polynomial by H. A. Dye and Louis H. Kauffman
- ONE CONJECTURE ON CUT POINTS OF VIRTUAL LINKS AND THE ARROW POLYNOMIAL OF TWISTED LINKS by QINGYING DENG