

## Part III - Model Theory

### Examples Sheet 3

Problems 1 and 7 should be submitted through the course Moodle by 11:59 pm on Wednesday, 25 November.

1. Let  $T$  be a complete theory in a countable language.
  - (a) Show that any atomic model of  $T$  is  $\aleph_0$ -homogeneous.
  - (b) Suppose  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{N}$  are countable  $\aleph_0$ -homogeneous models of  $T$  such that, for any  $n \geq 1$  and  $p \in S_n(T)$ ,  $\mathcal{M}$  realizes  $p$  if and only if  $\mathcal{N}$  realizes  $p$ . Prove that  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{N}$  are isomorphic.
  - (c) Show that any two prime models of  $T$  are isomorphic.
2. Fix  $k \geq 1$  and let  $\mathcal{L} = \{<, U_1, \dots, U_k, c_0, c_1, c_2, \dots\}$ , where  $<$  is a binary relation symbol, each  $U_i$  is a unary relation symbol, and each  $c_i$  is a constant symbol. Let  $T_k$  be the  $\mathcal{L}$ -theory asserting that  $<$  is a dense linear order,  $U_1, \dots, U_k$  partition the universe into dense subsets, and, for all  $n \geq 0$ ,  $c_n < c_{n+1}$  and  $U_1(c_n)$ . Prove that  $T_k$  is complete, and that  $I(T, \aleph_0) = k + 2$ . (We proved this during lecture for  $k = 1$ .)
3. Suppose  $\mathcal{M}$  is a  $\kappa$ -saturated  $\mathcal{L}$ -structure, and  $A \subseteq M$  with  $|A| < \kappa$ . Show that  $\mathcal{M}$  is  $\kappa$ -saturated as an  $\mathcal{L}_A$ -structure.
4. Let  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{N}$  be saturated  $\mathcal{L}$ -structures. Prove that if  $\mathcal{M} \equiv \mathcal{N}$  and  $|M| = |N|$ , then  $\mathcal{M} \cong \mathcal{N}$ . (In light of Proposition 11.4, you may assume  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{N}$  are uncountable.)
5. Let  $T$  be a complete  $\mathcal{L}$ -theory with infinite models, and let  $\kappa \geq |\mathcal{L}| + \aleph_0$ . Show that  $T$  is  $\kappa$ -stable if and only if for any  $\mathcal{M} \models T$  and  $A \subseteq M$ , if  $|A| \leq \kappa$  then  $|S_n(A)| \leq \kappa$  for all  $n \geq 1$ .
6. Let  $T$  be a complete  $\mathcal{L}$ -theory, and let  $\varphi(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$  be an  $\mathcal{L}$ -formula. Show that  $\varphi(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$  has the order property with respect to  $T$  if and only if for all  $k \geq 1$ ,

$$T \models \exists \bar{x}_1 \dots \exists \bar{x}_k \exists \bar{y}_1 \dots \exists \bar{y}_k \left( \bigwedge_{i \leq j} \varphi(\bar{x}_i, \bar{y}_j) \wedge \bigwedge_{i > j} \neg \varphi(\bar{x}_i, \bar{y}_j) \right).$$

7. Let  $T$  be a complete  $\mathcal{L}$ -theory with quantifier elimination, and suppose  $\mathcal{M} \models T$ . Let  $p \in S_n(M)$ . Show that  $p$  is definable if and only if it is definable with respect to every atomic formula  $\varphi(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$  with  $\bar{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  and  $\bar{y}$  arbitrary.
8. Let  $T = \text{Th}(\mathbb{Z}, <)$ . Show that every type in  $S_n(\mathbb{Z})$  is definable.