## Part III - Model Theory

Comments on chains

Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a language. The following definition was given in lecture.

**Definition 3.7.** Let  $\alpha$  be a limit ordinal. A collection  $(\mathcal{M}_i)_{i<\alpha}$  of  $\mathcal{L}$ -structures is a **chain** if for all  $i < \alpha$ ,  $\mathcal{M}_i \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{i+1}$  and  $\mathcal{M}_i \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{\beta}$  for any limit ordinal  $\beta$  with  $i < \beta < \alpha$ .

This definition was made overly complicated because I first stated it incorrectly. A better way to state this is as follows.

**Definition 3.7 (alternate).** Let  $\alpha$  be a limit ordinal. A collection  $(\mathcal{M}_i)_{i<\alpha}$  of  $\mathcal{L}$ -structures is a **chain** if  $\mathcal{M}_i \subseteq \mathcal{M}_j$  for all  $i < j < \alpha$ .

Similarly,  $(\mathcal{M}_i)_{i<\alpha}$  is an **elementary chain** (according to the definition from lecture) if and only if  $\mathcal{M}_i \leq \mathcal{M}_j$  for all  $i < j < \alpha$ .

You can treat it as an exercise to prove the equivalence of these definitions. The basic point is that  $\subseteq$  and  $\preceq$  are transitive relations on  $\mathcal{L}$ -structures, which is a good thing to be aware of anyway.

A final comment is that the previous notions make sense for chains indexed by arbitrary linear orders. In other words, one need not assume the index set is a well-order with no maximal element. But we will focus mainly on ordinal-indexed chains.