L-FUNCTIONS AND FUNCTORIALITY

J.W. COGDELL

1. PRELUDE: ARITHMETIC L-FUNCTIONS

Let M be an arithmetic/geometric object over \mathbb{Q} .

To M is associated a very interesting complex analytic invariant: its L-function:

$$M \mapsto L(M,s) = L_{\infty}(M,s) \prod_{p} L_{p}(M,s) \qquad Re(s) >> 0$$

Examples:

M	$L_{\infty}(M,s)$	typical $L_p(M, s)$		degree
Q	$\pi^{-s/2}\Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)$	$(1-p^{-s})^{-1}$		1
E	$(2\pi)^{-s}\Gamma(s)$	$(1 - a_p p^{-s} + p p^{-2s})^{-1}$	$a_p = p + 1 - \overline{E}(\mathbb{F}_p) $	2
K	$\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s)^{r_1}\Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s)^{r_2}$	$\prod_{\mathfrak{p} p} (1 - N(\mathfrak{p})^{-s})^{-1}$	\mathfrak{p} primes of K	$(K:\mathbb{Q})$
ρ		$\det(1-\rho(Fr_p)p^{-s})^{-1}$	$Fr_p =$ Frobenius at p	$\dim(\rho)$
M	$\Gamma_M(s)$	$Q_{M,p}(p^{-s})$	local (mod p) information	$\deg(Q_{M,p}(X))$

Here E is an elliptic curve defined over \mathbb{Q} , K is an algebraic number field, so a finite extension of \mathbb{Q} , and $\rho : Gal(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \to GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ is a n-dimensional Galois representation.

These are all conjectured to be NICE:

- (1) L(M, s) has a meromorphic continuation to all $s \in \mathbb{C}$ (entire if M irreducible and $\dim(M) > 1$);
- (2) L(M, s) is bounded in vertical strips (BVS);
- (3) L(M, s) satisfies a standard functional equation

$$L(M,s) = \varepsilon(M,s)L(M^{\vee}, 1-s)$$

These complex analytic invariants are built as a convergent Euler product in Re(s) >> 0out of local information. However they (conjecturally) carry interesting global information

J.W. COGDELL

after analytic continuation, and particularly in the critical strip $0 \le Re(s) \le 1$ about the line of symmetry Re(s) = 1/2.

M	L(M,s)	location	Conjecture/Fact
Q	$\pi^{-s/2}\Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)\zeta(s)$	Re(s) = 1 $Re(s) = 1/2$	Prime Number Theorem Riemann Hypothesis
E	L(E,s)	s = 1/2	Birch and Swinnerton–Dyer
K	$\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s)^{r_1}\Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s)^{r_2}\zeta_K(s)$	s = 1	Analytic Class Number Formula
ρ	L(ho,s)	$\mathbb C$	Artin Conjecture

2. Automorphic L-functions

2.1. Classical – Hecke. Modular forms: $f : \mathfrak{H} \to \mathbb{C}$ is a modular form of weight k for $\Gamma \subset SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ if

(1) f is holomorphic; (2) for all $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma$ $f\left(\frac{az+b}{cz+d}\right) = (cz+d)^k f(z);$

(3) f is holomorphic at the cusps of Γ .

Examples:

- (1) $\theta_q(z)$ the theta series attached to a quadratic form q(x);
- (2) $\Delta(z)$ the discriminant function from the theory of elliptic modular functions.

We will restrict to $\Gamma = SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ for simplicity of exposition.

Since $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma$ then f(z+1) = f(z) and we have the Fourier expansion: $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n e^{2\pi i n z}.$ Then f(z) is cuspidal, or a cusp form, if $a_0 = \int_0^1 f(z+x) \, dx = 0$, i.e.,

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n e^{2\pi i n z}.$$

These Fourier coefficients often carry interesting arithmetic information:

- (1) If $f(z) = \theta_q(z)$, then $a_n = r(n,q)$ counts the number of times n is represented by the quadratic form q.
- (2) If $f(z) = \Delta(z)$, then $a_n = \tau(n)$ is Ramanujan's τ -function.

