

### Part III Model Theory, Lecture 10, 30 Nov

long term goal: Analyze countable models & complete theories.

Ex: DLO, RG are  $\aleph_0$ -categorical.  $ACF_p$ : countable models are  $K_\alpha$  for  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\aleph_0\}$  where  $K_\alpha$  has trans degree  $\alpha$ .

#### Saturated Models

Def 10.1 Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be an infinite  $\mathcal{L}$ -structure & let  $\kappa \geq |\mathcal{L}| + \aleph_0$ . Then  $\mathcal{M}$  is  $\kappa$ -saturated if for any  $A \subseteq M$ , with  $|A| < \kappa$ , every type in  $S_n^{\mathcal{M}}(A)$  is realized in  $\mathcal{M}$  for all  $n \geq 1$ .

#### Remark 10.2

a) Restricting to complete types is not important since any  $n$ -type over  $A$  wrt  $\mathcal{M}$  can be extended to some  $p \in S_n^{\mathcal{M}}(A)$  (ES2 #6)

b) (ES2 #8) It suffices to assume  $n=1$  to prove  $\kappa$ -saturation.

c) If  $\mathcal{M}$  is  $\kappa$ -saturated then  $|M| \geq \kappa$ .

Pf:  $\{x \neq a : a \in M\}$  is a 1-type over  $M$  wrt  $\mathcal{M}$ , not realized in  $\mathcal{M}$ .

Def 10.3 Let  $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}$  be  $\mathcal{L}$ -structures and  $A \subseteq M, B \subseteq N$ . Then  $f: A \rightarrow B$  is partial elementary if for any  $\mathcal{L}$ -formula  $\phi(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  and  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$ ,  
 $M \models \phi(\bar{a})$  iff  $N \models \phi(f(\bar{a}))$ .

Given  $\kappa \geq |\mathcal{L}| + \aleph_0$ ,  $\mathcal{M}$  is  $\kappa$ -homogeneous if for any  $A \subseteq M$ , with  $|A| < \kappa$ , any partial elementary  $f: A \rightarrow M$ , and any  $c \in M$ ,  $\exists d \in M$  st  $f \cup \{(c, d)\}$  is partial elementary.

Let  $T$  be a complete  $\mathcal{L}$ -theory with infinite models. Fix  $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N} \models T$

Then  $S_n^{\mathcal{M}}(\phi) = S_n^{\mathcal{N}}(\phi)$  since  $\text{Th}(\mathcal{M}) = \text{Th}(\mathcal{N}) = T$

Def 10.4  $S_n(T) := S_n^{\mathcal{M}}(\phi)$  for some/any  $\mathcal{M} \models T$ .

Proposition 10.5  $\mathcal{M} \models T$  is  $\aleph_0$ -saturated iff  $\mathcal{M}$  is  $\aleph_0$ -homogeneous and

$\mathcal{M}$  realizes all types in  $S_n(T) \forall n \geq 1$ .

Proof ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Assume  $\mathcal{M} \models T$  is  $\aleph_0$ -saturated. Then  $\mathcal{M}$  realizes all types in  $S_n(T)$  since

$\emptyset$  is finite. Fix finite  $A \subset M$ , partial elementary  $f: A \rightarrow M$ , and  $c \in M$ .

Define  $p \in S_1^M(f(A))$  st  $\phi(x, f(\bar{a})) \in p$  iff  $\mathcal{M} \models \phi(c, \bar{a})$ .

Notation:  $f(tp^M(c/A)) = p$ .  $p \in S_1^M(f(A))$ . e.g.  $p$  is finitely satisfiable in  $\mathcal{M}$ :

If  $\phi(x, f(\bar{a})) \in p$  then  $\mathcal{M} \models \exists x \phi(x, \bar{a})$  so  $\mathcal{M} \models \exists x \phi(x, f(\bar{a}))$ .

Let  $d \in M$  realize  $p$ . Then  $f \cup f(c, d)$  is partial elementary.

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Fix  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in M$  and  $p \in S_1^M(\{a_1, \dots, a_n\})$ . WTS  $\mathcal{M}$  realizes  $p$ .

Set  $q = \{ \phi(x, y_1, \dots, y_n) : \phi(x, \bar{a}) \in p \}$ . Then  $q \in S_{n+1}(T)$ . Let  $d, b_1, \dots, b_n \in M$

st  $(d, \bar{b}) \models q$ . Then  $tp^M(\bar{b}) = tp^M(\bar{a})$ . So  $f: b_i \rightarrow a_i \forall i$  is partial elementary.

