

Lecture 24: Bases & Coordinates for abstract vector spaces

Recall $B = \{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_r\}$ is a basis for \mathbb{V} (= abst. vector space) if

(1) B spans \mathbb{V} , i.e. $\mathbb{V} = \text{Sp}(\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_r)$

$$= \{ \alpha_1 \vec{v}_1 + \dots + \alpha_r \vec{v}_r : \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r \in \mathbb{R} \}$$

&

(2) B is linearly independent, i.e. the only solution to

$$\vec{0} = \alpha_1 \vec{v}_1 + \dots + \alpha_r \vec{v}_r \quad \text{is} \quad \alpha_1 = \dots = \alpha_r = 0.$$

Examples: ① $\text{Mat}_{2 \times 3}$ has basis $\{E_{11}, E_{12}, E_{13}, E_{21}, E_{22}, E_{23}\}$ (6 elem)

(Lecture 23) ② $\text{Mat}_{m \times n} \quad \xrightarrow{\hspace{1cm}} \quad \{E_{i,j} \mid \begin{matrix} i=1, \dots, m \\ j=1, \dots, n \end{matrix}\}$ ($m \cdot n$ elem)

③ $\mathbb{P}_d = \{q_0 + q_1 x + \dots + q_d x^d \mid q_0, \dots, q_d \in \mathbb{R}\}$ has basis $\{1, x, x^2, \dots, x^d\}$ ($d+1$ elem)

Key fact: All basis of a vector space \mathbb{V} have the same number of elements. We define this number as the dimension of \mathbb{V} .

⚠ $[0,1]$ has no basis.

ALGORITHM for computing basis from spanning sets (same as in \mathbb{R}^n)

INPUT: $S = \{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_r\}$ a spanning set for \mathbb{V}

OUTPUT: A subset B of S that is a basis for \mathbb{V} .

Step 1: Pick S and ask if S is LI/LD.

• If S is LI, output S

• Otherwise, use a nontrivial relation to write one \vec{v}_i as a lin. comb of the remaining vectors.

$S_{\text{new}} = S \setminus \{\vec{v}_i\}$ = "remove \vec{v}_i from S "

Step 2: Repeat Step 1 with S_{new} , etc.

Example. $S = \{1, (x+1)^2, (x-1)^2, x\}$ spans $\mathcal{P}_2 = \text{Sp}(1, x, x^2)$ because

1 in $\text{Sp}(S)$, x in $\text{Sp}(S)$, $x^2 = (x-1)^2 - 2x - 1$ in $\text{Sp}(S)$.

• S is LD Unique relation: $0 = (x+1)^2 - (x-1)^2 - 4x$

Opt 1: $S_{\text{new}} = \{1, (x+1)^2, x\}$, Opt 2 $S_{\text{new}} = \{1, (x-1)^2, x\}$, Opt 3 $S_{\text{new}} = \{1, (x-1)^2, (x+1)^2\}$

Coordinate Systems from bases

Example ① \mathbb{R}^n has coordinate system induced by $B = \{\vec{e}_1, \dots, \vec{e}_n\}$

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix} = x_1 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}_{\vec{e}_1} + x_2 \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}_{\vec{e}_2} + \dots + x_n \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_{\vec{e}_n}$$

coordinates from B

$\rightsquigarrow \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix}_B = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix} \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n$ ("our usual coordinate system for \mathbb{R}^n comes from the standard basis B ")

Example ② $\text{Mat}_{m \times n}$ has coord. system induced by $B = \{E_{ij} \mid \begin{cases} i=1, \dots, m \\ j=1, \dots, n \end{cases}\}$

$$A = (a_{ij}) = a_{11} E_{11} + \dots + a_{1n} E_{1n} + a_{21} E_{21} + \dots + a_{mn} E_{mn}.$$

Ex $m=2$ $n=3$

$$\left[\begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \end{bmatrix} \right]_B = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} \\ a_{12} \\ a_{13} \\ a_{21} \\ a_{22} \\ a_{23} \end{bmatrix} \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^6$$

$\text{Mat}_{2 \times 3} \longleftrightarrow \mathbb{R}^6$

$E_{ij} \longleftrightarrow e_k$
 $k = i(n-1) + j$

Example ③ \mathbb{P}_d has word system induced by the basis of monomials

$$[a_0 + a_1 x + a_2 x^2 + \dots + a_d x^d]_B = \begin{bmatrix} a_0 \\ a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_d \end{bmatrix} \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^{d+1} \quad B = \{1, x, x^2, \dots, x^d\}$$

$$\mathbb{P}_d \longleftrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$$

$$x^i \mapsto e_{i+1}$$

Summary: If $B = \{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_p\}$ is a basis for \mathbb{R}^n then we can identify \mathbb{V} with \mathbb{R}^p , using the "coordinate system" induced by B .

