

Lecture XV: §3.3 More examples of subspaces of \mathbb{R}^n
 §3.4. Bases for Subspaces

Recall: A subset \mathcal{V} of \mathbb{R}^n is a vector subspace if it satisfies:

- (S1) $\mathbf{0}$ in \mathcal{V}
- (S2) if $\underline{x}, \underline{y}$ in \mathcal{V} , then $\underline{x} + \underline{y}$ is also in \mathcal{V}
- (S3) if \underline{x} in \mathcal{V} , α scalar, then $\alpha \underline{x}$ is also in \mathcal{V} .

Main examples ① $\mathcal{V} = \text{Sp}(\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_r) = \{ \alpha_1 \vec{v}_1 + \dots + \alpha_r \vec{v}_r \mid \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r \text{ in } \mathbb{R} \}$
 (span of a subset)

② $\mathcal{V} = \{ \underline{x} : A \underline{x} = \mathbf{0} \} = \mathcal{N}(A)$ $A = m \times n$ matrix
 [Null space of kernel of A]

Q: More examples?

§: The range of a matrix:

Def: Given an $m \times n$ matrix A , the range of A is the set of vectors:

$$\mathcal{R}(A) := \{ \underline{y} \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^m : \underline{y} = A \underline{x} \text{ for some } \underline{x} \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n \}$$

Obs: $A \underline{x} = x_1 \text{col}_1(A) + x_2 \text{col}_2(A) + \dots + x_n \text{col}_n(A)$ x_1, \dots, x_n in \mathbb{R}

Conclude: $\mathcal{R}(A) = \text{Sp}(\text{columns of } A) = \text{column space of } A = \text{Col}(A)$

In particular, it is a subspace of \mathbb{R}^m .

Example: $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & -1 & 4 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 5 & -3 \end{bmatrix}$

$$\underline{y} = A \underline{x} \quad \rightsquigarrow [A | \underline{y}] = \left[\begin{array}{cccc|c} 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & y_1 \\ 2 & -1 & 4 & 0 & y_2 \\ 1 & 1 & 5 & -3 & y_3 \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{\substack{R_2 \rightarrow R_2 - 2R_1 \\ R_3 \rightarrow R_3 - R_1}} \left[\begin{array}{cccc|c} 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & y_1 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & -2 & y_2 - 2y_1 \\ 0 & 2 & 4 & -4 & y_3 - y_1 \end{array} \right]$$

$$\xrightarrow{R_3 \rightarrow R_3 - 2R_2} \left[\begin{array}{cccc|c} 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & y_1 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & -2 & y_2 - 2y_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3y_1 - 2y_2 + y_3 \end{array} \right]$$

The system is compatible if and only if $3y_1 - 2y_2 + y_3 = 0$ = plane in \mathbb{R}^3

$$\text{So } y_3 = -3y_1 + 2y_2 \quad \underline{y} = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ y_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ -3y_1 + 2y_2 \end{bmatrix} = y_1 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -3 \end{bmatrix} + y_2 \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Conclude: $\mathcal{R}(A) = \text{Sp} \left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 4 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -3 \end{bmatrix} \right) = \text{Sp} \left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -3 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \right) = \mathcal{N}([3 \ -2 \ 1])$

§2. The Row Space of a matrix:

Def: Given an $m \times n$ matrix A , we define the Row Space of A as the span of its m rows (vectors in \mathbb{R}^n after transposing)

Obs: $\text{Rows}(A) = \text{Columns}(A^t)$

Example: $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 4 \\ 5 & 7 & 8 \end{bmatrix}$ Columns $(A) = \text{Sp}([1], [2], [4])$ in \mathbb{R}^2 .
Rows $(A) = \text{Sp}([\frac{1}{4}], [\frac{5}{8}])$ in \mathbb{R}^3 .

Q: What happens under elementary row operations? A: Same row space!

Theorem 1: If $A \underset{\text{row equiv.}}{\sim} B$, then A & B have the same row space.

Proof (idea): Enough to check nothing changed under each of the 3 elementary row operations [$A \xrightarrow{\text{dim}} A \xrightarrow{\text{dim}} \dots \xrightarrow{\text{dim}} A_S = B$ then $\text{Row}(A) = \text{Row}(A_1) = \dots = \text{Row}(A_S)$]

(E1) Swapping rows clearly preserves the row space.

