

Lecture 30 §6.4 Product Rule & Cramer's Rule

Recall: Row operations change determinants in specific ways. $A \longrightarrow B$

Operation	$\det(B)$	Net Effect
(I) $R_i \leftrightarrow R_j$ $i \neq j$ (exchange 2 rows)	$-\det(A)$	sign switch
(II) $R_i \rightarrow \alpha R_i$ $\alpha \neq 0$ scalar (multiply a row by a <u>nonzero</u> scalar)	$\alpha \det(A)$	multiply by scalar α
(III) $R_i \rightarrow R_i + \beta R_j$ $i \neq j$ (Add to a row a scalar multiple of a <u>different</u> row)	$\det(A)$	no change

Assuming we know $\det(A^T) = \det(A)$, we can derive similar rules for analogous column operations in $A \leftrightarrow$ row operations on A^T .

TODAY : (1) $\det(AB) = \det A \det B$ (Product Rule)
 (2) Cramer's Rule for solving $Ax=b$ with A invertible via determinants

Product Rule

Theorem 1: A, B of size $n \times n$, then $\det(AB) = \det(A) \cdot \det(B)$.

Example: $A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$ $\det A = 2 \cdot 3 - 2 = 4 \neq 0$

$B = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 3 \\ 1 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$ $\det B = (-1)(-2) - 3 = -1 \neq 0$

} $\det A \det B = -4$

$$AB = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 3 \\ 1 & -2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -2+2 & 2 \cdot 3 - 4 \\ -1+3 & 3-6 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 2 & -4 \end{bmatrix} \rightsquigarrow \det(AB) = -4$$

• We saw a proof for general 2×2 matrices in Lecture 28.

Consequence: If A invertible then $AA^{-1} = I_n$, so $\det(A) \det(A^{-1}) = 1$.

Then $\det A \neq 0$ & $\det(A^{-1}) = \frac{1}{\det A}$. However, if $\det(A) = 0$, then A is singular.
(we know this already)

Q: Why is the product formula valid in general?

• Special case: AB singular matrix. Then: A or B are singular (Lecture 9)

Conclude $\det(AB) = 0 = \det(A) \det(B)$. ($\det(0) = 0$ for the singular one).

Lemma: If A is singular of size $n \times n$, & B is any matrix of size $n \times n$, then AB is also singular.

Q: Why is this true? Use C singular if and only if, C^T is singular
(Reason: If C invertible, then $(C^{-1})^T = (C^T)^{-1}$ so C^T is also invertible)

• Since A is singular, so is A^T . Now $(AB)^T = B^T A^T$

So $B^T A^T$ is singular (Pick $\vec{x} \neq \vec{0}$ in \mathbb{R}^n with $A^T \vec{x} = \vec{0}$. Then $(B^T A^T) \vec{x} = B^T (A^T \vec{x}) = B^T \vec{0} = \vec{0}$)

• Then $AB = (B^T A^T)^T$ is singular

Proof of the Product Rule:

① If A is singular, then AB is also singular, so $\det(AB) = 0 = \overset{=0}{\det(A)} \det(B)$.

② If A is not singular, then $A \sim I_n$ with a sequence of row operations.
 $\Rightarrow \beta \det(A) = \det I_n = 1$ for some $\beta \neq 0$ (coming from row rule rules)

(*) But The same row operations give $AB \sim B$ so $\beta \det(AB) = \det(B)$
since $\beta = \frac{1}{\det A}$, we get $\det(AB) = \det(B) / \beta = \det A \det B$.

Let's check our claim (*) in an example.

Example $A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$ & $B = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 3 \\ 1 & -2 \end{bmatrix} \implies AB = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 2 & -3 \end{bmatrix}$

We write the operations needed to turn A into I_2 & check the same sequence of elementary row operations turns AB into B .