Hecke attached to each cusp form a complex analytic invariant – its L-function:

$$L(s,f) = \int_0^\infty f(iy)y^s d^x y = (2\pi)^{-s} \Gamma(s) \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{a_n}{n^s}$$
$$= (2\pi)^{-s} \Gamma(s) \prod_p (1 - a_p p^{-s} + p^{k-1} p^{-2s})^{-1}$$

where the last equality is valid if f(z) is "arithmetic", i.e., an eigen-function of the Hecke operators. Due to the relations of the analytic invariant L(s, f) and the analytic object f(z)through the Mellin transform, Hecke could prove the following.

Theorem 2.1. L(s, f) is NICE: entire, BVS, and satisfies a functional equation.

The functional equation comes from the modular transformation law under $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ sending $z \mapsto -1/z$.

Since the Mellin transform has an inverse integral transform, Hecke was able to prove the CONVERSE to this THEOREM.

Theorem 2.2. If $D(s) = (2\pi)^{-s}\Gamma(s) \sum a_n/n^s$ is NICE with the correct functional equation then $f(z) = \sum a_n e^{2\pi i n z}$ is a cusp form of weight k for $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ and D(s) = L(s, f).

The modularity of f(z) essentially comes from the Fourier expansion and the functional equation.

Note that Weil proved a corresponding Converse Theorem for $\Gamma_0(N)$ by using the functional equation not just for L(s, f) but also for

$$L(s, f, \chi) = (2\pi)^{-s} \Gamma(s) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi(n)a_n}{n^s}$$

with Dirichlet characters χ of conductor prime to the level N.

2.2. GL_n . In the modern analytic theory of automorphic forms, the modular form f of Hecke is replaced by the automorphic representation π (Gelfand, Piatetski-Shapiro, Jacquet, Langlands, Shalika, ...)

The object of study becomes the space of cuspidal automorphic forms

$$\mathcal{A}_0(GL_n(\mathbb{Q})\backslash GL_n(\mathbb{A})).$$

Here

$$\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{R} \prod_{p}' \mathbb{Q}_{p}$$

is the ring of adeles of \mathbb{Q} and we have

$$\mathbb{Q} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A} \text{ discrete } ; \quad \mathbb{Q} \setminus \mathbb{A} \text{ compact}$$

Then analogously

$$GL_n(\mathbb{A}) = GL_n(\mathbb{R}) \prod_p' GL_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)$$

and again

$$GL_n(\mathbb{Q}) \hookrightarrow GL_n(\mathbb{A})$$
 discrete ; $GL_n(\mathbb{Q}) \setminus GL_n(\mathbb{A})$ finite volume mod center.

The functions $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}_0(GL_n(\mathbb{Q}) \setminus GL_n(\mathbb{A}))$ are analogues of classical modular forms. They satisfy

- (1) modularity: $\varphi(\gamma g) = \varphi(g)$ for $\gamma \in GL_n(\mathbb{Q})$ and $g \in GL_n(\mathbb{A})$;
- (2) regularity: smooth, satisfying a system of differential equations (analogue of holomorphy);
- (3) uniform moderate growth (analogue of holomorphy at the cusps);
- (4) cuspidality: analogous constant term integrals vanish.

The space $\mathcal{A}_0(GL_n(\mathbb{Q})\setminus GL_n(\mathbb{A}))$ has a natural action of $GL_n(\mathbb{A})$ by right translation. A theorem of Gelfand and Piatetski-Shapiro tells us we have a discrete decomposition

$$\mathcal{A}_0(GL_n(\mathbb{Q})\backslash GL_n(\mathbb{A})) = \bigoplus m(\pi)V_{\pi}$$

with finite multiplicities $m(\pi)$ (in fact equal to 0 or 1). The constituents (π, V_{π}) are the cuspidal automorphic representations of $GL_n(\mathbb{A})$. Be warned – they are infinite dimensional.

Just as

$$GL_n(\mathbb{A}) = GL_n(\mathbb{R}) \prod_p' GL_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)$$

each cuspidal representation π decomposes

$$\pi = \pi_{\infty} \otimes'_p \pi_p = \otimes'_v \pi_v$$

with π_{∞} a representation of $GL_n(\mathbb{R})$ and π_p a representation of $GL_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, all infinite dimensional.

