

§1 More on characteristic polynomials

Recall: Given an $n \times n$ matrix A , we defined the characteristic polynomial of A as $P_A(t) = \det(A - tI_n)$

- It satisfies:
- $P_A(t)$ is a degree n polynomial in t & $P_A(0) = \det(A)$
 - The eigenvalues of A are the roots (or zeroes) of $P_A(t)$

Properties: If λ is an eigenvalue of A then

- (1) λ^k is an eigenvalue of A^k for each $k=2,3,4, \dots$
- (2) If A is invertible, then $\lambda \neq 0$ & $\frac{1}{\lambda}$ is an eigenvalue of A^{-1} .
- (3) For any μ in \mathbb{R} : $(\lambda + \mu)$ is an eigenvalue of $A + \mu I_n$.

[Moreover, we can choose the same eigenvector \vec{w} of A to work for all other matrices used above]

Q: More properties?

Theorem: $P_A(t) = P_{A^T}(t)$ as polynomials in t

In particular, A & A^T have the same eigenvalues (but typically different eigenvectors!)

Proof: Use $\det(B) = \det(B^T)$ for any $n \times n$ matrix B .

$$\begin{aligned} P_{A^T}(t) &= \det(\underbrace{A^T - tI_n}_B) = \det((A^T - tI_n)^T) \\ &= \det((A^T)^T - (tI_n)^T) = \det(A - tI_n) = P_A(t) \end{aligned}$$

Since eigenvalues are roots of characteristic polynomials, the second part of the statement follows directly from here.

TODAY: Focus on eigenvectors! (Real case, next time: over \mathbb{C})

§2. Eigenvectors:

Recall: A vector \vec{w} in \mathbb{R}^n , $\vec{w} \neq \vec{0}$ is an eigenvector for λ if $A\vec{w} = \lambda\vec{w}$

Def: $E_\lambda = \text{Span}(\{\vec{w} : \vec{w} \text{ eigenvector for } \lambda\})$ Eigenspace for λ

Q: How do we compute E_λ ? First, we input an eigenvalue

Ans: $A\vec{w} = \lambda\vec{w}$ means $(A - \lambda I_n)\vec{w} = \vec{0}$

So $E_\lambda = \mathcal{N}(A - \lambda I_n) =$ (all eigenvectors for λ) plus $\vec{0}$.
nullspace of the matrix $A - \lambda I_n$

Let's see some examples:

EXAMPLE 1 $A = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \rightsquigarrow \begin{cases} 1^{st}: \text{compute eigenvalues} \\ 2^{nd}: \text{compute eigenspaces} \end{cases}$

$P_A(t) = \det \begin{pmatrix} -1-t & 1 \\ 0 & -1-t \end{pmatrix} = (-1-t)^2 = (t+1)^2 \rightsquigarrow \lambda = -1$ is only eigenvalue

$E_{-1} = \mathcal{N}(A - (-1)I_2) = \mathcal{N}(A + I_2) = \mathcal{N} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

$\text{rank} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = 1$, so by Rank-Nullity, $\dim E_{-1} = 2 - 1 = 1$

We have $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ so e_1 is in E_{-1} .

By dimension reasons: $E_{-1} = \text{Sp}(e_1)$.

Summary: $\lambda = -1$ was a double root of $P_A(t)$ (multiplicity two) because

$P_A(t) = (t - (-1))^{\textcircled{2}}$

- $\dim E_{-1} = 1 < 2$
- \mathbb{R}^2 does not have a basis of eigenvectors of A .

EXAMPLE 2:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$P_A(t) = \det \begin{pmatrix} 2-t & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1-t & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1-t \end{pmatrix} = (2-t)(-1-t)^2 = (2-t)(t+1)^2$$

→ 2 eigenvalues: $\lambda = 2$ (multiplicity 1)
 $\lambda = -1$ (multiplicity 2)

$$E_{-1} = \mathcal{N}(A + I_2) = \mathcal{N} \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \mathcal{N} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$x_1 = 0$
 $x_3 = 0$
 x_2 any

$$\text{So } E_{-1} = \text{Sp} \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

gen-soln: $\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ x_2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = x_2 \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$

$$E_2 = \mathcal{N}(A - 2I_2) = \mathcal{N} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -3 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -3 \end{pmatrix}$$

