# Some results on inhomogeneous discriminants

#### Abstract

We study generalized Horn-Kapranov rational parametrizations of inhomogeneous sparse discriminants from both a theoretical and an algorithmic perspective. In particular, we focus on the computation of inhomogeneous discriminants related to integer matrices B as an implicitization problem with base points corresponding to a H-K parametrization  $\psi_B$ . We show that all these parametrizations are birational refining a previous result by Kapranov and prove some results on the corresponding implicit equations. We also propose a combinatorial algorithm to compute the degree of inhomogeneous discriminantal surfaces associated to uniform matrices.

If time permits, we will present some examples that show numerous difficulties for computing the (Hilbert-Samuel) multiplicities of these points. Joint work with Alicia Dickenstein.

Reference: http://arxiv.org/abs/math/0610031

# 1 Motivation to study the problem

# 1.1 A-discriminants

- $A = \{a_1, \ldots, a_n\} \subset \mathbb{Z}^{d-1}$  (*n* lattice points) (n > d 1)
- $F_A = \sum_{a \in A} x_a t^a$  (generic polynomial in d-1 variables  $(t_1, \ldots, t_{d-1})$  with exponents in A).

 $[GKZ] + (certain hypothesis) \Rightarrow exists an irred. poly <math>D_A \in \mathbb{Z}[x_a : a \in A]$ (unique up to sign) s.t.

$$D_A(c) = 0 \iff \exists t = (t_1, \dots, t_{d-1}) \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^{d-1} \ s.t. \ F_A(c)(t) = \frac{\partial F_A(c)}{\partial t_i}(t) = 0 \ \forall i.$$

**Definition 1.1**  $D_A := A$ -discriminant (affine invariant of A).

Remark 1.2 Key properties:

- $D_A$  is an affine invariant;
- certain homogeneity condition.

**Next step:** built a matrix (also called A) in  $\mathbb{Z}^{d \times n}$  with columns (1, a) (for all  $a \in A$ ). Assume A is of maximal rank d.

Built  $B \in \mathbb{Z}^{n \times (n-d)}$  with columns a  $\mathbb{Z}$  basis of ker A.

(Idea: B expresses affine dependencies of the initial config. of pts.)

**Definition 1.3**  $B := Gale \ dual \ of \ A$ 

Remark 1.4 Properties of B:

- 1. B is of full rank m = n d = n rk(A);
- 2.  $\sum$  rows of  $B = (0, \ldots, 0)$  (because 1st. row of A is  $(1, \ldots, 1)$ ).
- 3.  $g_B = (gcd \ of \ mxl \ minors \ of \ B) = 1.$

**Definition 1.5** Under conditions (1) and (2), B is called regular.

**Remark 1.6**  $D_A$  is A-homogeneous, i.e.  $\exists v \in \mathbb{Z}^d$  s.t. all monomials  $c^{\nu}$  in  $D_A = \sum_{\nu} d_{\nu} x^{\nu}$  satisfy  $A \cdot \nu = v$ . (i.e. quasi-homogenous relative to the weight defined by any vector in the row span of A).

Want: poly with A-homogeneity = 0. "Take our this homogeneities"  $\Rightarrow \forall \nu_0 \text{ s.t. } A \cdot \nu = v$ :

$$D_A(x) = x^{\nu_0} \sum_{\nu} d_{\nu} x^{\nu - \nu_0},$$

where  $d_{\nu} \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$  and  $\nu - \nu_0 \in \ker_{\mathbb{Z}}(A) = \langle \operatorname{cols} B \rangle$ .

- Write each  $\nu \nu_0$  as a  $\mathbb{Z}$  linear combination of the columns  $v^{(1)}, \ldots, v^{(m)}$  of *B*. Call  $y_i = v^{(i)}$  (new indeterminates).
- $\Rightarrow \exists$  Laurent polynomial  $\Delta_B(y)$  in *m* variables such that up to a monomial  $(x^{\nu_0}), \Delta_B(x^{v^{(1)}}, \ldots, x^{v^{(m)}})$  equals  $D_A(x)$ .

**Remark 1.7**  $\Delta_B$  has the same number of monomials and the same coefficients as  $D_A$ .

**GOAL:** Compute  $\Delta_B$  and describe de hypersurface  $(\Delta_B = 0) \subset \mathbb{C}^m$  ( $\Rightarrow$  get  $D_A$ !!!!).