Following Hecke's theory of integral representations, Jacquet and Langlands (n = 2)and then Jacquet, Piatetski-Shapiro, and Shalika associated to these representations an *L*-function

$$\pi_{\infty} \longrightarrow L(s, \pi_{\infty}) \longleftrightarrow \Gamma(s)$$

$$\pi_{p} \longrightarrow L(s, \pi_{p}) = Q_{p}(p^{-s})^{-1} \text{ with } Q_{p}(X) \in \mathbb{C}[X] \text{ of degree } \leq n$$

$$\pi \longrightarrow L(s, \pi) = L(s, \pi_{\infty}) \prod_{p} L(s, \pi_{p}) \quad Re(s) >> 0.$$

As with Hecke, they were able to show that these complex analytic invariants were indeed nice:

Theorem 2.3 (J,P-S,S). $L(s,\pi)$ is NICE: entire, BVS and satisfies a functional equation $L(s,\pi) = \varepsilon(s,\pi)L(1-s,\widetilde{\pi}).$

In fact, they were able to construct and analyze the *twisted* L-functions $L(s, \pi \times \pi')$ for π' a cuspidal representation of some $GL_m(\mathbb{A})$ and show that if m < n that these L-functions were also nice.

Inverting the integral representation once again gives a *Converse Theorem*:

Theorem 2.4 (C,P-S). Let $\pi = \otimes' \pi_v$ be an irreducible admissible representation of $GL_n(\mathbb{A})$. (Think of this as a collection of local data.) Suppose that the formal L-function

$$L(s,\pi) := \prod_{v} L(s,\pi_v)$$

converges for some Re(s) >> 0 and has a automorphic central character. Suppose that for every $\pi' \in \mathcal{T}_0$, an appropriate cuspidal automorphic twisting set, we have that all $L(s, \pi \times \pi')$ are NICE. Then π is in fact cuspidal automorphic.

Examples of twisting sets are:

• $\mathcal{T}_0 = \mathcal{T}_0(n-1) = \{\pi' \mid \text{ cuspidal automorphic for } GL_m(\mathbb{A}), \ 1 \le m \le n-1\}$

•
$$\mathcal{T}_0 = \mathcal{T}_0(n-2)$$

Moral: All NICE degree n L-functions are modular, i.e., associated to a cuspidal automorphic representation π of $GL_n(\mathbb{A})$.

3. Example – Langlands Conjectures

One goal of number theory is:

- understand $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{Q}} = Gal(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q});$
- understand all $\rho: \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{Q}} = Gal(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow GL_n(\mathbb{C});$

J.W. COGDELL

• understand the associated invariants, i.e., the Artin *L*-functions $L(\rho, s)$, where for almost all p,

$$L_p(\rho, s) = \det(I - \rho(Fr_p)p^{-s})^{-1}$$

a degree n Euler factor.

In light of our moral, and the expected niceness of the Artin *L*-functions the following (also known as the *Strong Artin Conjecture*) seem natural.

Global Langlands Conjecture (Naive version): There exist natural bijections between

$$Rep_n(\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{Q}}) = \{ \rho : \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{Q}} \to GL_n(\mathbb{C}); irreducible \}$$

and

 $\mathcal{A}_0(n) = \{ \pi : \text{ cuspidal automorphic representations of } GL_n(\mathbb{A}) \}$ such that $L(\rho, s) = L(s, \pi)$ (among other things).

And we could then expect local versions:

Local Langlands Conjecture (Naive version): There exist natural bijections between

$$Rep_n(\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{Q}_v}) = \{\rho_v : \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{Q}_v} = Gal(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_v}/\mathbb{Q}_v) \to GL_n(\mathbb{C})\}$$

and

 $\mathcal{A}_v(n) = \{\pi_v : irred. admissible representations of \ GL_n(\mathbb{Q}_v)\}$ such that $L(\rho_v, s) = L(s, \pi_v)$ (among other things).