Theorem!: Given a vector space \mathbb{V} with basis $B = \{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_p\}$, each vector \vec{v} in \mathbb{V} can be uniquely represented as a linear comb of $\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_p\}$; meaning $\vec{v} = \alpha_1 \vec{v}_1 + \dots + \alpha_p \vec{v}_p$ and $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p$ are unique.

We call $[\vec{v}]_B := \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_p \end{bmatrix}$ in \mathbb{R}^p the coordinates of \vec{v} with respect to B

Why? • $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p$ exist because B spans

• Uniqueness : If 2 solutions $\alpha_1 \vec{v}_1 + \dots + \alpha_p \vec{v}_p = \vec{v} = \beta_1 \vec{v}_1 + \dots + \beta_p \vec{v}_p$. Then $(\alpha_1 - \beta_1) \vec{v}_1 + \dots + (\alpha_p - \beta_p) \vec{v}_p$ & B li forces $\alpha_1 = \beta_1, \dots, \alpha_p = \beta_p$.

Consequence: We can identify \mathbb{W} with \mathbb{R}^P via $\vec{v} \longleftrightarrow [\vec{v}]_{\beta}$

Moreover, we have a map $\Psi: \mathbb{W} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^P$ that satisfies
 $\vec{v} \longmapsto [\vec{v}]_{\beta}$

- (1) Ψ is a bijection (1-to-1 map, $\Psi^{-1}([\vec{v}]_{\beta}) = q_1\vec{v}_1 + \dots + q_p\vec{v}_p$)
- (2) Ψ respects the linear structure in both \mathbb{W} & \mathbb{R}^P
(both are vector spaces)

- $\boxed{\Psi(\vec{v} + \vec{w}) = \Psi(\vec{v}) + \Psi(\vec{w})}$

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{v} &= \alpha_1 \vec{v}_1 + \dots + \alpha_p \vec{v}_p \\ + \vec{w} &= \beta_1 \vec{v}_1 + \dots + \beta_p \vec{v}_p \end{aligned} \quad \& \quad \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_p \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \vdots \\ \beta_p \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 + \beta_1 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_p + \beta_p \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\vec{v} + \vec{w} = (\alpha_1 + \beta_1) \vec{v}_1 + \dots + (\alpha_p + \beta_p) \vec{v}_p$$

- $\boxed{\Psi(a\vec{v}) = a\Psi(\vec{v})}$

$$a\vec{v} = a(\alpha_1 \vec{v}_1 + \dots + \alpha_p \vec{v}_p) = (a\alpha_1) \vec{v}_1 + \dots + (a\alpha_p) \vec{v}_p \quad \& \quad a \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_p \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a\alpha_1 \\ \vdots \\ a\alpha_p \end{bmatrix}$$

Examples

① $\begin{bmatrix} (a & 0 & b) \\ 0 & c & 0 \end{bmatrix}_{\{E_{11}, E_{12}, E_{13}, E_{21}, E_{22}, E_{23}\}} = \begin{bmatrix} a \\ 0 \\ b \\ 0 \\ c \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$

$$\begin{bmatrix} (a & 0 & b) \\ 0 & c & 0 \end{bmatrix}_{\{\bar{E}_{11}, \bar{E}_{13}, \bar{E}_{12}, \bar{E}_{21}, \bar{E}_{13}, \bar{E}_{23}\}} = \begin{bmatrix} a \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c \\ 0 \\ b \end{bmatrix}$$

so order of elements
in the basis matters!

② $W = Sp(\bar{E}_{11}, \bar{E}_{13}, \bar{E}_{22}) \longleftrightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 & b \\ 0 & c & 0 \end{bmatrix} \longleftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{bmatrix} = [A]_{\{\bar{E}_{11}, \bar{E}_{13}, \bar{E}_{22}\}}$$

$$= a\bar{E}_{11} + b\bar{E}_{13} + c\bar{E}_{22}$$

③ $Q_2 = \{a + bx + cx^2\}$

$$\beta_2 \longleftrightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$$

$$[a + bx + cx^2]_{\{1, x, x^2\}} = \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{bmatrix}$$

④ $W = S_2$ subspace of Q_3 $[a + bx + cx^2]_{\{1, x, x^2, x^3\}} = \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ $W \leftrightarrow \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^4 : x_4 = 0 \right\}$

Lemma: Identification of coordinates behaves well with respect to addition & scalar multiplication.

$$\textcircled{1} \quad [\vec{\emptyset}]_B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^P. \quad \text{if } B = \{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_P\}$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad [\vec{v} + \vec{w}]_B = [\vec{v}]_B + [\vec{w}]_B \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^P$$

$$\textcircled{3} \quad [\alpha \vec{v}]_B = \alpha [\vec{v}]_B$$

Q: What else can we do with coordinates? A Decide li, spanning, basis!

Theorem 2: Fix \mathbb{V} a vector space with basis $B = \{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_P\}$. Consider a set $S = \{\vec{w}_1, \dots, \vec{w}_r\}$ of vectors in \mathbb{V} . & write $T = \{[\vec{w}_1]_B, \dots, [\vec{w}_r]_B\}$ for the vectors in \mathbb{R}^P .

