

## Lecture 9: §1.7 Linear Independence & Nonsingular Matrices

Last Time: . Uniqueness of inverses of  $n \times n$  matrices (whenever they exist)

- Algorithm to find inverse for  $A$  of size  $n \times n$

$$\text{Compute: } [A | I_n] \sim [A' | B'] \quad A' \text{ REF}$$

- ① If  $A' = I_n$ , then  $A$  is invertible &  $B' = A^{-1}$
- ② If  $A' \neq I_n$ , then  $A$  is not invertible.
- Prop: If  $A \cdot \underline{x} = \underline{b}$   $A$  of size  $n \times n$  is invertible, then the system has a unique solution  $\underline{x} = A^{-1} \underline{b}$  for any  $\underline{b}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

- TODAY: • Understand better how the Algorithm (\*) works (via nonsingular matrices)
- Define & study linear independence of vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$
  - Find other tests for checking when a matrix is invertible.

## Nonsingular Matrices

Def: An  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$  is nonsingular whenever  $A \underline{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \stackrel{=} \underline{\underline{0}}$  has a unique solution (the zero solution). Otherwise, we say  $A$  is singular.

Example  $I_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  is nonsingular ;  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  is singular (Sols:  $\underline{x}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ )

Prop:  $A$  is nonsingular if, and only if, all  $A \underline{x} = \underline{b}$  are always consistent, with unique solutions (for all choices of constant vectors  $\underline{b} = \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ \vdots \\ b_n \end{bmatrix}$ )

Why? • Assume all  $A \underline{x} = \underline{b}$  have unique solutions, in particular for  $\underline{b} = \underline{\underline{0}}$ . So,  $A$  is nonsingular by definition.

• For the converse, assume  $A \underline{x} = \underline{\underline{0}}$  has a unique solution. This means that  $[A | \underline{0}] \sim [A' | \underline{0}]$  with  $A'$  REF has  $\text{rank}(A') = n$  (no indeps vars!) But  $A'$  has size  $n \times n$ , so  $A' = I_n$ .

The same elementary row operations give  $[A | \underline{b}] \sim [I_n | \underline{b}']$ , so  $\begin{cases} x_1 = b'_1 \\ x_2 = b'_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n = b'_n \end{cases}$  is the unique solution (of course  $b'$  will change with  $\underline{b}$ ).

This is consistent with our algorithm: pick  $n$  values  $\underline{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \dots, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$

- If  $A$  is nonsingular, then  $[A | I_n] \sim [I_n | B']$ , & so  $A$  is invertible
- Since  $A \underline{x} = \underline{b}$  for  $A$  invertible has unique soln  $\underline{x} = A^{-1} \underline{b}$ , we get:

**Theorem 1:**  $A$  of size  $n \times n$  is nonsingular if, and only if,  $A$  is invertible

Useful Lemma: Fix  $P, Q$  of size  $n \times n$ , & write  $R = PQ$ .

[ If  $P$  or  $Q$  are singular, then so is  $R$ . ]

Why? ① If  $Q$  is singular, then  $Q \underline{x} = \underline{0}$  has a nontrivial solution  $\underline{x}$

So  $R \underline{x} = (PQ) \underline{x} = P(\underbrace{Q \underline{x}}_{= \underline{0}}) = P\underline{0} = \underline{0}$  has a nontrivial soln, so  $R$  is singular

② If  $Q$  is nonsingular, then  $P$  is singular. So we can find  $\underline{b} \neq \underline{0}$  with  $P \underline{b} = \underline{0}$ . Now:  $Q \underline{x} = \underline{b}$  has a unique solution ( $\underline{x} \neq \underline{0}$  also) so  $R \underline{x} = P Q \underline{x} = P \underline{b} = \underline{0}$ . So  $R$  is singular.

Consequence: If  $AB = I_n$  with  $A, B$  of size  $n \times n$ , then  $BA = I_n$ .

Why? Use Lemma!  $P = A$ ,  $B = Q$ ,  $R = AB = I_n$ .

Since  $R$  is nonsingular, the Lemma forces  $A$  &  $B$  to be nonsingular.