Use Gauss-Jordan elimination:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -3 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -3 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\substack{R_2 \rightarrow R_2/-3 \\ R_3 \rightarrow R_3/-3}} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1/3 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_2 \rightarrow R_2 + 1/3 R_3} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\substack{R_1 \leftrightarrow R_3 \\ R_1 \leftrightarrow R_2}} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

x_1 any
 $x_2 = 0$
 $x_3 = 0$

$$\text{So } E_2 = \text{Sp} \left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) \quad \underline{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = x_1 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Summary: Two eigenvalues with $\text{mult}(-1, P_A(t)) = 2$, $\text{mult}(2, P_A(t)) = 1$

• $\dim E_{-1} = \dim E_2 = 1$

• $\dim E_{-1} = 1 < 2 = \text{mult}(-1, P_A(t))$ $\dim E_2 = 1 = \text{mult}(2, P_A(t))$

• Once more: \mathbb{R}^3 does not have a basis of eigenvectors of A.

EXAMPLE 3:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 8 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$P_A(t) = \det \begin{pmatrix} 2-t & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1-t & 8 \\ 0 & 0 & 4-t \end{pmatrix} = (2-t)(-1-t)(4-t) = (-1)^3 (t-2)(t+1)(t-4)$$

so we have 3 simple roots

3 eigenvalues: $\lambda = 4, -1, 2$ (all of multiplicity 1)

• $E_{-1} = \mathcal{W} \left(\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 8 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \right)$, has $\dim = 3 - \text{rank} \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 8 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix} = 3 - 2 = 1$

• $\begin{pmatrix} 3 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 8 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$

So $E_{-1} = \text{Sp} \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right)$

• $E_2 = \mathcal{W} \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -3 & 8 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \right)$

has $\dim = 3 - \text{rank} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -3 & 8 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix} = 3 - 2 = 1$

$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -3 & 8 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$

So $E_2 = \text{Sp} \left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right)$

• $E_4 = \mathcal{W} \left(\begin{bmatrix} -2 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -5 & 8 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right)$

$\begin{bmatrix} -2 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -5 & 8 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow[\substack{R_1 \rightarrow R_1 - 2 \\ R_2 \rightarrow R_2 - 5}]{\substack{R_1 \rightarrow R_1 - 2 \\ R_2 \rightarrow R_2 - 5}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1/2 \\ 0 & 1 & -8/5 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$

$x_1 = +\frac{1}{2}x_3$
 $x_2 = \frac{8}{5}x_3$

↑
indep

So general solution: $\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2}x_3 \\ \frac{8}{5}x_3 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{x_3}{10} \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 16 \\ 10 \end{bmatrix}$

Conclude: $E_3 = \text{Sp} \left(\begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 16 \\ 10 \end{bmatrix} \right)$

$B = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 16 \\ 10 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$ is a basis for \mathbb{R}^3 consisting of 3 eigenvectors (we have 3 & they are linearly independent)

Summary: • A has 3 distinct eigenvectors, all of multiplicity 1

• $\dim E_{-1} = \dim E_2 = \dim E_4 = 1$

• \mathbb{R}^3 has a basis B consisting of eigenvectors for A.

§ 3. Eigenspaces

Fix A of size $n \times n$

Define: $E_\lambda = \mathcal{N}(A - \lambda I_n)$ is always a subspace of \mathbb{R}^n

• If λ is an eigenvalue, $E_\lambda \neq \{\vec{0}\}$ Moreover:

$E_\lambda = \{\vec{0}\} \cup \{\vec{w} = \vec{w}\}$ is an eigenvector for the eigenvalue λ ($\dim E_\lambda > 0$)

• If λ is not an eigenvalue, then $E_\lambda = \{\vec{0}\}$ ($\dim E_\lambda = 0$)

This gives a third way of characterizing eigenvalues, namely, as those λ in \mathbb{R} with $\dim E_\lambda > 0$.

Q: What is $\dim E_\lambda$ when λ is an eigenvalue?