## 1.2 Horn-Kapranov rational parametrization

- Nice way of constructing the hypersurface  $(\Delta_B = 0)$ .
- Need only *some* prop. of *B*.

**Setting:**  $C \in \mathbb{Z}^{n \times m}$  of mxl rank  $m \ (m \ge n)$  s.t.  $\sum$  rows of C = 0 (i.e. C regular), and has no *zero* rows.

• Call  $C_1, \ldots, C_n \in \mathbb{Z}^m$  rows of C and define:

$$l_k(u_1,\ldots,u_m) := \langle C_k, (u_1,\ldots,u_m) \rangle \quad \forall k = 1,\ldots,n.$$
(1)

• Construct rational map:

$$\psi_C : \mathbb{C}^m \dashrightarrow \mathbb{C}^m \quad (u_1, \dots, u_m) \mapsto (y_1, \dots, y_m),$$

where

$$y_k = \prod_{i=1}^n l_i (u_1, \dots, u_m)^{c_{i,k}} \quad \forall k = 1, \dots, m.$$
 (2)

and set  $S_C = \overline{im \psi_C} \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ .

**Remark 1.8** *C* regular  $\Rightarrow$   $y_k$  have degree 0.

• Better presentation: define

$$f_0 = \prod_{i=1}^n l_i^{-\min\{0, c_{i,k}: k=1,...,m\}}$$

(i.e. the least common denominator of all the  $y_k$ 's) and write

$$y_k = \frac{f_k}{f_0}, \quad k = 1, \dots m.$$
 (3)

**Remark 1.9** By Remark 1.8, deg  $f_0 = \ldots = \text{deg } f_m = d_C$  (can be read from matrix C)

$$d_C = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \min\{0, c_{i,k} : k = 1, \dots, m\}.$$
(4)

(i.e. pick most negative entry in each row and change sign)

Corollary 1.10 Can define

$$\psi_C: \mathbb{P}^{m-1} \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^m, \tag{5}$$

where  $\psi_C = (f_0 : f_1 : \cdots : f_m)$  is defined **outside** the base point locus  $\mathcal{Z} = V(f_0, \ldots, f_m)$ .

**Definition 1.11**  $S_C := proj.$  variety defined by  $\psi_C \ (\subset \mathbb{P}^m)$ .

**Question 1.12** • Describe Z in terms of matrix C.

• When does  $S_C$  be a hypersurface? In this case:  $S_C = (\Delta_C = 0)!!!$ 

Example 1.13

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \quad \Rightarrow \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ -2 & -3 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

i.e. the discriminant  $D_A(x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3)$  of the generic polynomial  $F_A = x_0 + x_1t + x_2t^2 + x_3t^3$  equals

$$D_A(x) = -27x_3^2x_0^2 + 18x_3x_0x_2x_1 + x_2^2x_1^2 - 4x_2^3x_0 - 4x_3x_1^3.$$

Note that  $g_B = 1$ , so that the columns of B are a basis of the integer kernel of A. Calling  $l_0(u, v) := u + 2v$ ,  $l_1(u, v) := -2u - 3v$ ,  $l_2(u, v) := u$  and  $l_3(u, v) := v$ , the parametrization  $\psi_B$  equals

$$\begin{cases} y_1 &:= \frac{l_0 l_2}{l_1^2} \\ y_2 &:= \frac{l_0^2 l_3}{l_1^3} \end{cases}$$

Its closed image is the hypersurface  $S_B = \{\Delta_B = 0\}$ , where

$$\Delta_B(y_1, y_2) = -4y_2 - 27y_2^2 + y_1^2 + 18y_2y_1 - 4y_1^3,$$
  
$$\Delta_B(y_1, y_2) = D_A(1, 1, y_1, y_2).$$

Conversely, up to a monomial  $D_A$  equals  $\Delta_B(x_0x_2/x_1^2, x_0^2x_3/x_1^3)$ .

# 2 Base point locus

**Remark 2.1**  $l_k$  give hyperplane arrangement in  $\mathbb{C}^m$  (and  $\mathbb{P}^{m-1}$ ).

- **Definition 2.2**  $\mathcal{F} := flat in this arrangement = V(l_{i_1}, \ldots, l_{i_r})$  (linear space).
  - $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}) := \{ all \ linear \ forms \ l_j \ vanishing \ on \ \mathcal{F} \}, \ i.e. \ all \ linear \ forms \ l_j \in \mathbb{Q}\langle l_{i_1}, \ldots, l_{i_r} \rangle.$
  - Basic flat :=  $\mathcal{F}$  s.t. all of  $f_0, \ldots, f_m$  vanish on  $\mathcal{F}$ .