Then $\textcircled{1}$ A vector \vec{v} is in $\text{Sp}(\vec{w}_1, \dots, \vec{w}_r)$ if, and only if, $[\vec{v}]_B$ is in $\text{Sp}([\vec{w}_1]_B, \dots, [\vec{w}_r]_B)$.

$\textcircled{2}$ The set S is li if, and only if, T is li in \mathbb{R}^P .

Why? (1) $\vec{v} = \alpha_1 \vec{w}_1 + \dots + \alpha_r \vec{w}_r$ & take $[\cdot]_B$. By Lemma we get

$$[\vec{v}]_B = \alpha_1 [\vec{w}_1]_B + \dots + \alpha_r [\vec{w}_r]_B. \quad \text{We can reverse the process!}$$

(2) Take $\vec{v} = \vec{\emptyset}$ & use (1).

Consequence 1: All bases for \mathbb{W} have the same number of elements.

(because this is true for \mathbb{R}^p) Call this number = dimension of \mathbb{W} .

Why? Take B & B' two bases for \mathbb{W} with $p = |B| \leq |B'| = p'$

Use $[]_{B'}$. & $S = B = \{ \vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_p \}$ spans \mathbb{W} , so

$\{ [\vec{v}_1]_{B'}, \dots, [\vec{v}_p]_{B'} \}$ spans $\mathbb{R}^{p'}$ Then: $p \geq p'$ & so $\boxed{p=p'}$
(p vectors) (because $p' \geq p$ & $p \geq p'$)

Consequence 2: Fix \mathbb{W} a vector space of dimension p . Then

- ① A set of $p+1$ or more vectors in \mathbb{W} is linearly dependent.
- ② Any set of $p-1$ or fewer _____ cannot span \mathbb{W} .
- ③ _____ p linearly indep vectors in \mathbb{W} is a bases for \mathbb{W} .
- ④ _____ p vectors in \mathbb{W} that spans \mathbb{W} _____.

Why? This is true for \mathbb{R}^p (see Lecture 17)

Example: $S = \{ 1, \overrightarrow{v}_1, \overrightarrow{v}_2, \overrightarrow{v}_3, \overrightarrow{v}_4 \} \subset \mathbb{P}_2$ $\dim \mathbb{P}_2 = 3$

$$B = \{ 1, x, x^2 \}$$

$$[1]_B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad [(x+1)^2]_B = [x^2 + 2x + 1]_B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$[(x-1)^2]_B = [x^2 - 2x + 1]_B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad [x]_B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

• S is l.d because $T = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$ is l.d in \mathbb{R}^3 .

• Find relations in S using relations for T in \mathbb{R}^3 :

$$\begin{array}{c} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & -2 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow[R_2 \leftrightarrow R_3]{ } \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & -2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow[R_3 \rightarrow R_3 - 2R_2]{ } \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -4 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow[R_3 \rightarrow R_3 - \frac{1}{4}]{ } \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -\frac{1}{4} \end{bmatrix} \\ \xrightarrow[R_2 \rightarrow R_2 - R_3]{ } \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & \frac{1}{4} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \frac{1}{4} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -\frac{1}{4} \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow[R_1 \rightarrow R_1 - R_2]{ } \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \frac{1}{4} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -\frac{1}{4} \end{bmatrix} \end{array}$$

REF

x_1, x_2, x_3 dep
 x_4 indep

$\begin{cases} x_1 = 0 \\ x_2 = -\frac{1}{4}x_4 \\ x_3 = \frac{1}{4}x_4 \end{cases}$

All relations come from $-[\overrightarrow{v}_2]_B + [\overrightarrow{v}_3]_B + 4[\overrightarrow{v}_4]_B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ ($x_4 = 4$)

So $-\overrightarrow{v}_2 + \overrightarrow{v}_3 + 4\overrightarrow{v}_4 = \vec{0}$ "generates all relations in S !"

$$-\vec{v}_2 + \vec{v}_3 + 4\vec{v}_4 = \vec{0}$$

& $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \sim \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

$(\vec{v}_1)_B \quad (\vec{v}_2)_B \quad (\vec{v}_3)_B \quad (\vec{v}_4)_B$

REF

• $\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$ is ld & any pair of these vectors is li.

Same is true for $\{\vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_3, \vec{v}_4\}$

• $\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$ is li in \mathbb{R}^3 , so it's a basis for \mathbb{R}^3 . Therefore

$\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_3\}$ is a basis for $V = S_2$.

In particular x^2 in $\text{Sp}(\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_3)$.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\text{in } \text{Sp}\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}\right)}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & -2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & -2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} = (-2) \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + 1 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + 1 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$\rightsquigarrow x^2 = (-2)1 + 1(x+1)^2 + 1(x-1)^2$.

same scalars!