$tp^M(\bar{b}/\emptyset)$

Let  $c \in M$  st  $f \cup f(d, c)$  is partial elementary. Then  $tp^M((c, \bar{a})) = tp^M((d, \bar{b})) = q$ .

So  $(c, \bar{a}) \models q$  i.e.  $c \models p$ . □

Notation: Given  $\mathcal{M}$ ,  $\bar{a}, \bar{b} \in M^n$ , write  $\bar{a} \equiv^{\mathcal{M}} \bar{b}$  iff  $tp^{\mathcal{M}}(\bar{a}) = tp^{\mathcal{M}}(\bar{b})$

So  $\mathcal{M}$  is  $\aleph_0$ -hom. iff whenever  $\bar{a} \equiv^{\mathcal{M}} \bar{b}$  and  $c \in M$ ,  $\exists d \in M$  st

$(\bar{a}, c) \equiv^{\mathcal{M}} (\bar{b}, d)$ .

Lemma 10.6 For any  $\mathcal{M} \models T$  there is  $\mathcal{N} \geq \mathcal{M}$  st  $|\mathcal{N}| \leq |\mathcal{M}| + |\mathcal{Z}|$  and

$\mathcal{N}$  is  $\aleph_0$ -homogeneous.

Proof

Claim: For any  $\mathcal{M} \models T$ , there is  $\mathcal{N} \geq \mathcal{M}$  st  $|\mathcal{N}| \leq |\mathcal{M}| + |\mathcal{Z}|$  and

$\forall \bar{a}, \bar{b}, c$  from  $\mathcal{M}$ , st  $\bar{a} \equiv^{\mathcal{M}} \bar{b}$ ,  $\exists d \in \mathcal{N}$   $(\bar{a}, c) \equiv^{\mathcal{N}} (\bar{b}, d)$ .

Proof: Enumerate all  $(\bar{a}, \bar{b}, c)$  as  $(\bar{a}_\alpha, \bar{b}_\alpha, c_\alpha)_{\alpha < |M|}$ . We build an elementary chain  $(M_\alpha)_{\alpha < |M|}$  st  $M_0 = M$  and  $|M_\alpha| \leq |M| + |\mathcal{L}| \forall \alpha$ .

For  $\alpha$  limit, let  $M_\alpha = \bigcup_{i < \alpha} M_i$  (ES1 #8). Then  $|M_\alpha| \leq |\alpha|(|M| + |\mathcal{L}|) = |M| + |\mathcal{L}|$ .

Given  $M_\alpha$ , look at  $(\bar{a}_\alpha, \bar{b}_\alpha, c_\alpha)$ . We have  $\bar{a}_\alpha \equiv^M \bar{b}_\alpha$ . Let  $f_\alpha: \bar{a}_\alpha \rightarrow \bar{b}_\alpha$  be partial elementary. Apply Prop 8.4 to find  $M_{\alpha+1} \geq M_\alpha$  st  $|M_{\alpha+1}| \leq |M_\alpha| + |\mathcal{L}|$

$\leq |M| + |\mathcal{L}|$ , and  $\exists d \in M_{\alpha+1}$  realizing  $f_\alpha(\text{tp}(c_\alpha/\bar{a}_\alpha))$ . Then

$(\bar{a}_\alpha, c_\alpha) \equiv^M (\bar{b}_\alpha, d)$ . Let  $N = \bigcup_{\alpha < |M|} M_\alpha$ . Then  $|N| \leq |M|(|M| + |\mathcal{L}|) = |M| + |\mathcal{L}|$ . //

We now build  $M = N_0 \leq N_1 \leq N_2 \leq \dots$  st  $|N_i| \leq |M| + |\mathcal{L}|$

and  $\forall \bar{a}, \bar{b}, c$  from  $N_i$  if  $\bar{a} \equiv \bar{b}$  then  $\exists d \in N_{i+1}$  st  $(\bar{a}, c) \equiv (\bar{b}, d)$

Do this by iterating the claim. Let  $N = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}_0} N_i$ . Then  $|N| \leq |M| + |\mathcal{L}|$ .

$N$  is  $\mathcal{L}_0$ -homogeneous: Any  $\bar{a}, \bar{b}, c$  from  $N$  are in  $N_i$  for some  $i$ .  $\square$