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow[\text{det } A]{\text{(I)} \atop R_2 \leftrightarrow R_1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 2 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow[-\text{det } A]{\text{(III)} \atop R_2 \rightarrow R_2 - 2R_1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 0 & -4 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow[-\text{det } A]{\text{(II)} \atop R_2 \rightarrow \frac{R_2}{-4}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow[\frac{1}{4} \text{ det } A]{\text{(III)} \atop R_1 \rightarrow R_1 - 3R_2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = I_2$$

$$AB = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 2 & -3 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow[\text{det } AB]{\text{(I)} \atop R_2 \leftrightarrow R_1} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -3 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow[-\text{det } AB]{\text{(III)} \atop R_2 \rightarrow R_2 - 2R_1} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -3 \\ -4 & 8 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow[-\text{det } (AB)]{\text{(II)} \atop R_2 \rightarrow \frac{R_2}{-4}} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -3 \\ 1 & -2 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow[\frac{1}{4} \text{ det } AB]{\text{(III)} \atop R_1 \rightarrow R_1 - 3R_2} \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 3 \\ 1 & -2 \end{bmatrix} = B$$

• In general: Need to see that $A \xrightarrow[\text{op}]{} A'$ gives $AB \xrightarrow[\text{same op.}]{} A'B$

for any of the 3 elementary row operations.

After this fact, we concatenate all operations and get $A' = I_n$ so $A'B = B$.

Cramer's Rule

GOAL Given a nonsingular $n \times n$ matrix A , we want to use determinants to find the unique solution to $A\underline{x} = \underline{b}$ for a fixed \underline{b} in \mathbb{R}^n .

Theorem 2 (Cramer's Rule) Write $A = [A_1 \dots A_n]$ & $\underline{b} = \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ \vdots \\ b_n \end{bmatrix}$
(nonsingular)

For each $i=1, 2, \dots, n$ we build a new matrix

$$B_i = [A_1 \dots A_{i-1} \quad \underline{b} \quad A_{i+1} \dots A_n] \quad (\text{replace } i^{\text{th}} \text{ col of } A \text{ with } \underline{b})$$

Then, the unique solution to $A \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ \vdots \\ b_n \end{bmatrix}$ satisfies:

$$x_1 = \frac{\det(B_1)}{\det(A)}, \quad x_2 = \frac{\det(B_2)}{\det(A)}, \quad \dots, \quad x_n = \frac{\det(B_n)}{\det(A)}.$$

Example: ① Solve $\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$

$$B_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \rightsquigarrow \det(B_1) = 3 - 4 = -1$$

$$B_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \rightsquigarrow \det(B_2) = 4 - 1 = 3$$

$$\det A = 6 - 2 = 4 \neq 0$$

$$x_1 = \frac{-1}{4}, \quad x_2 = \frac{3}{4}$$

Check: $\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{4} \\ \frac{3}{4} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{2}{4} + \frac{6}{4} \\ -\frac{1}{4} + \frac{9}{4} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \checkmark$

Cramer's Rule

GOAL Given a nonsingular $n \times n$ matrix A , we want to use determinants to find the unique solution to $A\underline{x} = \underline{b}$ for a fixed \underline{b} in \mathbb{R}^n .

Theorem 2 (Cramer's Rule) Write $A = [A_1 \dots A_n]$ & $\underline{b} = \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ \vdots \\ b_n \end{bmatrix}$
(nonsingular)

For each $i=1, 2, \dots, n$ we build a new matrix

$$B_i = [A_1 \dots A_{i-1} \quad \underline{b} \quad A_{i+1} \dots A_n] \quad (\text{replace } i^{\text{th}} \text{ col of } A \text{ with } \underline{b})$$

Then, the unique solution to $A \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ \vdots \\ b_n \end{bmatrix}$ satisfies:

$$x_1 = \frac{\det(B_1)}{\det(A)}, \quad x_2 = \frac{\det(B_2)}{\det(A)}, \quad \dots, \quad x_n = \frac{\det(B_n)}{\det(A)}.$$