**Remark 2.3**  $f_k$  vanishes on  $\mathcal{F} \iff$  if it contains a linear factor from  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F})$ .

**Lemma 2.4** The base point locus Z equals the union of all basic flats.

**Remark 2.5 (KEY)** Eliminate all common factors from  $f_0, \ldots, f_m$  (eventually modifying certain rows from C)  $\Rightarrow$  can assume  $codim(\mathcal{Z}) \geq 2$ .

Corollary 2.6 If m = 3,  $\mathcal{Z}$  is finite. (However: complicated structure!!) If m > 3 in general dim $(\mathcal{Z}) > 0$  (some components) **Definition 2.7** If  $codim(S_C) = 1$ , call C non-defective.

**Lemma 2.8** Let  $C \in \mathbb{Z}^{n \times m}$  be a regular matrix of rank r < m. Then  $\operatorname{codim}(S_C) > m - r$ , so C is defective.

**Proof.** All  $y_i$  are homog rational fnc of fixed rows  $l_1, \ldots, l_r$  of deg.  $0 \Rightarrow$  rat. fnc. of r-1 variables  $l_1/l_r, \ldots, l_{r-1}/l_r$ .  $\Rightarrow codim(S_C) \ge m - (r-1)$ .

**Remark 2.9** Converse is not true. Pick n = 2n' and  $C := \left(\frac{M}{-M}\right)$  where  $M \in \mathbb{Z}^{n' \times m}$  has rank  $m \leq n'$ . In this case  $\psi_C$  is const. map.

**Remark 2.10**  $\exists$  algorithms from checking defectiveness.

1. Compute generic rank of Jacobian matrix  $J(\psi_C)$ :

$$rk(J(\psi_C)(u)) = m - 1$$
 for gen. $u \iff C$  non-defective

2. Tropical approach in [3].

# **3** Birrationality of $\psi_C$

**Key-definition:** Gauss map of a hypersurface  $S \subset G$  (G algebraic group).

**Definition 3.1** Our case:  $G = (\mathbb{C}^*)^m$  and  $S = (\Delta = 0)$ . Then the (logarithmic) Gauss map is

$$\gamma(y) = \left(y_1 \frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial y_1}(y) : \dots : y_m \frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial y_m}(y)\right), \qquad (6)$$

mapping a regular (smooth) point  $y \in S$  to a projective point in  $\mathbb{P}^{m-1}$ .

Assumption:  $S^* = S \cap (\mathbb{C}^*)^m \neq \emptyset$ .

**Remark 3.2** (Geometric interpretation)  $\gamma_S \longleftrightarrow$  looking at image of  $log(y) = log(y) = (log(y_1), \dots, log(y_m))$  for  $y \in S^*$ and consider Gauss map of  $log(S^*)$ .

**Theorem 3.3 (K;** \_ , **D.)** Let  $S \subset \mathbb{C}^m$  be an algebraic irreducible hypersurface.

The Gauss map  $\gamma_S : S \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{m-1}$  is birational if and only if there exist a non-defective and regular integer matrix  $C \in \mathbb{Z}^{n \times m}$  of full rank, and a constant  $\lambda \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^m$  such that  $S = \lambda \cdot S_C$ , i.e. S is a torus translate by  $\lambda$  of a generalized inhomogeneous discriminant hypersurface.

Moreover, in this case,  $\lambda \cdot \psi_C$  is birational and the logarithmic Gauss map  $\gamma_S$  is its inverse.

Comment 3.4 • Our contribution: removing the incorrect hypothesis about the gcd  $g_C = 1$ . Explanation for this "mistake": Remark 4.4.

- Proofs: exactly the same as Kapranov's original ones. "If" direction: condition  $g_C = 1$  is superfluous. "Only if" direction: the last statement is false.
- Key tools for  $(\Leftarrow)$ :  $J(log(\lambda\psi_C))$  is symmetric.  $J(log(\lambda\psi_C)) = J(\lambda\psi_C) \cdot D$  D = diag. matrix with multipl. inverse of coordinates of  $\lambda\psi_C$  as entries.  $rkJ(\lambda\psi_C) = m - 1$  (C non defective). Use implicit partial differentiation of  $\Delta(\lambda\psi_C) = 0$  ( $S = (\Delta = 0)$ ).