Def: $\dim E_\lambda$ is called the geometric multiplicity of λ

This name is chosen to contrast with the algebraic multiplicity = multiplicity of λ as a root of $P_A(t)$

• Let's check the earlier examples:

EXAMPLE 1: $A = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$ $\lambda = -1$ only eigenvalue & $\dim E_{-1} = 1$
algebraic mult = 2 but geometric multiplicity = 1

EXAMPLE 2 $A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$ $\lambda = 2$ & $\lambda = -1$ only eigenvalues
 $P_A(t) = (2-t)(t+1)^2$, $\dim E_{-1} = \dim E_2 = 1$
 $\lambda = 2$ has algebraic multiplicity 1 & geometric multiplicity 1.
 $\lambda = -1$ 2 & 1

EXAMPLE 3 $A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 8 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$ $P_A(t) = -(t-4)(t+1)(t-2) \rightarrow 3$ eigenvalues
 $\dim E_{-1} = \dim E_4 = \dim E_2 = 1$

$\lambda = 2, -1$ & 4 all have algebraic multiplicity 1 & also geometric multiplicity 1.

• In all examples above: (1) alg mult (λ) ≥ 1 , geom mult (λ) ≥ 1

(2) alg mult (λ) \geq geom mult (λ)

Both statements are always true. (1) follows from the definitions ($E_\lambda \neq \vec{0}$, $\dim E_\lambda \geq 1$)
(2) is a hard theorem. We'll take it for a fact.

§4 Defective matrices: Fix A of size $n \times n$

• We are interested in situations where

(*) $\boxed{\text{alg mult}(\lambda) = \text{geom mult}(\lambda) \text{ for all eigenvalues } \lambda \text{ of } A.}$

Why? Because in that situation we'll be able to find a basis B for \mathbb{R}^n consisting of eigenvectors for A & we'll be able to a matrix representation for the transformation $T: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ that is diagonal,
 $\vec{v} \mapsto A\vec{v}$

namely $[T]_{BB}$. This will be known as "diagonalizing the matrix A ". We'll discuss it in §9.7.

• When (*) fails for A , we say A is a defective matrix. The definition is as follows (Here, we are using $\text{alg mult}(\lambda) \geq \text{geom mult}(\lambda)$ for any eigenvalue λ)

Def: If A has a (real or complex) eigenvalue with

$\text{alg mult}(\lambda) > \text{geom mult}(\lambda),$
then we say A is a defective matrix

Earlier examples: Ex 1 & Ex 2 were defective, Ex 3 was not.
(both cases: $\lambda = -1$)

Prop: If $\text{alg mult}(\lambda) = 1$ for all eigenvalues λ , then A is not defective

Proof: We know $\text{geom mult}(\lambda) \geq 1$ because $E_\lambda \neq \{ \vec{0} \}$ so its dimension is at least 1.

This ensures that we don't have an eigenvalue λ with $\text{alg mult}(\lambda) > \text{geom mult}(\lambda) = \dim E_\lambda$

So A cannot be defective, by definition of a defective matrix \square

Obs: This was the situation for Example 3 (all $\text{alg mult}(\lambda) = 1$).

To finish, we will show that when A of size $n \times n$ has n distinct eigenvalues⁷ then \mathbb{R}^n has a basis of eigenvectors (one for each eigenvalue).

To this end, we'll show that vectors of different eigenspaces will be automatically li (whenever they are not $\vec{0}$). This will be a very useful result, so we write the general statement as a theorem:

Theorem: Given an $n \times n$ matrix A and a list of distinct eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$, pick a nonzero eigenvector \vec{v}_j for each (that is, $\vec{v}_j \neq \vec{0}$ & $A\vec{v}_j = \lambda_j \vec{v}_j$)

Then $S = \{v_1, \dots, v_k\}$ is a linearly independent set in \mathbb{R}^n

Proof: If $k=1$ the result is true because $\{\vec{v}\}$ is li whenever $\vec{v} \neq \vec{0}$.

• Assume $k > 1$. We will argue by contradiction. We assume S is li and we'll reach a false statement.

Since each $\{v_i\}$ is li but the whole S is li, we can find some m with $1 < m \leq k$ for which $\{v_1, \dots, v_{m-1}\}$ is li but $\{v_1, \dots, v_m\}$ is li.

