Implicitization of surfaces via Geometric Tropicalization

María Angélica Cueto

Columbia University

Special Session on Computational and Algorithmic Algebraic Geometry
2011 Fall Western Section Meeting
University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT
October 22-23 2011

Three references:

Sturmfels, Tevelev, Yu: The Newton polytope of the implicit equation (2007)
Sturmfels, Tevelev: Elimination theory for tropical varieties (2008)
MAC: arXiv:1105.0509 (2011)
(and many, many more!)

Implicitization problem: Classical vs. tropical approach

Input: Laurent polynomials $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n \in \mathbb{C}[t_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, t_d^{\pm 1}]$.

Algebraic Output: The *prime* ideal I defining the Zariski closure Y of the image of the map:

$$\mathbf{f} = (f_1, \dots, f_n) \colon \mathbb{T}^d \dashrightarrow \mathbb{T}^n$$

The ideal I consists of all polynomial relations among $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n$.

Existing methods: Gröbner bases and resultants.

- GB: always applicable, but often too slow.
- Resultants: useful when $n = d + 1$ and I is *principal*, with limited use.

Geometric Output: Invariants of Y, such as dimension, degree, etc.

Punchline: We can *effectively* compute them using tropical geometry.

$\boxed{\textbf{TODAY:}\text{ Study the case when } \mathbf{d = 2} \text{ and } \mathbf{Y} \text{ is a } \textbf{surface}.}$

Example: parametric surface in $\mathbb{T}^3$

`Input`: Three Laurent polynomials in two unknowns:

$$\begin{cases} x = f_1(s,t) = 3 + 5\,s + 7\,t, \\ y = f_2(s,t) = 17 + 13\,t + 11\,s^2, \\ z = f_3(s,t) = 19 + 47\,st. \end{cases}$$

`Output`: The Newton polytope of the implicit equation $g(x,y,z)$.

STRATEGY: Recover the Newton polytope of $g(x,y,z)$ from the **Newton polytopes** of the `input polynomials` f_1, f_2, f_3.

$$Y = \begin{cases} x = f_1(s,t) = 3 + 5\,s + 7\,t, \\ y = f_2(s,t) = 17 + 13\,t + 11\,s^2, \\ z = f_3(s,t) = 19 + 47\,st. \end{cases} \quad \rightsquigarrow \text{Newton polytope of } g(x,y,z).$$









f-vector= (5, 8, 5)



- Γ is a balanced weighted *planar* graph in $\mathbb{R}^3$. It is the tropical variety $\mathcal{T}(g(x,y,z))$, dual to the Newton polytope of g.
- We can recover $g(x,y,z)$ from Γ using *numerical linear algebra*.

What is Tropical Geometry?

Given a variety $X \subset \mathbb{T}^n$ with defining ideal $I \subset \mathbb{C}[x_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, x_n^{\pm 1}]$, the tropicalization of X equals:

$$\mathcal{T}X = \mathcal{T}I := \{w \in \mathbb{R}^n \,|\, \mathrm{in}_w I \text{ contains no monomial}\}.$$

1. It is a rational polyhedral fan in $\mathbb{R}^n \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{T}X \cap \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ is a spherical polyhedral complex.
2. If I is prime, then $\mathcal{T}X$ is pure of the same dimension as X.
3. Maximal cones have canonical multiplicities attached to them.

Example (hypersurfaces):

- Maximal cones in $\mathcal{T}(g)$ are dual to edges in the Newton polytope $\mathrm{NP}(g)$, and m_σ is the lattice length of the associated edge.
- Multiplicities are essential to recover $\mathrm{NP}(g)$ from $\mathcal{T}(g)$.

What is Geometric Tropicalization?

AIM: Given $Z \subset \mathbb{T}^N$ a **surface**, compute $\mathcal{T}Z$ from the *geometry* of Z.
KEY FACT: $\mathcal{T}Z$ can be characterized in terms of divisorial valuations.