Example: (2) Solve $\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$

$$B_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 2 \\ 4 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \rightsquigarrow \det(B_1) = 9 - 8 = 1$$

$$B_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \rightsquigarrow \det(B_2) = 8 - 3 = 5$$

$$\det A = 6 - 2 = 4 \neq 0$$

$$x_1 = \frac{1}{4}, \quad x_2 = \frac{5}{4}$$

Check: $\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{4} \\ \frac{5}{4} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{2}{4} + \frac{10}{4} \\ \frac{1}{4} + \frac{15}{4} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix} \checkmark$

Proof of Cramer's Rule

$A = [A_1 \dots A_n]$ nonsingular
 $A \underline{x} = \underline{b}$

• We check $x_1 = \frac{\det([b \ A_2 \ \dots \ A_n])}{\det(A)}$. Others are similar.

• $A \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix} = x_1 \text{col}_1 A + x_2 \text{col}_2 A + \dots + x_n \text{col}_n A = x_1 A_1 + \dots + x_n A_n.$

$\underline{b} = x_1 A_1 + \dots + x_n A_n.$

— scalars! —

So $\underline{b}^T = (x_1 A_1 + \dots + x_n A_n)^T = x_1 A_1^T + \dots + x_n A_n^T$ 1x n matrix

$\Rightarrow [b_1 \ \dots \ b_n] = [x_1 \ \dots \ x_n] \begin{bmatrix} A_1^T \\ \vdots \\ A_n^T \end{bmatrix} = [x_1 \ \dots \ x_n] A^T.$

• Next, we build a new matrix C from A^T , by operation (II) $R_1 \rightarrow x_1 R_1$,

$A^T \xrightarrow{R_1 \rightarrow x_1 R_1} C = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 A_1^T \\ A_2^T \\ \vdots \\ A_n^T \end{bmatrix}$

$\Rightarrow \det C = x_1 \det(A^T) = x_1 \det(A).$

But $x_1 A_1^T = \underline{b}^T - x_2 A_2^T - \dots - x_n A_n^T$

So far:
$$\begin{cases} x_1 A_1^T = \underline{b}^T - x_2 A_2^T - \dots - x_n A_n^T \\ \det \begin{bmatrix} x_1 A_1^T \\ A_2^T \\ \vdots \\ A_n^T \end{bmatrix} = x_1 \det(A) \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_1 A_1^T \\ A_2^T \\ \vdots \\ A_n^T \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \underline{b}^T - x_2 A_2^T - \dots - x_n A_n^T \\ A_2^T \\ \vdots \\ A_n^T \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow[\text{R}_1 \rightarrow \text{R}_1 - x_n R_n]{\text{(III)}} \begin{bmatrix} \underline{b}^T - x_2 A_2^T - \dots - x_{n-1} A_{n-1}^T \\ A_2^T \\ \vdots \\ A_{n-1}^T \\ A_n^T \end{bmatrix}$$

$x_1 \det(A)$ $x_1 \det(A)$

$$\xrightarrow[\text{R}_1 \rightarrow \text{R}_1 - x_{n-1} R_{n-1}]{\text{(III)}} \begin{bmatrix} \underline{b}^T - x_2 A_2^T - \dots - x_{n-2} A_{n-2}^T \\ A_2^T \\ \vdots \\ A_n^T \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\text{(III)}} \dots \xrightarrow[\text{R}_1 \rightarrow \text{R}_1 - x_2 R_2]{\text{(III)}} \begin{bmatrix} \underline{b}^T \\ A_2^T \\ \vdots \\ A_n^T \end{bmatrix} = B_1^T$$

$x_1 \det(A)$ $x_1 \det(A)$

So $\det B_1 = \det B_1^T = x_1 \det(A)$ gives
$$x_1 = \frac{\det B_1}{\det A} \quad \cup$$