(This is because we have to move from a li set to a li subset, so we can find the first spot where the transition happens)

In particular, we have $\boxed{c_1 \vec{v}_1 + \dots + c_m \vec{v}_m = \vec{0}}$ & $c_m \neq 0$

(otherwise the linear combination will involve $\{v_1, \dots, v_{m-1}\}$ only & it would be automatically trivial)

Next, we multiply both sides by A on the left: & use that $A v_j = \lambda_j v_j$

$$A(c_1 \vec{v}_1 + \dots + c_m \vec{v}_m) = A \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$c_1 A \vec{v}_1 + \dots + c_m A \vec{v}_m = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\boxed{c_1 \lambda_1 \vec{v}_1 + \dots + c_m \lambda_m \vec{v}_m = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}}$$

Next, we take the 2 boxed expressions \square & do:

$$c_1 \lambda_1 \vec{v}_1 + \dots + c_{m-1} \lambda_{m-1} \vec{v}_{m-1} + c_m \lambda_m \vec{v}_m = \vec{0}$$

$$\lambda_m (c_1 \vec{v}_1 + \dots + c_{m-1} \vec{v}_{m-1} + c_m \vec{v}_m = \vec{0})$$

$$\underbrace{c_1 (\lambda_1 - \lambda_m)}_{= d_1} \vec{v}_1 + \dots + \underbrace{c_{m-1} (\lambda_{m-1} - \lambda_m)}_{d_{m-1}} \vec{v}_{m-1} + \vec{0} = \vec{0}$$

So we get a linear combination involving $\{ \vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_{m-1} \}$ (because we cancelled the terms involving \vec{v}_m in both expressions)

$$d_1 \vec{v}_1 + \dots + d_{m-1} \vec{v}_{m-1} = \vec{0} \quad \text{forces } d_1 = \dots = d_{m-1} = 0$$

So $\{ \vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_{m-1} \}$ is li because $\{ \vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_{m-1} \}$ is li

$$\begin{cases} d_1 = c_1 (\lambda_1 - \lambda_m) = 0 \\ d_2 = c_2 (\lambda_2 - \lambda_m) = 0 \\ \vdots \\ d_{m-1} = c_{m-1} (\lambda_{m-1} - \lambda_m) = 0 \end{cases}$$

But each identity forces either $c_i = 0$ or $\lambda_i - \lambda_m = 0$

But all the eigenvalues were different & $i < m$, $\lambda_i = \lambda_m$ so we conclude $c_1 = c_2 = \dots = c_{m-1} = 0$

Going back to our original boxed expression:

$$(*) \quad \underbrace{c_1 \vec{v}_1}_{=0} + \underbrace{c_2 \vec{v}_2}_{=0} + \dots + \underbrace{c_{m-1} \vec{v}_{m-1}}_{=0} + c_m \vec{v}_m = \vec{0}$$

$$\vec{0} + c_m \vec{v}_m = \vec{0}$$

And $\vec{v}_m \neq \vec{0}$ forces $c_m = 0$. This contradicts our original assumption that (*) was a nontrivial linear combination!

Only way out to the contradiction is S is li (This is what we wanted to show!).

9

Consequence 1: If A is of size $n \times n$ & has n distinct real eigenvectors, then \mathbb{R}^n has a basis of eigenvectors

Why? $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m\}$ distinct eigenvalues, pick $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_n$ eigenvectors, with $\vec{v}_j \neq \vec{0}$ (by definition) & $A\vec{v}_j = \lambda_j\vec{v}_j$

Then, the theorem guarantees that $B = \{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_n\}$ is l.i.
Since we have n elements & $\dim \mathbb{R}^n = n$, we know that B is automatically a basis. \square

• We will see other consequences of this in § 3.7. Upside. The same will hold if we pick S_{λ_i} subsets in each E_{λ_i} with $|S_{\lambda_i}| \geq 1$.

Namely, grouping these sets into a big set $S = S_{\lambda_1} \cup S_{\lambda_2} \cup \dots \cup S_{\lambda_k}$ will also be l.i.