What is Geometric Tropicalization?

AIM: Given $Z \subset \mathbb{T}^N$ a **surface**, compute $\mathcal{T}Z$ from the *geometry* of Z.
KEY FACT: $\mathcal{T}Z$ can be characterized in terms of divisorial valuations.

Theorem (Geometric Tropicalization [Hacking - Keel - Tevelev, *C*.])

Consider $\mathbb{T}^N$ with coordinate functions $\chi_1, \ldots, \chi_N$, and let $Z \subset \mathbb{T}^N$ be a closed smooth **surface**. *Suppose $\overline{Z} \supset Z$ is any normal and $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial compactification, whose boundary divisor has m irreducible components $D_1, \ldots, D_m$ with no triple intersections (***C.N.C.***). Let Δ be the graph:*

$$V(\Delta) = \{1, \ldots, m\} \quad ; \quad (i,j) \in E(\Delta) \iff D_i \cap D_j \neq \emptyset.$$

Realize Δ *as a graph* $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ *by* $[D_k] := (val_{D_k}(\chi_1), \ldots, val_{D_k}(\chi_N)) \in \mathbb{Z}^N$.

Then, $\mathcal{T}Z$ is the **cone over the graph** Γ.

What is Geometric Tropicalization?

AIM: Given $Z \subset \mathbb{T}^N$ a **surface**, compute $\mathcal{T}Z$ from the *geometry* of Z.
KEY FACT: $\mathcal{T}Z$ can be characterized in terms of divisorial valuations.

Theorem (Geometric Tropicalization [Hacking - Keel - Tevelev, *C.*])

Consider $\mathbb{T}^N$ with coordinate functions $\chi_1, \ldots, \chi_N$, and let $Z \subset \mathbb{T}^N$ be a closed smooth **surface**. *Suppose $\overline{Z} \supset Z$ is any normal and $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial compactification, whose boundary divisor has m irreducible components $D_1, \ldots, D_m$ with no triple intersections (***C.N.C.***). Let Δ be the graph:*

$$V(\Delta) = \{1, \ldots, m\} \quad ; \quad (i,j) \in E(\Delta) \iff D_i \cap D_j \neq \emptyset.$$

Realize Δ *as a graph* $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ *by* $[D_k]{:=}(val_{D_k}(\chi_1), \ldots, val_{D_k}(\chi_N)) \in \mathbb{Z}^N$.

Then, $\mathcal{T}Z$ is the **cone over the graph** Γ.

Theorem (Combinatorial formula for multiplicities [C.])

$$m_{([D_i],[D_j])} = (D_i \cdot D_j)\,\left[\,(\mathbb{Z}\langle[D_i],[D_j]\rangle)^{sat} : \mathbb{Z}\langle[D_i],[D_j]\rangle\,\right]$$

QUESTION: How to compute $\mathcal{T}Y$ from a parameterization

$$\mathbf{f} = (f_1, \dots, f_n) \colon \mathbb{T}^2 \dashrightarrow Y \subset \mathbb{T}^n \quad ?$$

QUESTION: How to compute $\mathcal{T}Y$ from a parameterization

$$\mathbf{f} = (f_1, \ldots, f_n) \colon \mathbb{T}^2 \dashrightarrow Y \subset \mathbb{T}^n \quad ?$$

ANSWER: Compactify the domain $X = \mathbb{T}^2 \smallsetminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} (f_i = 0)$ and use the map $\mathbf{f}$ to translate back to Y.