In particular,  $B \underline{X} = I_n$  admits a unique solution  $\underline{X} = C$

(we have  $n$  systems, with  $b = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \dots, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ )

Then  $AB = BC = I_n$ . This forces  $C = A$  because

$$\boxed{A} = A I_n = A(BC) = (AB)C = I_n C = \boxed{C}. \quad (\text{trick from last time})$$

### Unit Vectors

In  $\mathbb{R}^n$  we have  $n$  special vectors of norm 1.

$$e_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad e_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \dots, \quad e_n = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (\text{Standard Unit Vectors})$$

$$e_1, \quad e_2, \quad \dots, \quad e_n$$

• We can use them to write any vector!  $\begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \\ \vdots \\ a_n \end{bmatrix} = a_1 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + a_2 \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \dots + a_n \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$  (linear combination)

## Linear Independence in $\mathbb{R}^n$

Def.: A set of vectors  $\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_p\}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is linearly independent (l.i.) if the only solution  $(a_1, \dots, a_p)$  to the vector equation:

$$(*) \quad a_1 \vec{v}_1 + a_2 \vec{v}_2 + \dots + a_p \vec{v}_p = \vec{0} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n$$

$\underbrace{\qquad}_{\text{unknowns}}$

is the trivial one, that is  $a_1 = a_2 = \dots = a_p = 0$ .

If a non-trivial solution to (\*) exists, we say the set  $\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_p\}$  is linearly dependent (l.d.) [There is an equation showing they are related!]

Example: ①  $\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$  is l.i. (Why?  $a_1 \vec{v}_1 + a_2 \vec{v}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow a_1 = a_2 = 0$ )

②  $\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$  is l.d. ( $1 \cdot \vec{v}_1 + 0 \cdot \vec{v}_2 + 0 \cdot \vec{v}_3 = \vec{0}$ )

③  $\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$  is l.d. ( $1 \cdot \vec{v}_1 + 1 \cdot \vec{v}_2 = \vec{0}$ )

Example:  $\vec{v}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $\vec{v}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $\vec{v}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 8 \\ 11 \\ 8 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $\vec{v}_4 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$

①  $\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_3\}$  is l.d.

Write  $a_1 \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + a_2 \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \end{bmatrix} + a_3 \begin{bmatrix} 8 \\ 11 \\ 8 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$

$$\left[ \begin{array}{ccc|c} 2 & 4 & 8 & a_1 \\ 3 & 5 & 11 & a_2 \\ 1 & 6 & 8 & a_3 \\ \hline v_1 & v_2 & v_3 & \end{array} \right] =$$

Want to solve  $A \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \\ a_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$

$a_1 = -2, a_2 = -1, a_3 = 1$   
works

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 4 & 8 \\ 3 & 5 & 11 \\ 1 & 6 & 8 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_1 \leftrightarrow R_3} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 6 & 8 \\ 2 & 5 & 11 \\ 3 & 4 & 8 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\substack{R_2 \rightarrow R_2 - 3R_1 \\ R_3 \rightarrow R_3 - 2R_1}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 6 & 8 \\ 0 & -13 & -13 \\ 0 & -8 & -8 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_2 \rightarrow \frac{1}{-13}R_2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 6 & 8 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & -8 & -8 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\xrightarrow{R_3 \rightarrow R_3 + 8R_2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 6 & 8 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_1 \rightarrow R_1 - 6R_2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{REF}$$

$a_1, a_2$  dep     $a_3$  indep  $\rightsquigarrow \begin{cases} a_1 + 2a_3 = 0 \\ a_2 + a_3 = 0 \\ 0 = 0 \end{cases}$

$a_1 = -2a_3$   
 $a_2 = -a_3$   
 $a_3 \text{ ANY}$

②  $\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_4\}$  is l.i.

