

Stable Groups

Def 20.1 Let \mathcal{L} be a language. An \mathcal{L} -structure G is an expansion of a group if \mathcal{L} contains the language of groups and the reduct of G to the group language is a group.

We conflate G with its universe.

Examples

- ① If G is an abelian group then $\text{Th}(G, +, 0)$ is stable (Folklore).
- ② If G is a free group then $\text{Th}(G, \cdot, 1)$ is stable (Sela 2006)
- ③ If $\mathcal{P} = \{2^n : n \geq 1\}$ then $\text{Th}(\mathbb{Z}, +, 0, \mathcal{P})$ is stable (Housser-Scanlon 2007)
- ④ Let G be an algebraic group over some $K \models \text{ACF}$. Consider expansion of G by relation symbols for all subsets of G^n ($n \geq 1$) definable in the field language. Then $\text{Th}(G)$ is w -stable.

Let $T = \text{Th}(G)$ where G is an expansion of a group. Given an \mathcal{L}_G -formula $\phi(x)$, let $\phi(G) = \{a \in G : G \models \phi(a)\}$. Recall that $X \subseteq G$ is definable if $X = \phi(G)$ for some \mathcal{L}_G -formula $\phi(x)$.

Def 20.2 Let $\phi(x, y_1, \dots, y_n)$ be an \mathcal{L} -formula. Define \mathcal{H}_ϕ to be the collection of all finite-index subgroups of G of the form $\phi(G, \bar{b})$ for some $\bar{b} \in G^n$.

Let $G^\circ(\phi) = \bigcap_{H \in \mathcal{H}_\phi} H$. If $\mathcal{H}_\phi = \emptyset$ then $G^\circ(\phi) = G$.

Example 20.3 $G = (\mathbb{Z}, +, \cdot, 0, 1)$ $\phi(x, y)$ is $\exists z (x = y \cdot z)$ (y divides x)
 $\phi(\mathbb{Z}, m) = m\mathbb{Z}$. So $G^\circ(\phi) = \{0\}$.

$$\left[m\mathbb{Z} \in \mathcal{H}_\phi \quad \forall m \neq 0 \quad \{0\} \notin \mathcal{H}_\phi \right] \quad \left[\bigcap_{m \neq 0} m\mathbb{Z} = \{0\} \right]$$

Theorem 20.4 (Baldwin-Saxl 1976)

Assume $T = \text{Th}(G)$ is stable. Then for any L -formula $\varphi(x, \bar{y}_1, \dots, \bar{y}_n)$, there is a finite $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{H}_\varphi$ st $G \models \varphi = \bigcap \mathcal{F}$. Moreover, $G \models \varphi$ is definable by an L -formula.

Proof Fix $\varphi(x, \bar{y})$. wlog $\mathcal{H}_\varphi \neq \emptyset$.

Claim 1 $\exists m \geq 1$ st \forall finite $\mathcal{H} \in \mathcal{H}_\varphi$ then $\exists \mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$, $|\mathcal{F}| = m$, st $\bigcap \mathcal{H} = \bigcap \mathcal{F}$.

Proof: Suppose not. Fix $m \geq 1$. Then \exists finite $\mathcal{H} \in \mathcal{H}_\varphi$ st $\forall \mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$, $|\mathcal{F}| = m$, we have $\bigcap \mathcal{H} \subsetneq \bigcap \mathcal{F}$. After thinning \mathcal{H} we may assume $|\mathcal{H}| > m$, and if $\mathcal{F} \subsetneq \mathcal{H}$ then $\bigcap \mathcal{H} \subsetneq \bigcap \mathcal{F}$. Let $\mathcal{H} = \{H_1, \dots, H_k\}$ ($k > m$).

For $1 \leq i \leq k$, choose $g_i \in \left(\bigcap_{j \neq i} H_j \right) \setminus H_i$. Given $I \subseteq \{1, \dots, k\}$, let

$g_I = \prod_{i \in I} g_i$. Then $g_I \in H_j$ iff $j \notin I$:

$j \notin I \Rightarrow g_i \in H_j \ \forall i \in I \Rightarrow g_I \in H_j$.

$j \in I \Rightarrow g_{I \setminus \{j\}} \in H_j$ and $g_j \notin H_j \Rightarrow g_I \notin H_j$.

Choose $\bar{b}_j \in G^n$ st $H_j = \varphi(G, \bar{b}_j)$. Let $a_i = g_{\{i\}} (= \prod_{j \in \{i\}} g_j)$

Then $G \models \varphi(a_i, \bar{b}_j)$ iff $g_{\{i\}} \in H_j$ iff $i \leq j$.

Since k can be chosen arb. large, we get OP for $\varphi(x, \bar{y})$ by ES3 #6. //

Fix $m \geq 1$ as in Claim 1. Let $\psi(x, \bar{y}_1, \dots, \bar{y}_m) \equiv \bigwedge_{i=1}^m \varphi(x, \bar{y}_i)$.

Claim 2: \mathcal{H}_ψ contains a minimal element.

Proof: Suppose not. There is $H_0 \supsetneq H_1 \supsetneq H_2 \supsetneq \dots$ with $H_i \in \mathcal{H}_\psi$.

Choose $g_i \in H_i \setminus H_{i+1}$. Then $g_i \in H_j$ iff $j \leq i$. So ψ has OP ant. \downarrow //

Let H be a minimal element of \mathcal{H}_ψ . Note $H = \bigcap \mathcal{F}$ where $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{H}_\psi$, $|\mathcal{F}| = m$.

Claim 3: $H = G^\circ(\varphi)$.

Proof: $G^\circ(\varphi) \leq H$ by def. of $G^\circ(\varphi)$. Fix $K \in \mathcal{H}_\varphi$. WTS $H \leq K$.

By Claim 1, $H \cap K \in \mathcal{H}_\varphi$. By minimality of H , $H = H \cap K$, i.e. $H \leq K$. //

Claim 4: $G^\circ(\varphi)$ is definable by an \mathcal{L} -formula.

Proof: let $k = [G : G^\circ(\varphi)]$. Then $a \in G^\circ(\varphi)$ iff $\forall \bar{b} \in G^n$,

if $\varphi(G, \bar{b})$ is a subgroup of G of index at most k then $\varphi(a, \bar{b})$.

This expressible by an \mathcal{L} -formula. //

□