

ONE MORE PROPERTY OF REAL NUMBERS

1. DEDEKIND CUTS

Once the natural numbers \mathbb{N} , then the signed integers \mathbb{Z} and finally the rationals, \mathbb{Q} have been constructed, Dedekind cuts are a common way to define the real numbers, \mathbb{R} .¹

Definition 1. *A Dedekind cut is a partition of the rational numbers into two non-empty sets A and B , such that all elements of A are less than all elements of B , and A contains no greatest element.*

A real number is then identified with a Dedekind cut².

We don't aim at constructing \mathbb{R} here³. Instead we assume \mathbb{R} exists as a set of objects (numbers) satisfying (P1),..., (P12) as well as (P13') below. (P13') is essentially equivalent to the property (P13) discussed later in the course.

Property (P13'). *For any two non-empty sets A and B of rational numbers such that $\mathbb{Q} = A \cup B$, if all elements of A are less than all elements of B , then there is a unique $x \in \mathbb{R}$ s.t. for any $a \in A$ and any $b \in B$ we have $a \leq x \leq b$.*

Note first that A and B consist of rational numbers only, since $\mathbb{Q} = A \cup B$.

Exercise 1. *Show that for any non-empty sets A and B as in (P13') and for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a pair (a, b) with $a \in A$ and $b \in B$ such that $|b - a| = b - a < 1/n$.*

Denote $\mathbb{R}^+ := \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x > 0\}$, i.e. \mathbb{R}^+ is the set of positive real numbers. This is a common notation, much more so than “ P ”.

Exercise 2. *Show that for any $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}^+$ there is an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $\frac{1}{n} < \varepsilon$. Relatedly, show that for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$ there is an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $n > |x|$.*

Exercise 3. *Show that the sets defined by $A = \{x \in \mathbb{Q} : x^2 < 2\}$ and $B = \{x \in \mathbb{Q} : x^2 > 2\}$ satisfy the conditions of (P13'), and that the corresponding x has the property $x^2 = 2$.*

Note 2. Since we proved that a number s.t. $x^2 = 2$ cannot be rational, this shows that the existence of $\sqrt{2}$ (*a fortiori* (P13')) does not follow from (P1),..., (P12). Furthermore, though the claims in Exercise 2 seem obvious, they do *not* follow solely from (P1),..., (P12) either!

¹The construction of integers is an “easier” task, once the foundations of math have been established say based on sets and their properties. If you are interested about this set-theoretical foundation, this article on Wikipedia is pretty easy to follow:

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Zermelo%E2%80%93Fraenkel_set_theory

To see how integers are defined in terms of sets see

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Set-theoretic_definition_of_natural_numbers

²Intuitively, a Dedekind cut is a pair (A, B) where of the form $(-\infty, x) \cap \mathbb{Q}, (x, \infty) \cap \mathbb{Q}$ where \langle can be $[$ or $($, and x is a real number. This singles out the real number x . *Of course, it would be circular to define the cut using this type of intervals, since we don't have real numbers before we construct them.* But this intuition suggests that, if we don't write A, B as intervals, the pair (A, B) can be taken as the “name of x ”.

³A good book where you can see the details is “A Course in Modern Analysis” by E. T. Whittaker and G. N. Watson, Cambridge University Press (2002).