$$\rightsquigarrow \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 4 & 1 \\ 3 & 5 & 2 \\ 1 & 6 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \\ a_4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \underline{\text{Q}} \quad \underline{\text{A}} \quad \underline{\text{YES}}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 4 & 1 \\ 3 & 5 & 2 \\ 1 & 6 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_1 \leftrightarrow R_3} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 6 & 0 \\ 3 & 5 & 2 \\ 2 & 4 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\substack{R_2 \rightarrow R_2 - 3R_1 \\ R_3 \rightarrow R_3 - 2R_1}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 6 & 0 \\ 0 & -13 & 2 \\ 0 & -8 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_2 \rightarrow \frac{1}{-13}R_2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 6 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -\frac{2}{13} \\ 0 & -8 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_3 \rightarrow R_3 + 8R_2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 6 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -\frac{2}{13} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \xleftarrow{R_3 \rightarrow \frac{1}{3}R_3} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 6 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -\frac{2}{13} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Solution is unique (rank = 3)  $a_1 = a_2 = a_4 = 0$

Q Why study independence?

Proposition 1: The system  $A \cdot \underline{x} = \underline{0}$  has a unique solution if and only if the  $p$  columns of  $A$  are l.i. vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

Why?  $A \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix} = x_1 \text{col}_1(A) + x_2 \text{col}_2(A) + \dots + x_p \text{col}_p(A) = \underline{0} \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n$ .

Proposition 2:  $A$  of size  $n \times p$ ,  $\underline{b} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then, the system  $A \cdot \underline{x} = \underline{b}$  has a solution if and only if  $\underline{b}$  is a linear combination of the  $p$  columns of  $A$  ( $x_1 \text{col}_1(A) + x_2 \text{col}_2(A) + \dots + x_p \text{col}_p(A) = \underline{b}$ )

The solution is unique only when the columns of  $A$  are l.i.

Theorem: If  $\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_p\}$  are l.d. with  $a_1 \vec{v}_1 + \dots + a_p \vec{v}_p = \underline{0}$  &  $a_i \neq 0$ , then  $\vec{v}_i$  is a linear comb. of the remaining vectors.

Why? If  $i=1$ ,  $a_1 \vec{v}_1 = (-a_2) \vec{v}_2 + \dots + (-a_p) \vec{v}_p$

$$\vec{v}_1 = (-a_2/a_1) \vec{v}_2 + \dots + (-a_p/a_1) \vec{v}_p$$

## Useful Properties

$$e_1 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, e_2 \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \dots, e_n = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Prop 0: Standard unit vectors are li ( $[e_1 \dots e_n] = I_n$ )

Prop 1: Rearranging vectors preserves linear independency / dep.

Prop 2: A subset of a li set is li

Why?  $\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_p\}$  is li but  $\{v_1, \dots, v_p\}$  ld, then

$$a_1 \vec{v}_1 + \dots + a_5 \vec{v}_5 + 0 \cdot \vec{v}_6 + \dots + 0 \cdot \vec{v}_p = 0 \text{ gives ld. Contr!}$$

Ex:  $\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$  is li, so  $\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$ ,  $\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$  &  $\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$  are also li.

Theorem 3: If  $\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_p\}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  &  $p > n$ , then  $\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_p\}$  is ld.

Why? We want to solve  $\begin{bmatrix} v_1 & \dots & v_p \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_p \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$

$n = \# \text{Eqns} < \# \text{Vars} = p$  so we can't have a unique soln.

Theorem 4: A matrix is nm-singular if and only if cols are li

## Summary of Results

Fix  $A$  of size  $n \times n$ . The following statements are equivalent.

- ①  $A$  is nonsingular ( $A \underline{x} = \underline{b}$  has a unique solution)
- ② The  $n$  columns of  $A$  are li
- ③  $A \underline{x} = \underline{b}$  has a unique solution for all  $\underline{b}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .
- ④  $A$  is invertible
- ⑤  $A$  is now equivalent to  $I_n$  ( $[A|I_n] \sim [I_n|B]$ )  
in ALGORITHM for finding  $A^{-1}$

Example :  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

①  $\begin{cases} x_1 + x_2 = 0 \\ x_2 = 0 \end{cases}$  forces  $x_1 = x_2 = 0$

②  $\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$  are li

③  $\begin{cases} x_1 + x_2 = b_1 \\ x_2 = b_2 \end{cases} \sim \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} b_1 - b_2 \\ b_2 \end{bmatrix}$

④  $A^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

⑤  $A \sim I_2$