This is naive because of several issues:

- (1) The difference in the topologies of $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ is such that one doesn't pick up enough information about the Galois group from complex representations. One needs to use ℓ -adic representations.
- (2) There are "more" automorphic or admissible representations of GL_n than *n*-dimensional Galois representations.

Weil dealt with the second issue for n = 1 by introducing the local and global Weil groups $W_{\mathbb{Q}}$ or $W_{\mathbb{Q}_v}$ to substitute for $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{Q}}$, etc.

Deligne dealt with the first issue and second issue locally for $n \ge 2$ by introducing the local Weil-Deligne group $W'_{\mathbb{Q}_v}$ to replace $W_{\mathbb{Q}_v}$. So

$$\begin{array}{ll} \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{Q}} & \longrightarrow W_{\mathbb{Q}} & \longrightarrow ?? & \text{globally} \\ \\ \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{Q}_{v}} & \longrightarrow W_{\mathbb{Q}_{v}} & \longrightarrow W'_{\mathbb{Q}_{v}} & \text{locally.} \end{array}$$

Which leaves us only with

Local Langlands Conjecture: There exist natural bijections between

 $Rep_n(W'_{\mathbb{Q}_v}) = \{\rho_v : W'_{\mathbb{Q}_v} \to GL_n(\mathbb{C}), \text{ suitably semi-simple } \}$

and

 $\mathcal{A}_{v}(n) = \{\pi_{v} : irred. admissible representations of GL_{n}(\mathbb{Q}_{v})\}$ such that $L(\rho_{v}, s) = L(s, \pi_{v})$ (among other things).

This is of course now a **Theorem** due to Harris and Taylor. We might state this as:

Theorem. "Local Galois representations in characteristic zero are modular."

If we view the information as flowing

${\bf Automorphic} \quad \longrightarrow \quad {\bf Galois}$

which we have emphasized, this is a type of *Class Field Theory*. However, and this is important for us, if one views the information as flowing

${ Galois } \longrightarrow { Automorphic }$

then this gives an Arithmetic Parameterization of automorphic or admissible representations. Then one can ask, as Langlands did, how can we parameterize the representations of other reductive algebraic groups, for example the split $H = SO_n$ or $H = Sp_n$? What replaces the $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ in the Galois representation?

It was to understand this that Langlands introduced his dual group or L-group. For these split groups, the process is easy: dualize the root data and take the complex points of the resulting group:

Н	^{L}H
$ \begin{array}{c} GL_n\\ SO_{2n+1}\\ Sp_{2n}\\ SO_{2n} \end{array} $	$GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ $Sp_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$ $SO_{2n+1}(\mathbb{C})$ $SO_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$

Then the Local Langlands Conjecture for H, as an arithmetic parameterization problem, takes the following form

Local Langlands Conjecture for *H*: Let

$$Rep(W'_{\mathbb{O}_v}, H) = \{\phi_v : W'_{\mathbb{O}_v} \to^L H(\mathbb{C}), admissible\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{A}_v(H) = \{\pi_v : \text{ irred. admissible representations of } H(\mathbb{Q}_v)\}.$$

Then there exists a surjective map

$$\mathcal{A}_v(H) \longrightarrow Rep(W'_{\mathbb{O}_v}, H)$$

with finite fibres such that

$$L(s,\pi_v) = L(\phi_v,s)$$

(among other things).

This would partition the admissible representations of $H(\mathbb{Q}_v)$ into *L*-packets, i.e., finite subsets all having the same *L*-functions.

Known cases:

- $\mathbb{Q}_v = \mathbb{R}$, all H (Langlands).
- $\mathbb{Q}_v = \mathbb{Q}_p$, and π_p unramified (Satake).
- $H = GL_n$ (Harris-Taylor, Henniart).

4. FUNCTORIALITY

Functoriality is a manifestation of viewing either the **GLC** or **LLC** as giving arithmetic parameterizations of automorphic/admissible representations. It involves one extra piece of data, an *L*-homomorphism, which relates the arithmetic parameter spaces. Restricting our attention to functoriality from one of our classical groups H to GL_N this is a complex analytic morphism

$$u: {}^{L}H \longrightarrow {}^{L}GL_{N} = GL_{N}(\mathbb{C})$$

which we will take as the natural embedding. With this we can formulate functoriality as a way to transfer admissible or automorphic representations from H to GL_N .

