

Lecture IX: §1.7 Linear independence & nonsingular matrices

Last Time: • Uniqueness of inverses of $n \times n$ matrices (whenever they exist)
 • $A\underline{x} = \underline{b}$ in \mathbb{R}^n with A invertible $n \times n$ matrix has a unique solution $\underline{x} = A^{-1}\underline{b}$ for any $\underline{b} \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

§1. Non-singular matrices:

Def: An $(n \times n)$ matrix A is nonsingular whenever $A\underline{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ in \mathbb{R}^n has a unique solution, namely the trivial one $\underline{0} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ in \mathbb{R}^n .

Otherwise, we say A is singular.

Example: $I_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ is non-singular

$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ is singular (solutions: $\begin{cases} x_1 = 0 \\ x_2 \text{ any} \end{cases}$, so $\underline{x} = x_2 \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$)

Prop: A is nonsingular if and only if all $A\underline{x} = \underline{b}$ are consistent, with unique solution (for any $\underline{b} \in \mathbb{R}^n$)

Proof: • Assume $A\underline{x} = \underline{b}$ always has a unique solution, no matter what \underline{b} is.

Take special case: $\underline{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$. Then $A \cdot \underline{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ has a unique solution, so

A is nonsingular by definition.

• For the converse; $A \cdot \underline{x} = \underline{0}$ has a unique solution, means $A \sim A'$ REF $n \times n$

then A' has no row of zeroes, so $\text{rank}(A) = \text{rank}(A') = n$ in REF.

This forces $A' = I_n$. So $[A | \underline{b}] \sim [I_n | \underline{b}']$ gives \underline{b}' as the unique soln to $A\underline{x} = \underline{b}$ \square

Obs: Our algorithm for finding A^{-1} gives $[A | I_n] \sim [I_n | B]$ if A is nonsingular

Then A is invertible (as discussed last time).

Consequence: If A is nonsingular, we found A^{-1} , showing A is invertible.

Thm 1: A of size $n \times n$ is invertible if and only if A is nonsingular.

Lemma: Fix P & Q of size $n \times n$, & write $R = PQ$

If P or Q are singular, then so is R .

Proof: First, assume Q is singular. Then, we can find $\underline{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n, \underline{x} \neq \underline{0}$ with $Q \cdot \underline{x} = \underline{0}$. In turn, $R \underline{x} = (PQ) \underline{x} \stackrel{\text{Assoc}}{=} P(Q \underline{x}) = \underline{0}$. So $R \underline{x} = \underline{0}$ admits a non-trivial solution. This shows R is singular.

Now, assume Q is non-singular, so P must be singular. In particular we can find $\underline{b} \neq \underline{0} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $P \cdot \underline{b} = \underline{0} \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Now: $Q \cdot \underline{x} = \underline{b}$ & Q is non-singular so by the Proposition this system has a unique solution, & $\underline{x} \neq \underline{0}$ because $\underline{b} \neq \underline{0}$.

But then $R \underline{x} = (PQ) \underline{x} \stackrel{\text{Assoc}}{=} P(Q \underline{x}) = P \cdot \underline{b} \stackrel{\text{def } \underline{b}}{=} \underline{0} \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Conclusion: R is singular.

Consequence: Use the Lemma to show: "If $AB = I_n$ A, B of size $n \times n$ then $BA = I_n$ as well".

How? Take $P=A, Q=B, R=I_n$ in the Lemma. Since I_n is nonsingular by def, the Lemma forces A, B to be nonsingular.

In particular $B \underline{x} = I_n$ admits a unique solution $\underline{x} = C$ (break into n systems)

Then $AB = BC = I_n$. As in Lecture 8, this forces $A=C$ so $B=A^{-1}$.
 $[A = A(BC) = (AB)C = C]$ $B = A^{-1}$

§2. Linear independence in \mathbb{R}^n

Def: A set of vectors $\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_p\}$ in \mathbb{R}^n is linearly independent (l.i.) if the only solution (a_1, \dots, a_p) to the vector equation

(*) $a_1 \vec{v}_1 + \dots + a_p \vec{v}_p = \underline{0}$ in \mathbb{R}^n is the trivial one: $a_1 = a_2 = \dots = a_p = 0$.

