

Lecture 26: § 5.8 Operations with linear transformations

Last time: Defined linear transformations $T: \mathbb{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{W}$ \mathbb{W}, \mathbb{W} v.s.p.

- Defined $N(T), R(T)$ & saw Rank-Nullity Theorem:

$$\dim \mathbb{W} = \dim N(T) + \dim R(T).$$

TODAY's GOAL: Describe operations between linear transformations

- Correspondence to keep in mind:

$$T: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m \text{ linear} \iff A \text{ of size } m \times n.$$

- Summary:

① $\text{Mat}_{m \times n}$, $\{ T: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m \text{ linear} \}, \{ T: \mathbb{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{W} \text{ linear} \}$

are ALL vector spaces (we have addition & scalar multiplication satisfying the 10 nice properties from Lecture 21)

② We have composition / multiplication for some pairs of functions / matrices.

Operations	Matrices	$T: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ linear	$T: \mathbb{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{W}$ linear
(I) ADDITION	$A + C$ matrix $(A+C)_{ij} = A_{ij} + C_{ij}$	$F+G: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ linear $(F+G)_{(\vec{v})} =$	$F+G: \mathbb{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{W}$ linear $(F+G)_{(\vec{v})} =$
(II) SCALAR MULTIPLICATION	$\alpha \cdot A$ matrix $(\alpha A)_{ij} = \alpha A_{ij}$	$\alpha T: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ linear $(\alpha T)_{(\vec{v})} =$	$\alpha T: \mathbb{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{W}$ linear $(\alpha T)_{(\vec{v})} =$
(III) MULTIPLICATION vs. COMPOSITION	A in $\text{Mat}_{m \times n}$ C in $\text{Mat}_{s \times m}$ Then CA in $\text{Mat}_{s \times n}$ $(CA)_{ij} = C_{i1}A_{1j} + \dots + C_{is}A_{sj}$	$F: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ linear $G: \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^s$ linear Then $G \circ F: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^s$ linear $(G \circ F)_{(\vec{v})} =$	$F: \mathbb{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{W}$ linear $G: \mathbb{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{U}$ linear Then $G \circ F: \mathbb{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{U}$ linear $(G \circ F)_{(\vec{v})} =$

Examples

① $T_1: \mathbb{P}_2^{\text{dim } 3} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $P \mapsto P_{(1)}$

$$T_2: \mathbb{P}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$
$$P \mapsto P'_{(2)}$$

both are linear transf
(last time)

New function: $T_1 + T_2 : \mathbb{P}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is also linear.
(Addition)

$$T_1: \mathbb{P}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R} , \quad T_2: \mathbb{P}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

$P \longmapsto P_{(1)}$ $P \longmapsto P_{(2)}$

$$\begin{aligned} T_1(a+bx+cx^2) &= a+b+c \\ T_2(a+bx+cx^2) &= b+4c \end{aligned}$$

New function
(Scalar mult)

$$3T_1: \mathbb{P}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

Observation: $\mathcal{N}(T) = \mathcal{N}(\alpha T)$ whenever $\alpha \neq 0$

$(T: \mathbb{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{W} \text{ linear})$ $\mathcal{R}(T) = \mathcal{R}(\alpha T)$

If $\alpha = 0$ $0 \cdot T = \text{constant zero function } \mathbb{W} \xrightarrow{\vec{v}} \mathbb{W} \text{ so } \mathcal{N}(0 \cdot T) = \mathbb{W}$
 $\mathcal{R}(0 \cdot T) = \{ \vec{0}_{\mathbb{W}} \}$

Observation 2: In general, we should not expect any relation between

- $\mathcal{W}(T_1), \mathcal{W}(T_2) \text{ & } \mathcal{W}(T_1 + T_2)$
- $\mathcal{R}(T_1), \mathcal{R}(T_2) \text{ & } \mathcal{R}(T_1 + T_2)$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad T_1: \text{Mat}_{2 \times 3} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}_3 \quad \text{linear} \quad , \quad T_2: \mathbb{P}_3 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^2 \quad \text{linear}$$

$$A \longmapsto a_{11}x^3 + (a_{12}-a_{13})x^2 + a_{23}$$

$$atbx+cx^2+dx^3 \longmapsto \begin{bmatrix} d-c \\ d-b+a \end{bmatrix}$$

New function
(Composition)

$$T_2 \circ T_1: \text{Mat}_{2 \times 3} \xrightarrow{T_1} \mathbb{P}_3 \xrightarrow{T_2} \mathbb{R}^2$$

$$T_2 \circ T_1$$

Q1: Are $\mathcal{N}(T_1)$ & $\mathcal{N}(T_2 \circ T_1)$ related? Both are subspaces of $\text{Mat}_{2 \times 3}$.

A

Observation 3: This is true in general!

