Lecture XIV: §4.2 Concavity \& prius of inflection
Last time: We used $f^{\prime}$ To study the growth behavior of $t$, local extrema \& leal extreme values.

TODAY's GOAL: Use higher ordu derivatives To study the convexity $r$ "bending" of the graph of $G$.
Key fact: $f^{\prime \prime}=\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}$, so $f^{\prime \prime}$ gives imprmation abreact the growth of $f^{\prime}$ (how are the slopes of Tangent linesgouring / decreasing) 3 possible scenarios.

$f^{\prime}$ sta. increasing
concave up (wards)

$f^{\prime}$ sh decreasing CONCAVE DOWN (wARDS)

si: Definitions a Concasity Test:
Definitions: $F_{i x} \quad F:[a, b] \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ differentiable $n(a, b)$

- If the graph of $f$ lies. ABOVE all of its tanguit bins in $(a, 6)$ we say $F$ is concave up(waras) in $(a, b)$
- If the graph of $f$ lies BELOW all of its tanguy limes $m(a, b)$ we say $f$ is concave down (wards) in $(a, b)$
Q: How To test this without drawing?
A: Use $f^{\prime \prime}$.

Concavity Test: Assume $f^{\prime}$ is differentiable in $(a, b)$ :
(1) If $f^{\prime \prime}>0$ on $(a, b)$, then $f$ is concave up in $(a, b)$ (we write C.U.)
(2) If $f^{\prime \prime}<0$ on $(a, b)$, then $f$ is concave down in $(a, b)$ (we write C.D.)

Q: Why does the Tent work?
A (Idea) Frs $\left(F^{\prime}\right.$ is ste. increasing \& if (2), then $f^{\prime}$ is strictly decreasing. For mon details, see pages 586 .

EXAMPLE 1: $f_{(x)}=x^{3}$. Find internals where $f$ is C.U./C. $D$.
Sold: Use concarity test


Zeroes of $f^{\prime \prime}=0$.
$x=0$ is an inflection print (graph of $f$ is in both sides of the tangent lime at $(0,0)$.


Definition: A print $c$ in the domain of $f$ is an inflection point if is continuous at $c$ \& the function changes concavity at $c$.

Remark: Inflection points satisfy $f^{\prime \prime}(x)=0$ or $f^{\prime \prime}$ is NOT defined at $x$. In short, $x$ must be a critical pt of $f^{\prime}$. But we can hare critical prints of $f^{\prime}$ that are not inflection prints. They can also be bora (max)
EXAMPLE 2: $f(x)=x^{4}$ has a local minimum at $x=0$.

$$
f_{(x)}^{\prime}=4 x^{3}, f^{\prime \prime}=12 x^{2} \text { so } f_{(x)}^{\prime \prime}=0 \text { free } x=0 \text {. }
$$


sin of $f^{\prime \prime}$

| 0 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $t$ | $t$ |
| $c u$ | $c u$ |

$\leadsto x=0$ is not an inflecting point
\$2 Second Derivative Test:
Example 2 hints at the following criteria fo finding local max The Second Derivative Test: Suppose $f^{\prime \prime}(x)$ is continuous near $C$ min
(1) If $f^{\prime}(c)=0 \& f^{\prime \prime}(c)>0$, then $f$ has a local minimum at $c$
(2) If $f^{\prime}(c)=0 \& f^{\prime \prime}(c)<0$, then $f$ has a local maximum at $c$ Q: Why? $\quad f^{\prime}(c)=0$ says the tangent bin at $(c, f(c)$ ) is horizntal
(1) If $f^{\prime \prime}(c)>0$, by continuity of $f^{\prime \prime}$ we can find a mighbrhord of $c$ where $F^{\prime \prime}>0$ it $\left(F_{i x} \varepsilon=\frac{f^{\prime \prime}(c)}{2}>0\right.$ a pick $\delta>0$ so

$$
\left.\begin{array}{c}
-\varepsilon<f^{\prime \prime}(x)-f_{(c)}^{\prime \prime}<\varepsilon, \text { so } \underbrace{f_{(c)}^{\prime \prime}-\varepsilon<f^{\prime \prime}(x)}_{=\frac{f^{\prime \prime}(c)}{2}>0}<f^{\prime \prime}(c)+\varepsilon \text {. Thess } f^{\prime \prime}(x)>0 \\
\text { f> } x \text { in }\left(c-\delta_{0}(c+\delta)\right.
\end{array}\right)
$$

In this interval, the graph of $f$ sits above each tangent line (in particular, the Tangent lime $Y=f(c))$ so $f_{(x)}>f_{(c)}$ on this interval $a$ so $C$ is a local minimiem.

(2) The argument fr this case is almost verbatim.
!. The test say NOTHinG when $f^{\prime \prime}(C)=0$. Ir when $f^{\prime \prime}(x)$ is not defined at $C$
Example: $\quad f(x)=x^{3} \quad x=0$ is an inflection $p t$, not max, not and $f^{\prime}(0)=f^{\prime \prime}(0)=0$.
s $2 E_{\text {xamples: }}$
Find the local max/min \& inflection prints of
(1) $f(x)=1+3 x^{2}-2 x^{3} \leadsto$ differentiable up To any order!

Son $f^{\prime}=6 x-6 x^{2}=6 x(1-x), f^{\prime \prime}=6-12 x$
Git prints of $f: x=0$ \& $x=1$
Cit points of $f^{\prime}: x=\frac{1}{2}$


Combine both tables into me

=ait pt of $f$

- Use Second Derinatere Test: $f^{\prime}(0)=0$ \& $f^{\prime \prime}(0)>0 \mathrm{~m}>0$ is local $\Pi$ in $f^{\prime}(1)=0 \& f^{\prime \prime}(1)<0$ us 1 is lured MAX
A: $x=0$ local min, $x=1$ local MAx, $x=0$ inflection point.
(2) $f(x)=\sin x$

Sold: $f^{\prime}(x)=\cos x \quad \& f^{\prime \prime}(x)=-\operatorname{sen} x$. cont.

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
f^{\prime \prime}(x)=0 & f r & x=0, \pm \pi, \pm 2 \pi, \ldots \\
f^{\prime}(x)=0 & \text { fr } & x= \pm \frac{\pi}{2}, \pm \frac{3 \pi}{2}, \ldots
\end{array}
$$


$\square$ nitical points We use $2^{\text {ns }}$ derivative Test
$f^{\prime \prime}\left(-\frac{3}{2} \pi\right)<0 \rightarrow$ leal MAX ; $f^{\prime \prime}\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)<0 \rightarrow$ bral MAX $f^{\prime \prime}\left(-\frac{\pi}{2}\right)>0 \rightarrow$ Oral MiN, $f^{\prime \prime}\left(\frac{3 \pi}{2}\right)>0 \rightarrow$ local MiN

- Inflection Prints = $0, \pm \pi, \pm 2 \pi, \ldots$.


Exercise: Do the same analysis for $f(t)=t^{5}-5 t+1$ (Lecture 13) §4 Proof of the Consexity Test:

It's enough to show (1) The argument fr r (2) is very similar. - We know that $f^{\prime \prime}(x)>0$ m $(a, b)$, so $\frac{f^{\prime} \text { is strictly incuasing }}{(k)}$ We want to show that the graph of $f$ sits above the tangent line at $(c, f(c))$ fr any $a<c<b$

We argue by contradiction \& assume this fails for some cu l $(a, b)$. This means that we can find a point $d$ as clone to $c$ as derind, where $(d, f(d))$ is below the Tangent line $L_{\text {Tan }}$
CASE 1: $d<c$ :


- Since $f^{\prime \prime}$ exists, this mans $f^{\prime}$ is differentiable, so $f$ is continuous

In particular: $f$ is differentiable $m(d, c)$
$f$ is continuous in $[d, c]$
By the Mean Value Theorem, we can find $p$ in $(d, c)$ with

$$
f^{\prime}(p)=\frac{f(c)-f(d)}{c-d}=\text { slope of the secant. } L_{\mathrm{sec}}
$$

Now, $(d, f(d))$ is below $L_{\text {Tan }}, p<c$ and

$$
f^{\prime}(p)=\text { slop of the secant } L_{\text {sec }}>\text { slope of } L_{\text {Tan }}=f^{\prime}(c)
$$

So $f^{\prime}$ is not incuasing. This contradicts our assumption (*)
CASE 2: $\quad d>c$
We use the MVT to find $p$ in $(c, d)$ with $f_{(p)}^{\prime}=\frac{f(d)-f(c)}{d-c}$
 We get $c<p$ and

$$
\text { slope of } L_{a_{a n}}=f_{(c)}^{\prime}>\text { slope of } L_{s e c}=f_{(c)}^{\prime}
$$

Again, this cortradictis on assumption ( $x$ ) that $f^{\prime}$ was imcuaring.

- We conclude fum both cases, that wo sech pout $(d, f(d)$ ) can exist, so $f_{(x)}$ is CU near $c$ as we wanted To show.