Proposition

Given $\mathbf{f} \colon X \subset \mathbb{T}^2 \to Y \subset \mathbb{T}^n$ generically finite map of degree δ, let $\overline{X}$ be a normal, $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial, CNC compactification with intersection complex Δ. Map each vertex D_k of Δ in $\mathbb{Z}^n$ to a vertex $[\widetilde{D_k}]$ of $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, where

$$[\widetilde{D_k}] = val_{D_k}(\chi \circ \mathbf{f}) = \mathbf{f}^{\#}([D_k]).$$

Then, $\mathcal{T}Y$ is the cone over the graph $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, with multiplicities

$$m_{([\widetilde{D_i}],[\widetilde{D_j}])} = \frac{1}{\delta} (D_i \cdot D_j) \left[(\mathbb{Z}\langle[\widetilde{D_i}], [\widetilde{D_j}]\rangle)^{sat} : \mathbb{Z}\langle[\widetilde{D_i}], [\widetilde{D_j}]\rangle \right].$$

Implicitization of *generic* surfaces

SETTING: Let $f = (f_1, \ldots, f_n) \colon \mathbb{T}^2 \dashrightarrow Y \subset \mathbb{T}^n$ of $\deg(f) = \delta$, where we **fix the Newton polytope** of each f_i and allow **generic coefficients**.
GOAL: Compute the graph Γ of $\mathcal{T}Y$ from the Newton polytopes $\{\mathcal{P}_i\}_{i=1}^n$.

IDEA: Compactify X inside the proj. toric variety $X_{\mathscr{N}}$, where $\mathscr{N}$ is the common refinement of all $\mathscr{N}(P_i)$. *Generically*, $\overline{X}$ is smooth with CNC.

The vertices and edges of the boundary intersection complex Δ are

$$V(\Delta) = \{E_i : \dim \mathcal{P}_i \neq 0, 1 \leq i \leq n\} \bigcup \{D_\rho : \rho \in \mathscr{N}^{[1]}\},$$

- $(D_\rho, D_{\rho'}) \in E(\Delta)$ iff ρ, ρ' are *consecutive* rays in $\mathscr{N}$.
- $(E_i, D_\rho) \in E(\Delta)$ iff $\rho \in \mathscr{N}(\mathcal{P}_i)$.
- $(E_i, E_j) \in E(\Delta)$ iff $(f_i = f_j = 0)$ has a solution in $\mathbb{T}^2$.

Then, Γ is the realization of Δ via

$$[E_i] := e_i \quad (1 \leq i \leq n), \quad [D_\rho] := \operatorname{trop}(\mathbf{f})(\eta_\rho) \quad \forall \text{ ray } \rho \ (\eta_\rho \text{ prim. vector.})$$

Theorem [Sturmfels-Tevelev-Yu, C.]: $\mathcal{T}Y$ is the weighted cone over Γ.

Implicitization of *non-generic* surfaces

Non-genericity $\leftrightarrow$ CNC condition is violated.

Solution 1:

1. Embed X in $X_{\mathcal{N}}$.
2. Resolve triple intersections and singularities by classical blow-ups, and carry divisorial valuations along the way.

Implicitization of *non-generic* surfaces

Non-genericity $\leftrightarrow$ CNC condition is violated.

Solution 1:

1. Embed X in $X_{\mathcal{N}}$.
2. Resolve triple intersections and singularities by classical blow-ups, and carry divisorial valuations along the way.

Solution 2:

1. Embed X in $\mathbb{P}^2_{(s,t,u)} \rightsquigarrow n+1$ boundary divisors
$$E_i = (f_i = 0) \quad (1 \leq i \leq n), \quad E_\infty = (u = 0).$$
2. Resolve triple intersections and singularities by blow-ups $\pi\colon \tilde{X} \to X$, and read divisorial valuations by *columns*

$$(f \circ \pi)^*(\chi_i) = \pi^*(E_i - \deg(f_i)E_\infty) = E_i' - \deg(f_i)E_\infty' - \sum_{j=1}^{r} b_{ij}H_j \quad \forall i.$$

The graph Δ is obtained by gluing resolution diagrams and adding pairwise intersections.

Example (non-generic surface)

$$Y = \begin{cases} x = f_1(s,t) = s - t, \\ y = f_2(s,t) = t - s^2, \\ z = f_3(s,t) = -1 + s\,t, \end{cases}$$



$(7, 11, 6)$

Affine Charts: