

## COUNTABLE SETS, OPEN SETS, ZERO MEASURE SETS

One-one functions are also called “injective” and “surjective” stands for onto; if a function is both injective and surjective, it is called “bijective”.

Clearly, two sets  $A$  and  $B$  containing finitely many elements have the same number of elements –the same *cardinality*  $|A| = |B|$ – iff there is a bijective function  $f$  from  $A$  to  $B$ .

We can extend the notion of cardinality to infinite sets:

**Definition.** Two sets have the same cardinality,  $|A| = |B|$ , if there is a bijective  $f : A \rightarrow B$ .

**Exercise 1** (15p). Show that if  $A$  is such that there is a surjective map  $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow A$  and a surjective  $g : A \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ , then there is a bijective  $h : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow A$  and thus  $|A| = |\mathbb{N}|$ . (Hint: one possibility is to define  $h$  inductively, eliminating repetitions. Namely, you can try to make the following construction precise: “ $h(1) = f(1)$ ; if  $f(2) = f(1)$  then skip 2 and look for the smallest  $n = n_2$  s.t.  $f(n_2) \neq f(1)$  and define  $h(2) = f(n_2)$  etc.”.)

### COUNTABLE SETS

Sets  $A$  s.t.  $|A| = |\mathbb{N}|$  are called countable. If there is a map from  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  to  $A$  which is bijective, then  $A$  is a *finite* set, and  $n$  is its cardinality.

Sometimes, countable is understood as “finite or countable”. Then, to distinguish finite sets from sets s.t.  $|A| = |\mathbb{N}|$ , one calls  $A$  in the latter case *countably infinite*. In this note, I will use this terminology.

We showed in class that there is a surjective map from  $\mathbb{N}$  to  $\mathbb{Q}$  (the two-dimensional spiraling count function) and also an injective one (the identity is injective from  $\mathbb{N}$  to, in fact,  $\mathbb{N} \subset \mathbb{Q}$ ). It follows from the definition and from Exercise 1 that  $\mathbb{Q}$  is countably infinite.

### OPEN SETS

**Definition** A set  $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathbb{R}$  is called open if for any  $x \in \mathcal{O}$  there is a  $\delta > 0$  s.t.  $(x - \delta, x + \delta) \subset \mathcal{O}$ . The empty set  $\emptyset$  is open (it has no elements to speak of, so the condition holds “vacuously”).  $\mathbb{R}$  is open, and for  $b > a$ ,  $(a, b)$  is open (check!).

**Exercise 2** (15p). Show that any nonempty open set  $\mathcal{O}$  in  $\mathbb{R}$  can be written in a unique way as a countable (as we agreed, this could mean finite) union of nonempty disjoint intervals. One way to proceed is the following:

(a) For any  $x \in \mathcal{O}$  take  $J_x$  to be the largest interval  $(a, b)$  containing it. Here,  $a$  is defined as  $\inf\{a' : (a', x) \subset \mathcal{O}\}$  and  $b = \sup\{b' : (x, b') \subset \mathcal{O}\}$ . If the  $a$ 's are unbounded below then we write  $a = -\infty$  and similarly if the  $b$ ' are unbounded, we write  $b = +\infty$ . Show that this construction makes sense and let  $\mathcal{J}$  be the set of all intervals thus constructed. Let  $\mathcal{J} = \{A : A = J_x \text{ for some } x \in \mathcal{O}\}$ . that, if  $x, y \in \mathcal{O}$ , then

$$(1) \quad \text{Either } J_x = J_y \text{ or else } J_x \cap J_y = \emptyset$$

(b) Take a bijection  $f$  from  $\mathbb{N}$  into  $\mathbb{Q}$  and denote  $f(i) = q_i$ . If there is an  $x \in \mathcal{O}$  s.t.  $q_i \in J_x$  then let  $\hat{J}_i = J_x$  and otherwise let  $\hat{J}_i = \emptyset$ . Show that  $i \mapsto \hat{J}_i$  defines a surjective map from  $\mathbb{N}$  to  $\mathcal{J}$ . This shows that the set  $\mathcal{J}$  is countable.

(c) As in Exercise 1, show that there is a bijective  $f$  map defined either on  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  or else on  $\mathbb{N}$ , with values in  $\mathcal{J}$ .

#### LEBESGUE MEASURE OF OPEN SETS; ZERO MEASURE SETS

Let  $\mathcal{O}$  be an open set and  $\mathcal{J}$  its disjoint interval decomposition. We distinguish the following cases:

(i) Some interval in  $\mathcal{J}$  is infinite (i.e., of the form  $(-\infty, a), (a, \infty), (-\infty, \infty)$ ). Then we say that the measure of  $\mathcal{O}$  is  $\lambda(\mathcal{O}) = +\infty$ .

(ii) there are finitely many disjoint intervals  $(a_i, b_i)$  in  $\mathcal{J}$ , each of them finite. Then  $\mathcal{J} = \cup_{i=1}^N (a_i, b_i)$  and we define the measure of  $\mathcal{J}$ ,  $\lambda(\mathcal{J}) = \sum_{i=1}^N (b_i - a_i)$ .

(iii) The last case is: there are countably infinitely many intervals  $(a_i, b_i)$  in  $\mathcal{J}$ , each of them finite. Note that, if there is an  $M$  s.t.  $\forall N S_N = \sum_{i=1}^N (b_i - a_i) < M$ , then  $S_N$  has a limit as  $N \rightarrow \infty$  since the sequence  $\{S_N\}$  is nondecreasing. If no such upper bound  $M$  exists, then  $\sum_{i=1}^N (b_i - a_i) \rightarrow +\infty$ .

**Definition.** We define  $\lambda(\mathcal{J}) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^N (b_i - a_i)$  if the limit exists, and  $\lambda(\mathcal{J}) = +\infty$  otherwise.

**Definition.** The measure of an open set  $\mathcal{O}$ ,  $\lambda(\mathcal{O})$ , is defined as the measure  $\lambda(\mathcal{J})$  of its disjoint interval decomposition.

**Definition.** A set  $S \subset \mathbb{R}$  has zero measure if for any  $\varepsilon$  there is an open set  $\mathcal{O}_\varepsilon \supset S$  with  $\lambda(\mathcal{O}_\varepsilon) < \varepsilon$ .

**Exercise 3** (15p). Show that a finite or countably infinite set  $S$  has zero measure. Hint: one possibility is to note that

$$S \subset \bigcup_{s_n \in S} (s_n - 2^{-n}\varepsilon, s_n + 2^{-n}\varepsilon)$$

and show that  $\lambda\left(\bigcup_{s_n \in S} (s_n - 2^{-n}\varepsilon, s_n + 2^{-n}\varepsilon)\right) < 2\varepsilon$ . The sets  $(s_n - 2^{-n}\varepsilon, s_n + 2^{-n}\varepsilon)$  can be inductively chosen s.t. they are mutually disjoint.

In particular,  $\mathbb{Q}$  has zero measure.

**Notes.** (i) Check that any nonempty open set has positive measure.

(ii) There are sets which are uncountable and yet have zero measure. An example is the following (example of a *Cantor set*): the set of all  $x \in (0, 1)$  for which no digit in the decimal representation equals 7 (of course 7 can be replaced with 1, 2, ..., 9). (Don't try to prove (ii), unless you need something hard to work on.)