If a non-trivial solution to (*) exists, we say $\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_p\}$ is linearly dependent (there is an equation showing the vectors are "related").

Start with examples! We'll see this notion leads to non-singular matrices

Ex 0 Any $\{0, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_p\}$ is linearly dependent since $1 \cdot 0 + 0 \cdot \vec{v}_2 + \dots + 0 \cdot \vec{v}_p = \underline{0}$

Example 1: $v_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$, $v_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \end{bmatrix}$, $v_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 8 \\ 11 \\ 8 \end{bmatrix}$, $v_4 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$

• $\{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$ are l.d.:

$$-2\vec{v}_1 + (-1)\vec{v}_2 + \vec{v}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} -2 \cdot 2 - 4 + 8 \\ -6 - 5 + 11 \\ -2 - 6 + 8 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

So $a_1 = -2, a_2 = -1, a_3 = 1$ is a non-trivial soln to $a_1\vec{v}_1 + a_2\vec{v}_2 + a_3\vec{v}_3 = \vec{0}$ in \mathbb{R}^3 .

Q: How to solve the system in a_1, a_2, a_3 ?

(LHS) = $\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 4 & 8 \\ 3 & 5 & 11 \\ 1 & 6 & 8 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \\ a_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ no linear system we can solve by Gauss-Jordan elimination

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 4 & 8 \\ 3 & 5 & 11 \\ 1 & 6 & 8 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_1 \leftrightarrow R_3} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 6 & 8 \\ 3 & 5 & 11 \\ 2 & 4 & 8 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\begin{matrix} R_2 \rightarrow R_2 - 3R_1 \\ R_3 \rightarrow R_3 - 2R_1 \end{matrix}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 6 & 8 \\ 0 & -13 & -13 \\ 0 & -8 & -8 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_2 \rightarrow R_2 / -13} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 6 & 8 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & -8 & -8 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\xrightarrow{R_3 \rightarrow R_3 + 8R_2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 6 & 8 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_1 \rightarrow R_1 - 6R_2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

REF

$a_1 = -2a_3$
 $a_2 = -a_3$
 a_3 ANY
 $\underline{a} = a_3 \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$

Special case:
 $a_3 = 1$
 $\Rightarrow a_1 = -2, a_2 = -1$

• $\{v_1, v_2, v_4\}$ are l.i.:

System $\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 4 & 1 \\ 3 & 5 & 2 \\ 1 & 6 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \\ a_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ Solutions = ?

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 4 & 1 \\ 3 & 5 & 11 \\ 1 & 6 & 8 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_1 \leftrightarrow R_3} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 6 & 0 \\ 3 & 5 & 2 \\ 2 & 4 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\begin{matrix} R_2 \rightarrow R_2 - 3R_1 \\ R_3 \rightarrow R_3 - 2R_1 \end{matrix}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 6 & 0 \\ 0 & -13 & 2 \\ 0 & -8 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_3 \rightarrow R_3 / -8} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 6 & 0 \\ 0 & -13 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & -1/8 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\xrightarrow{R_2 \rightarrow R_2 + 13R_3} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 6 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3/8 \\ 0 & 1 & -1/8 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_2 \leftrightarrow R_3} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 6 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1/8 \\ 0 & 0 & 3/8 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\begin{matrix} R_3 \rightarrow \frac{8}{3}R_3 \\ R_2 \rightarrow R_2 + \frac{1}{8}R_3 \\ R_1 \rightarrow R_1 - 6R_2 \end{matrix}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 6 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1/8 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{I_3}$$

So $a_1 = a_2 = a_3$ is the unique solution.

Q: Why study independence? Take $A \cdot \underline{x} = \underline{0}$ in \mathbb{R}^n with A of size $m \times p$.