**Proof.** [Proof of the "if" part in Theorem 3.3] Let C be a regular non-defective  $n \times m$  integer matrix, a point  $\lambda \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^m$  in the torus, and consider the map  $\psi'_C := \lambda \psi_C$ . We need to show that the logarithmic Gauss map is its birational inverse. Denote by  $\Delta$  an irreducible equation of its closed image. The principal observation is that the Jacobian matrix of  $\log(\psi'_C)$  is symmetric since

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial u_k} \log((\psi'_C)_j) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{c_{i,k} \ c_{i,j}}{l_i(u)}.$$

Moveover, a straightforward computation shows that for any point u in the preimage of the torus, the Jacobian matrices  $J(\psi'_C)$  and  $J(\log(\psi'_C))$  have the same rank since  $J(\log(\psi'_C)) = J(\psi'_C) \cdot D$ , where D is the diagonal matrix with diagonal entries the multiplicative inverses of the coordinates of  $\psi'_C$ . This rank is equal to m-1 by our hyphotesis that C is non-defective. Now, on one side, implicit partial differentiation of the equality  $\Delta(\psi'_C(u)) = 0$  implies that the vector  $\gamma_C(y)$  lies in the kernel of the transposed Jacobian matrix  $J(\log(\psi'_C))^t$  for any y in the image of  $\psi'_C$ . On the other side, since the coordinates of  $\psi'_C$  are homogeneous forms of degree 0, it follows from Euler's formula applied to the coordinates of  $\log(\psi'_C)$  that any point u in the preimage of the torus lies in the kernel of  $J(\log(\psi'_C)(u)$ . Then, u is proportional to  $\gamma_C(\psi'_C(u))$ , when this vector is non zero.

# 4 Monomial changes of coordinates and factorizations

**GOAL:** Analyze choice of C s.t.  $A \cdot C = 0$  and relate different  $\Delta_C$ 's obtained.

## 4.1 Some definitions

**Setting:**  $C \in \mathbb{Z}^{n \times m}$  regular and non-defective ( $\Rightarrow$  mxl rk, equiv  $g_C \neq 0$ ).

**Reduction:** Replace all row vectors in C lying in the same one-dim flat  $\mathcal{F}$  by their sum, without essentially changing the coordinates of the parametrization  $\psi_C$  except for constants (if the sum gives the zero vector, we keep the constants but we don't keep a zero row).

**Warning:** We may have changed  $g_C !! \Rightarrow$  work with general  $g_C \neq 0$ . Convention: *B* has  $g_B = 1$ .

Setting: Matrices  $C_1, C_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^{n \times m}$  s.t. Cols  $(C_1)$  Z-span Cols  $(C_2)$ . Equiv.  $\exists M \in \mathbb{Z}^{m \times m}$  s.t.  $C_1 = C_2 \cdot M$ .

**Remark 4.1** Suppose  $g_{C_2} = 1$  (ie.  $\mathbb{Z}C_2$  saturated lattice of  $\mathbb{Z}C_1$ ). The lattice ideal  $I(\mathbb{Z}C) = \langle x^u - x^v : u, v \in \mathbb{N}^n, u - v \in \mathbb{Z}C \rangle$  (in n variables) is radical with  $|g_C|$  primary components, which correspond to torus translates of the toric variety defined by the lattice ideal  $I(\mathbb{Z}B)$  [4]. We will see in Theorem 4.7 how this is reflected in the precise relation between the irreducible m-variate polynomials  $\Delta_B$  and  $\Delta_C$ .

**Definition 4.2** • Linear map  $\Lambda_M : \mathbb{P}^{m-1} \to \mathbb{P}^{m-1} \Lambda_M(u) = M \cdot u^t$ .

• Denote  $Col(M) = \{M^{(1)}, \dots, M^{(m)}\}$ . Define the (multiplicative) monomial map  $\alpha_M : (\mathbb{C}^*)^m \to (\mathbb{C}^*)^m$ :

$$\alpha_M(y) = (\prod_{i=1}^m y_i^{M_{i,1}}, \dots, \prod_{i=1}^m y_i^{M_{i,m}}) = (y^{M^{(1)}}, \dots, y^{M^{(m)}}).$$
(7)

(Note:  $\alpha_{M_1 \cdot M_2} = \alpha_{M_2} \circ \alpha_{M_1}$  and  $\alpha_M$  is a  $|\det M| - 1$  mapping.)

Lemma 4.3 (C,C) (in [1])

**Remark 4.4** Assume  $g_{C_2} = 1$ , and call  $C = C_1, B = C_2$ . Then,  $|\det(M)| = g_C$ . Suppose that we didn't know Thm 3.3 but instead we suspected (or proved) that  $\psi_B$  is birational. From the equality  $\psi_C = \alpha_M \circ \psi_B \circ \Lambda_M$ , where  $\Lambda_M$  is birational and  $\alpha_M$  is a  $g_C$  to 1 mapping, one is tempted to deduce that  $\psi_C$  is also a  $g_C$  to 1 mapping. But indeed, we have already proved that it is birational.

#### Explanation:

**Lemma 4.5** Supp.  $C_1 = C_2 \cdot M$  and  $C_1, C_2$  are non-defective regular integer matrices. Then

$$\tilde{\alpha}_M = \alpha_M|_{(\Delta_{C_2}=0)} : (\Delta_{C_2}=0) \dashrightarrow (\Delta_{C_1}=0),$$

 $is \ a \ birational \ map.$ 

**Proof.** (Sketch) Restrict  $\alpha_M$  to image of  $\psi_{C_2}$  and use density and Lemma 4.3. Then  $3.3 \Rightarrow \tilde{\alpha}_M$  birational.

# 4.2 Factorization Theorem

**Convention:**  $\Delta_{C_i} \in \mathbb{Z}[y_1, \ldots, y_m]$  with cont 1, defined up to sign. (*Reason:* H-K param. is given by rational forms with <u>rational</u> coeff.)

**Recall:**  $\alpha_M$  is a  $|\det M| - 1$  mult. map.

### **Definition 4.6**

$$G_M := \ker \alpha_M = \{ \varepsilon \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^m : \alpha_M(\varepsilon) = (1, \dots, 1) \}$$
(8)

group with induced coordinatewise mult.

## **Theorem 4.7** [-, D] (Factorization Thm)

Let  $C_1, C_2$  are non-defective  $n \times m$  regular integer matrices such that  $C_1 = C_2 \cdot M$ . There exists v in the lattice  $\mathbb{Z}M$  (gen by cols of M) (or equiv., s.t.  $\varepsilon^v = 1$  for all  $\varepsilon \in G_M$ ) such that

$$\Delta_{C_1} \circ \alpha_M(y) = y^v \prod_{\varepsilon \in G_M} \Delta_{C_2}(\varepsilon \cdot y) .$$
(9)

#### **Proof.**(Sketch)

1. Density and properness arguments:

$$(\Delta_{C_1} \circ \alpha_M(y) = 0) \cap (\mathbb{C}^*)^m = \bigcup_{\varepsilon \in G_m} (\Delta_{C_2}(\varepsilon \cdot y) = 0) \cap (\mathbb{C}^*)^m.$$

- 2.  $\Delta_{C_2}(\varepsilon \cdot y) \mid \Delta_{C_1} \circ \alpha_M(y)$  for all  $\varepsilon \in G_M$  as Laurent poly: clear by irred of (LHS).
- 3. Factors  $\Delta_{C_2}(\varepsilon \cdot y)$  are pairwise coprime:  $\tilde{\alpha}_M$  is birational.
- 4. Nullstellensatz  $\Rightarrow$

$$\Delta_{C_1} \circ \alpha_M(y) = q y^v \prod_{\varepsilon \in G_M} \Delta_{C_2}(\varepsilon \cdot y)^{n_{\varepsilon}}, \quad q \in \mathbb{C}^*.$$
(\*)

- 5. Show all  $n_{\varepsilon}$  are equal (:= N): substitute  $y \mapsto \delta \cdot y$  for  $\delta \in G_M$  and use unique factorization.
- 6.  $v \in \mathbb{Z}M \iff \varepsilon^v = 1$  for all  $\varepsilon \in G_M$  (conseq of prev item):use Smith Normal Form of M.
- 7. N = 1: Supp N > 1. Diff eq (\*) +  $\tilde{\alpha}_M$  birat.  $\Rightarrow J(\Delta_{C_1})(y) = 0$  on  $(\Delta_{C_1} = 0)$ , Contr!  $(\Delta_{C_1} \text{ irred.})$
- 8. q = 1: use content 1 arguments (i.e. both sides are integer poly and cont 1). Reduce to case of  $M = diag(1, \ldots, p, \ldots, 1)$ , p prime and use Field extension + Arithmetic arguments.

#### Corollary 4.8

- How to eliminate  $\alpha_M$ ? Replace:  $y \to \alpha_{Adj(M)}(y) \Rightarrow obtained \Delta_{C_1}(y_1^g, \dots, y_n^g)$ (g = det M).
- Replace B by B' given by reduced basis of  $\mathbb{Z}B$  (LLL-algorithm):

$$\Delta_B(y) = y^v \Delta_{B'}(\alpha_{M^{-1}}(y)) ,$$

Advantage: coeff of  $\psi_{B'}$  are smaller  $\Rightarrow$  obtain  $\Delta_{B'}$  via elimination techniques (standard basis of  $\langle y_i f_0 - f_i, f_0 t - 1 : i = 1, ..., m \rangle$  to eliminate variables u's, t).

# 5 The degree of $\Delta_C$ and the computation of local multiplicities in m = 3 case

Assume:  $C \in \mathbb{Z}^{n \times m}$  regular, non-defective matrix with no zero rows. AND: finite number of base points.

Well-known formula:

**Proposition 5.1** (Intersection formula, [5])

$$d_C^2 = \underbrace{\deg(\psi_C)}_{=1!!!} \ \deg(S_C) + \sum_{\mathcal{F} \text{ basic}} e_{\mathcal{F}}, \tag{10}$$

where  $e_{\mathcal{F}}$  denotes the Hilbert-Samuel multiplicity of  $p_{\mathcal{F}}$  [7, 8, 9].

**Problem:** Computing  $e_{\mathcal{F}}$  is hard.

Some steps forward:

• Probabilistic algorithm (reduce to local complete intersections)

• Monomial case (ex. all mxl minors  $\neq 0$ )  $\rightarrow$  combinatorial algorithm [11].

Reduction: m = 3. Easy generalization for any m.

**Definition 5.2**  $p = p_{\mathcal{F}} \in \mathcal{Z}$ , pick Noeth. local ring  $A_p := \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2,p}$  and the localized base point locus ideal  $I_p := \langle f_0, f_1, f_2, f_3 \rangle A_p$ .

Define Samuel function  $A_p$  w.r.t.  $I_p$  as:

$$\chi_{A_p}^{I_p}(r) = l(A_p/I_p^{r+1}) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(A_p/I_p^{r+1}) \quad \text{for all } r \in \mathbb{N} ,$$

 $(l(_) = length as A_p-module).$ 

**Fact:** This function is *pseudo-polynomial*, i.e.  $\exists PS_{A_p}^{I_p}(X)$  in  $\mathbb{Q}[X]$  (which takes integer values over  $\mathbb{Z}$ ) such that we have  $PS_{A_p}^{I_p}(r) = \chi_{A_p}^{I_p}(r)$  for  $r \gg 0$ .

**Remark 5.3** deg  $PS_{A_p}^{I_p}(X) = 2$  and Lead Coeff = e/2! with  $e \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

**Definition 5.4**  $e_{\mathcal{F}} := e \ (= 2! \cdot LC(PS_{A_p}^{I_p}(X))).$ 

#### 5.1 Probabilistic approach

**Proposition 5.5** If  $p = p_{\mathcal{F}}$  determines a loc. complete intersection (i.e.  $I_P$  admits 2 generators after picking an affine patch), then  $e_{\mathcal{F}} = \dim A_p/I_p$ .

(Algorithm: pick standard basis of  $I_p$  w.r.t. local order  $\prec$ . And count # of monomials not in  $in_{\prec}(I_p)$ ).

Recall:  $I_p = \langle f_0, \ldots, f_3 \rangle A_p$ 

• Pick 2 generic C-lin. comb of the 4 generators:

$$J_p := \langle v_0^0 f_0 + v_1^0 f_1 + v_2^0 f_2 + v_3^0 f_3 , v_0^1 f_0 + v_1^1 f_1 + v_2^1 f_2 + v_3^1 f_3 \rangle,$$

- $J_p$  is generically a complete intersection inside  $I_p$  and a *reduction ideal* of  $I_p$  (i.e. same Hilb-Samuel fnc.)
- $\Rightarrow e_{\mathcal{F}} := \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(A_p/J_p)$  with probability 1.

**Corollary 5.6**  $e_{\mathcal{F}} \geq \dim_{\mathbb{C}} (A_p/I_p)$  (since  $J_p \subset I_p$ ), so:

$$deg(\Delta_C) \leq d_C^2 - \sum_{\mathcal{F} \text{ basic}} \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(A_{p_{\mathcal{F}}}/I_{p_{\mathcal{F}}}).$$

## 5.2 Monomial case

Suppose p = (1 : 0 : 0) is a base point (after translation) and that  $I_p$  is monomial. Algorithm 5.7 Computation of Hilbert-Samuel Multiplicities for the monomial case and m = 3.

- Set  $x_0 = 1$  and let  $I_p$  be the specialization of the ideal  $I_p$ .
- Compute the convex hull  $\mathcal C$  of the exponents of the bivariate monomials in  $\widetilde{I}_p$ .
- Then: e<sub>p</sub> = 2!·Vol(N<sup>2</sup><sub>0</sub> ⊂C) equals the normalized volume of the complement K of C in the first orthant.

**Example 5.8**  $I_p$  monomial AND complete intersection:  $I_p = \langle x_1^{m_1}, x_2^{m_2} \rangle$ , so  $e_p = m_1 m_2$ , as asserted in both situations.

**Remark 5.9** Algorithm DOES NOT work for general ideals:  $e(in_{\prec}(I_0)) \neq e(I_0)$ . Moreover ([11]):

$$e(I_0) \le e(in_{\prec}(I_0)) \le 2! e(I_0).$$

**Comment 5.10** • p is zero of exactly 2 rows  $\Rightarrow$  monomial case.

- Some cases: reduce to monomial case via change of coordinates
- This doesn't solve the whole problem (∃ examples where no change of coordinates works)
- Base points can be really nasty → no clear computational method for general case. Explicit computations in the paper.

# References

- [1] R. Curran. Toric ideals and discriminants in codimensions greater than two. Doctoral dissertation. University of Massachusetts, Amherst, 2005.
- [2] D. Delfino, A. Taylor, W. V. Vasconcelos, N. Weininger and R.H. Villarreal. Monomials ideals and the computation of multiplicities, in: Commutative Ring Theory and Applications (M. Fontana, S.-E. Kabbaj and S. Wiegandl, Eds.), Lectures Notes in Pure and Applied Math. 231, Marcel Dekker, New York, 2002, 87-107.
- [3] A. Dickenstein, E. M. Feichtner and B. Sturmfels. Tropical Discriminants. math.AG/0510126.
- [4] D. Eisenbud and B. Sturmfels, Binomial ideals. Duke Math. J. 84 (1996), no. 1, 1–45.
- [5] W. Fulton. Intersection Theory. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1984.
- [6] I. M. Gel'fand, M. Kapranov and A. V. Zelevinsky . Discriminants, Resultants and Multidimensional Determinants. Birkhauser, Boston–Basel– Berlin, 1994.
- [7] H. Matsumura. Commutative Ring Theory. Cambridge studies in advanced mathematics, 8. Cambridge University Press, 1989.
- [8] W. Bruns and J. Herzog. Cohen-Macaulay rings. Cambridge studies in advanced mathematics, 39. Cambridge University Press, 1993.
- [9] D. Cox. What is the multiplicity of a base point?. Slides from the expository talk given at XIV CLA, La Falda, Argentina, 2001. Available at http://www.amherst.edu/~dacox/.
- [10] M. Kapranov. A characterization of A-discriminantal hypersurfaces in terms of the logarithmic Gauss map. Mathematische Annalen, 290, 1991, 277–285.
- [11] D. Delfino, A. Taylor, W. V. Vasconcelos, N. Weininger and R.H. Villarreal. Monomials ideals and the computation of multiplicities. Commutative Ring Theory and Applications (M. Fontana, S.-E. Kabbaj and S. Wiegandl, Eds.), Lectures Notes in Pure and Applied Math. 231, Marcel Dekker, New York, 2002, 87-107.