Local Functoriality: If π_v is an irreducible admissible representation of $H(\mathbb{Q}_v)$ then we can obtain an irreducible admissible representation Π_v of $GL_N(\mathbb{Q}_v)$ by following the diagram



and this should satisfy

$$L(s,\pi_v) = L(\phi_v,s) = L(\Phi_v,s) = L(s,\Pi_v)$$

along with similar equalities for twisted versions and for ε -factors.

In the case of Global Functoriality, since we do not have a global version of the Weil-Deligne group, and so no such global diagram, we rely on local/global compatibility.

Global Functoriality Conjecture: If $\pi = \otimes' \pi_v$ is a cuspidal automorphic representation of $H(\mathbb{A})$ then the representation $\Pi = \otimes' \Pi_v$ of $GL_N(\mathbb{A})$ we obtain by following the

diagram



should be automorphic and moreover should satisfy

$$L(s,\pi) = \prod_{v} L(s,\pi_v) = \prod_{v} L(s,\Pi_v) = L(s,\Pi)$$

along with similar equalities for twisted versions and for ε -factors.

Establishment of instances of Global Functoriality can be considered as evidence of

• The existence of a global version of the Weil-Deligne group, often called the Langlands group, to mediate a global diagram.

- The strong Artin conjecture.
- Specific modularity of orthogonal or symplectic Galois representations.

5. Converse Theorem and Functoriality

The Converse Theorem gives a method for attacking the Global Functoriality Conjecture in the case where the target group is GL_N as above.

To explain this, let H be a split classical group over \mathbb{Q} as above, so $H = SO_{2n}$, $H = SO_{2n+1}$, or $H = Sp_{2n}$ and let

$$u: {}^{L}H \hookrightarrow GL_{N}(\mathbb{C}).$$

Н	^{L}H	$u:^{L}H\to^{L}GL_{N}$	$^{L}GL_{N}$	GL_N
SO_{2n+1}	$Sp_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$	\hookrightarrow	$GL_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$	GL_{2n}
SO_{2n}	$SO_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$	\hookrightarrow	$GL_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$	GL_{2n}
Sp_{2n}	$SO_{2n+1}(\mathbb{C})$	\hookrightarrow	$GL_{2n+1}(\mathbb{C})$	GL_{2n+1}

Let $\pi = \otimes' \pi_v$ be a cuspidal automorphic representation of $H(\mathbb{A})$.

There are three basic steps.

Step 1: Constructing a Candidate Lift: To construct a candidate lift $\Pi = \otimes' \Pi_v$ we just use the diagram from the Global Functoriality Conjecture:



Problem 1: We do not know the **LLC** for $H(\mathbb{Q}_v)$ for all π_v . There will be a finite number of places S at which we do not have the necessary local diagram.

Step 2: Analytic Properties of *L***-functions**: From our global diagram we expect to have the equalities

$$L(s,\pi) = L(s,\Pi)$$
 and even $L(s,\pi \times \pi') = L(s,\Pi \times \pi')$

for all π' in an appropriate cuspidal twisting set \mathcal{T}_0 . Now, the *L*-functions $L(s, \pi \times \pi')$ are **automorphic** *L*-functions, so we have a good chance of controlling them.

In these specific examples, under the additional condition that the cuspidal representation π be generic, these can be controlled by the **Langlands-Shahidi method**. This method controls the analytic properties of automorphic *L*-functions by relating them to both constant terms and non-constant Fourier coefficients of Eisenstein series and then utilizing the analytic properties of the Eisenstein series (the "other" type of automorphic forms).

Problem 2: The functorial lift Π of cuspidal representations π of $H(\mathbb{A})$ need not be cuspidal on GL_N . Hence the $L(s, \pi \times \pi')$ may have poles.