Prop 1: The system $A \underline{x} = \underline{0}$ has a unique solution if and only if, the p columns of A are linearly independent.

Why? $A \underline{x} = x_1 \text{col}_1(A) + x_2 \text{col}_2(A) + \dots + x_p \text{col}_p(A) = \underline{0}$ in \mathbb{R}^n

Prop 2: The system $A \cdot \underline{x} = \underline{b}$ for \underline{b} in \mathbb{R}^n . A of size $n \times p$ admits a solution if and only if \underline{b} is a linear combination of the p columns A , meaning $\underline{b} = \underline{x}_1 \text{col}_1(A) + \underline{x}_2 \text{col}_2(A) + \dots + \underline{x}_p \text{col}_p(A)$ for a choice of scalars x_1, \dots, x_p .

The solution is unique only when the columns are linearly indep.

Theorem: If $\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_p\}$ are l.d. with $a_i \neq 0$, then \vec{v}_i is a linear combination of the remaining $(p-1)$ vectors.

Why? $a_1 \vec{v}_1 + \dots + a_i \vec{v}_i + \dots + a_p \vec{v}_p = \vec{0}$, then

$$a_i \vec{v}_i = - \sum_{j \neq i} a_j \vec{v}_j \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n$$

Since $a_i \neq 0$, multiply both sides by $\frac{1}{a_i}$ to get

$$\vec{v}_i = - \sum_{j \neq i} \left(\frac{a_j}{a_i} \right) \vec{v}_j \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n$$

§3 Unit Vectors:

On \mathbb{R}^n we have n unit vectors (analog of $\vec{i}, \vec{j}, \vec{k}$ in \mathbb{R}^3).

$$e_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad e_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \dots, \quad e_n = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Prop 1: $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ are linearly independent:

Why? $\vec{0} = x_1 e_1 + \dots + x_n e_n = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix}$ gives $x_1 = x_2 = \dots = x_n = 0$.

Prop 2: Any vector \underline{x} in \mathbb{R}^n is a linear combination of e_1, \dots, e_n :

Why? $\underline{x} = x_1 e_1 + \dots + x_n e_n$.

§4 Useful properties:

Prop 3: Reordering a set of vectors preserves linear independence

Prop 4: A subset of a linearly independent set is also l.i.

Why? Say $\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_p\}$ is l.i. & pick $\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_s\}$.

If $a_1 \vec{v}_1 + \dots + a_s \vec{v}_s = \vec{0}$ in \mathbb{R}^n has a non-trivial soln (a_1, \dots, a_s) ,

then $a_1 \vec{v}_1 + \dots + a_p \vec{v}_p = \vec{0}$ has a solution $(\underbrace{a_1, \dots, a_p}_{\neq \vec{0}}, 0, \dots, 0)$
So $\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_p\}$ can't be linearly independent!

Thm 2: If $\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_p\} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ & $p > n$, then the set is NEVER l.i.

Proof: We want to solve $\underbrace{[\vec{v}_1 \dots \vec{v}_p]}_{A \text{ of size } n \times p} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_p \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ in \mathbb{R}^n

eqns = $n <$ # unknowns = p , so we know the system has more than one solution! In particular, the vectors are l.i. \square .
(infinitely many!)

Obs: If $p \leq n$, anything can happen:

- (1) Unit vectors (and subsets of them) are l.i.
- (2) any set containing $\vec{0} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is l.i.

Thm 3: An $n \times n$ matrix A is nonsingular if and only if the n columns are l.i.
($A \cdot \underline{x} = \vec{0}$ has unique soln $\underline{x} = \vec{0}$)

Summary of results:

For A of size $n \times n$, the following statements are equivalent

- (1) A is nonsingular
- (2) The n columns of A are linearly independent
- (3) $A \underline{x} = \underline{b}$ has ALWAYS a unique solution (\forall any choice of \underline{b} in \mathbb{R}^n)
- (4) A is invertible
- (5) A is row equivalent to I_n