(E2) Multiplying a row (say R_1) by a scalar $\alpha \neq 0$:

$$\text{Sp}(\alpha R_1, R_2, \dots, R_m) = \text{Sp}(R_1, R_2, \dots, R_m)$$

$$\frac{\beta_1}{\alpha} (\alpha R_1) + \beta_2 R_2 + \dots + \beta_m R_m = \beta_1 R_1 + \beta_2 R_2 + \dots + \beta_m R_m$$

(E3) Multiplying a row (say R_1) & adding the result to a row (say R_2)

$$\text{Sp}(R_1, \alpha R_1 + R_2, R_3, \dots, R_m) = \text{Sp}(R_1, R_2, \dots, R_m)$$

$$\beta_1 R_1 + \beta_2 (\alpha R_1 + R_2) + \beta_3 R_3 + \dots + \beta_m R_m = (\beta_1 + \beta_2 \alpha) R_1 + \beta_2 R_2 + \dots + \beta_m R_m = \beta'_1 R_1 + \dots$$

$\beta'_1 = \beta_1 + \beta_2 \alpha$ $\beta_1 = \beta'_1 - \beta_2 \alpha$

Q: Why is this important?

A: We can use row operations to find a better set of generators for $\text{Row}(A)$, and in general for any $\text{Sp}(v_1, \dots, v_m)$ in \mathbb{R}^n .

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} v_1^t \\ \vdots \\ v_m^t \end{bmatrix} \underset{\text{row-equiv.}}{\sim} B = \begin{bmatrix} w_1 \\ \vdots \\ w_r \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \boxed{\mathbb{W} = \text{Row}(A) = \text{Sp}(w_1^t, \dots, w_r^t)}$$

Example: $v_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$, $v_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix}$, $v_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 5 \\ 6 \end{bmatrix}$, $v_4 = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \\ -4 \end{bmatrix}$ (4 generators)

• Write A with rows $v_1^t, v_2^t, v_3^t, v_4^t$ $A = 4 \times 4$ matrix

• Find $A \sim B =$ reduced echelon form

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 3 & 5 \\ 3 & 5 & 6 \\ -1 & -1 & -4 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\substack{R_2 \rightarrow R_2 - 2R_1 \\ R_3 \rightarrow R_3 - 3R_1 \\ R_4 \rightarrow R_4 + R_1}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 3 \\ 0 & -1 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & -3 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\substack{R_3 \rightarrow R_3 - R_2 \\ R_4 \rightarrow R_4 + R_2 \\ R_2 \rightarrow -R_2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_1 \rightarrow R_1 - 2R_2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 7 \\ 0 & 1 & -3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = B$$

• Take nonzero rows of B , transpose them. We get a better set of generators for \mathcal{W}

New set $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 7 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ -3 \end{bmatrix} \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{W} = \text{Sp}(\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_2) \quad (4 \text{ generators})$
 $= \text{Sp}\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 7 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ -3 \end{bmatrix}\right) \quad (2 \text{ ---})$

Q: Can we do better?

A: No! 2 is the minimal number we need! (Later on: call it dimension of \mathcal{W})

Why? $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 7 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ -3 \end{bmatrix}$ are linearly independent (cannot have $\mathcal{W} = \text{Sp}(\vec{v})$, otherwise $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 7 \end{bmatrix} = a\vec{v}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ -3 \end{bmatrix} = b\vec{v}$ for $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$)
 for $b \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 7 \end{bmatrix} - a \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ -3 \end{bmatrix} = \vec{0}$)

Advantage 2: $\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} = a \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 7 \end{bmatrix} + b \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ -3 \end{bmatrix}$ for $a = x_1, b = x_2$ &
 $x_3 = 7a + b(-3) = 7x_1 - 3x_2 \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{W} = \{ 7x_1 - 3x_2 - x_3 = 0 \}$
 $= \mathcal{N}([7 \ -3 \ -1]).$

§ 3. Spanning Sets & Bases:

Def: Fix \mathcal{W} a subspace of \mathbb{R}^n & $S = \{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_r\}$ a subset of \mathbb{R}^n (finite)

• S is a spanning set for \mathcal{W} ($\Leftrightarrow S$ spans \mathcal{W}) if $\mathcal{W} = \text{Sp}(\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_r)$

• S is a minimal spanning set for \mathcal{W} if:

(1) S spans \mathcal{W}

(2) For all $i=1, \dots, r$, $S \setminus \{\vec{v}_i\} = \{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_{i-1}, \vec{v}_{i+1}, \dots, \vec{v}_r\}$ does NOT span \mathcal{W} .

Def: A basis for \mathcal{W} is a finite minimally spanning set for \mathcal{W} .

Next time: A finite set B is a basis for \mathcal{W} if

(B1) B spans \mathcal{W}

(B2) B is linearly independent.