Step 3: Apply the Converse Theorem: If Problem 1 and Problem 2 can be solved, then one will have that indeed $L(s, \Pi \times \pi')$ are NICE for all π' in an appropriate twisting set \mathcal{T}_0 . In fact, both of these problems are finessed using a more flexible variant of the Converse Theorem:

Converse Theorem Variant: If we fix a finite set of finite places S and a (highly ramified) idele class character η and let $\mathcal{T}_0(S, \eta)$ be the set of cuspidal automorphic representations π' of $GL_m(\mathbb{A})$ for $1 \leq m \leq N-1$ such that

- $\pi' = \pi'_0 \otimes \eta;$
- $\pi'_0 = \otimes' \pi'_{0,v}$ with $\pi'_{0,v}$ unramified for all $v \in S$

then if $L(s, \Pi \times \pi')$ is nice for all $\pi' \in \mathcal{T}_0(S, \eta)$ then there is an automorphic Π' with $\Pi'_v \simeq \Pi_v$ for all $v \notin S$. - Taking for S the set of places from Problem 1 and using an η that is highly ramified at those places, we are able to twist away all information at those place (using the stability of the local L- and ε -factors under highly ramified twists) at the cost of losing control at those places. This solves Problem 1.

- An observation of Kim tells us that if we twist by a highly ramified character, then globally the $L(s, \pi \times \pi')$ is entire, thus solving Problem 2.

Theorem 5.1 (C, Kim, Piatetski-Shapiro, Shahidi). Let H be a split classical group as above and let π be a generic cuspidal representation of $H(\mathbb{A})$. Then there exists an automorphic representation Π of the appropriate $GL_N(\mathbb{A})$ such that Π_v is the local Langlands functorial lift of π_v for all but finitely many places.

This is our solution of the Global Functoriality Conjecture in these cases.

Applications: Besides providing evidence for a Global Class Field Theory, as we have discussed, one also obtains the following applications of these liftings.

1. Non-trivial bounds towards the Ramanujan Conjecture for these classical groups.

2. Combining this technique with the descent of Ginzburg-Rallis-Soudry, Jiang and Soudry filled in the **LLC** for the places in Problem 1 for $H = SO_{2n+1}$.

3. Various applications to local representation theory for the classical groups (Mœglin's dimension relation for generic discrete series representations, the first analysis of the conductor, holomorphy and non-vanishing of certain local intertwining operators.)

Other Transfers: This general method, and variations thereof, has also been used to establish Functorialities in the following situations.

Н	^{L}H	$u:^{L}H \rightarrow^{L}G$	^{L}G	G	
$GL_2 \times GL_2$	$GL_2(\mathbb{C}) \times GL_2(\mathbb{C})$	\otimes	$GL_4(\mathbb{C})$	GL_4	R
$GL_2 \times GL_3$	$GL_2(\mathbb{C}) \times GL_3(\mathbb{C})$	\otimes	$GL_6(\mathbb{C})$	GL_6	K & S
GL_4	$GL_4(\mathbb{C})$	\wedge^2	$GL_6(\mathbb{C})$	GL_6	Κ
GL_2/E		Asai		GL_4	R; Kr
$U_{n,n}$		Base Change		GL_{2n}/E	K & Kr
$GSpin_{2n+1}$	$GSp_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$	\hookrightarrow	$GL_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$	GL_{2n}	A & S
$GSpin_{2n}$	$GSO_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$	\hookrightarrow	$GL_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$	GL_{2n}	A & S

J.W. COGDELL

In the attribution column, R = D. Ramakrishnan, K = H. Kim, S = F. Shahidi, Kr = M. Krishnamurthy, and A = M. Asgari.

The tensor product and exterior square functorialities of Kim and Shahidi then led them to the symmetric cube and fourth power liftings from GL_2 to GL_4 and GL_5 respectively and holomorphy results for the symmetric power *L*-functions for GL_2 up to the ninth power. These results were then applied to:

• improved bounds towards the Ramanujan and Selberg conjectures for GL_2 (Kim and Shahidi, Kim and Sarnak);

• the hyperbolic circle problem (Kim and Shahidi);

• resolution of Hilbert's eleventh problem for positive ternary quadratic forms over a totally real number field (C, Piatetski-Shapiro, and Sarnak).

So, in the end, these seemingly far removed results have had applications to very concrete arithmetic problems.