If $T_1: \mathbb{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$ & $T_2: \mathbb{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{U}$ are linear, then any $\vec{v} \in \mathcal{N}(T_1)$ is automatically in $\mathcal{N}(T_2 \circ T_1)$. In symbols $\mathcal{N}(T_1) \subseteq \mathcal{N}(T_2 \circ T_1)$

$$T_1: \text{Mat}_{2 \times 3} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_3 \quad \text{linear}$$

$A \longmapsto a_{11}x^3 + (a_{12}-a_{13})x^2 + a_{23}$

$$T_2: \mathbb{P}_3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2 \quad \text{linear}$$

$atbx+cx^2+dx^3 \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} d-c \\ d-b+a \end{bmatrix}$

New function $T_2 \circ T_1: \text{Mat}_{2 \times 3} \xrightarrow{T_1} \mathbb{P}_3 \xrightarrow{T_2} \mathbb{R}^2$

(Composition)

$A \longmapsto T_2 \circ T_1$

$\xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}(x)}$

$a_{11}x^3 + (a_{12}-a_{13})x^2 + a_{23}$

$d \quad c \quad a \quad (b=0)$

linear
in
 (a_{ij})

Q1: Are $R(T_2)$ & $R(T_2 \circ T_1)$ related? Both are subspaces of \mathbb{R}^2

A

Observation 3: This is true in general!

If $T_1: \mathbb{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{V}$ & $T_2: \mathbb{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{U}$ are linear, then any $\vec{u} \in R(T_2 \circ T_1)$ is automatically in $R(T_2)$ In symbols $R(T_2 \circ T_1) \subseteq R(T_2)$

$$T_1: \text{Mat}_{2 \times 3} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_3 \quad \text{linear}$$

$$A \longmapsto a_{11}x^3 + (a_{12}-a_{13})x^2 + a_{23}$$

$$T_2: \mathbb{P}_3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2 \quad \text{linear}$$

$$a+bx+cx^2+dx^3 \longmapsto \begin{bmatrix} d-c \\ d-b+a \end{bmatrix}$$

$$T = T_2 \circ T_1: \text{Mat}_{2 \times 3} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2 \quad T(A) = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11}-a_{12}+a_{13} \\ a_{11}+a_{23} \end{bmatrix}$$

Let's check our claims

- (1) $\mathcal{N}(T_1) \subseteq \mathcal{N}(T_2 \circ T_1)$
- (2) $R(T_2 \circ T_1) \subseteq R(T_2)$

Surjective / Onto Linear Transformations

(use dim to check!)

Def.: A linear transf: $T: V \rightarrow W$ is onto or surjective if $R(T) = W$

Example ① $T_2: P_3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ $T_2(a+bx+cx^2+dx^3) = \begin{bmatrix} b-c \\ d-b+a \end{bmatrix}$ is onto
since $R(T_2) = \mathbb{R}^2$. (last slide)

Example ② $T: P_3 \rightarrow P_2$ $T(P_{(x)}) = P'_{(x)}$

Example ③ : $T: P_3 \rightarrow P_3$ $T(P_{(x)}) = P'_{(x)}$

Invertible Transformations

Def: A linear transf $T: \mathbb{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{W}$ is invertible if we can find $S: \mathbb{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{W}$ linear transf with (1) $T \circ S: \mathbb{W} \xrightarrow{\omega} \mathbb{W} \xrightarrow{\bar{\omega}} \mathbb{W} = id_{\mathbb{W}}$

Alt name: Isomorphism.

$$(2) S \circ T: \mathbb{W} \xrightarrow{v} \mathbb{W} \xrightarrow{\bar{v}} \mathbb{W} = id_{\mathbb{W}}$$

Prop: If T is invertible, S is unique. Call it T^{-1} .

Special case $T: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ $T(\vec{x}) = A\vec{x}$

T is invertible if and only if $m=n$ & A is invertible

($S: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ with matrix A^{-1} ($S(\vec{y}) = A^{-1}\vec{y}$)).

Main example: Fix \mathbb{W} with basis $B = \{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_p\}$

$$T: \mathbb{W} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^p \text{ is invertible with } T^{-1}: \mathbb{R}^p \longrightarrow \mathbb{W}$$
$$\vec{v} \longmapsto [\vec{v}]_B \quad \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_p \end{bmatrix} \mapsto$$

Both linear & (1) $T \circ T^{-1} = \text{id}_{\mathbb{R}^p}: \mathbb{R}^p \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^p$ (2) $T^{-1} \circ T = \text{id}_{\mathbb{W}}: \mathbb{W} \longrightarrow \mathbb{W}$

Nm-example ① $T: \mathbb{P}_2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ linear but not invertible

$$P \longmapsto P(1)$$

Nm-example ② $T: \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^2 \quad x = \begin{bmatrix} 2x \\ x \end{bmatrix}$ linear but not invertible

Main Theorem: $T: \mathbb{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{W}$ linear transf is invertible
if and only if $N(T) = \{0\}$ AND $R(T) = \mathbb{W}$

Observe: By the Rank-Nullity: $\dim \mathbb{W} = \text{nullity}(T) + \text{rank } T = \dim \mathbb{W}$

Why? • Define $S: \mathbb{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{V}$

$$\vec{w} \mapsto \vec{v}$$