

Theorem 2: $P_A(\lambda) = P_{A^T}(\lambda)$ as polynomials in λ .

In particular, A & A^T have the same eigenvalues, but typically different eigenspaces

Why? Know $\det(C) = \det(C^T)$ for any $n \times n$ matrix

$$\text{Take } C = A - \lambda I_n \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad C^T = (A - \lambda I_n)^T = A^T - \lambda I_n^T = A^T - \lambda I_n$$

$$\text{So } P_{A^T}(\lambda) = \det(A^T - \lambda I_n) = \det(A - \lambda I_n) = P_A(\lambda).$$

EXAMPLE: $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -2 \\ 1 & 3 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ $A^T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 3 & 3 \\ -2 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

$$\begin{aligned} P_{A^T}(\lambda) &= \det \begin{pmatrix} 1-\lambda & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 3-\lambda & 3 \\ -2 & 1 & 1-\lambda \end{pmatrix} = (1-\lambda) \det \begin{pmatrix} 3-\lambda & 3 \\ 1 & 1-\lambda \end{pmatrix} - 1 \det \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 3 \\ -2 & 1-\lambda \end{pmatrix} + \det \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 3-\lambda \\ -2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= (1-\lambda) ((1-\lambda)(3-\lambda) - 3) - 6 + 2(3-\lambda) = (1-\lambda) (\lambda^2 - 4\lambda) - 2\lambda \\ &= \lambda ((1-\lambda)(\lambda-4) - 2) = -\lambda (\lambda-2)(\lambda-3) = P_A(\lambda) \end{aligned}$$

$$E_0(A) = \text{Sp} \left(\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

$$E_2(A) = \text{Sp} \left(\begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

$$E_3(A) = \text{Sp} \left(\begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

vs

$$E_0(A^T) = \text{Sp} \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

$$E_2(A^T) = \text{Sp} \left(\begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

$$E_3(A^T) = \text{Sp} \left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

Very different eigenspaces!

Eigenvectors

Recall: $\vec{v} \neq \vec{0}$ is an eigenvector if $A\vec{v} = \lambda\vec{v}$ for some λ (eigenvalue)

$$E_\lambda(A) = \text{Span}(\{\vec{v} \text{ with } A\vec{v} = \lambda\vec{v}\}) = \mathcal{N}(A - \lambda I_n).$$

↑ This is how we compute E_λ .

EXAMPLE ① $A = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$

• Step 1: Compute eigenvalues:

$$P_A(\lambda) = \det \begin{pmatrix} -1-\lambda & 1 \\ 0 & -1-\lambda \end{pmatrix} = (-1-\lambda)^2 = (\lambda+1)^2 \implies \lambda = -1 \text{ (double root)}.$$

• Step 2: For each eigenvalue λ , compute $E_\lambda(A)$

$$E_{-1}(A) = \mathcal{N}(A - (-1)I_n) = \mathcal{N} \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) = \text{Sp}(e_1).$$

REF $\kappa_2 = 0$.

• So $\dim E_{-1}(A) = 1 < 2 = \text{mult of } (-1) \text{ as a root of } P_A$.

↑ in general we have \leq here.

• \mathbb{R}^2 does not have a basis of eigenvectors of A .

EXAMPLE ② $A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$

$$P_A(\lambda) = \det(A - \lambda I_3) = \det \left(\left[\begin{array}{c|cc} 2-\lambda & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & -1-\lambda & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1-\lambda \end{array} \right] \right) = (2-\lambda)^1 (-1-\lambda)^2$$

"block decomposition"

\leadsto 2 eigenvalues: $\lambda = 2$, $\lambda = -1$
multiplicity = 1, 2

• $E_{-1}(A) = \mathcal{W}(A - (-1)I_3) = \mathcal{W} \left(\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) = \mathcal{W} \left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) = \text{Sp}(e_2)$
 $R \leftarrow F$ $x_1 = x_3 = 0$

• $E_2(A) = \mathcal{W}(A - 2I_3) = \mathcal{W} \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -3 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -3 \end{bmatrix} \right) = \text{Sp}(e_1)$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -3 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -3 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow[\substack{R_3 \rightarrow R_3/3 \\ R_2 \rightarrow R_2/3}]{} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1/3 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow[\substack{R_2 \rightarrow R_2 + 1/3 R_3}]{} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad x_2 = x_3 = 0$$

$R \leftarrow F$

Summary:

- 2 eigenvalues $\text{mult}(-1, P_A) = 2$, $\text{mult}(2, P_A) = 1$
- $\dim E_{-1} = 1$, $\dim E_2 = 1$
- $\dim E_{-1} < \text{mult}(-1, P_A)$ & $\dim E_2 = \text{mult}(2, P_A)$.
- \mathbb{R}^3 does not have a basis of eigenvectors of A .

EXAMPLE ③: $A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 8 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$

• $P_A(\lambda) = \det(A - \lambda I_n) = \det \begin{bmatrix} 2-\lambda & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1-\lambda & 8 \\ 0 & 0 & 4-\lambda \end{bmatrix} = (2-\lambda)(-1-\lambda)(4-\lambda)$
 \sim upper triangular.

We have 3 simple (=mult 1) roots:

3 eigenvalues: $\lambda = 2, -1, 4$.

• $E_{-1} = \mathcal{N}(A - (-1)I_3) = \mathcal{N}\left(\begin{bmatrix} 2+1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 8 \\ 0 & 0 & 4+1 \end{bmatrix}\right) = \text{Sp}(e_2)$ (Eqn 2: $8x_3 = 0$)
 (Eqn 1: $3x_1 = 0$)
 x_2 free

• $E_2 = \mathcal{N}(A - 2I_3) = \mathcal{N}\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -3 & 8 \\ 0 & 0 & 6 \end{bmatrix}\right) = \text{Sp}(e_1)$ (Eqn 3: $6x_3 = 0$)
 (Eqn 2: $-3x_2 = 0$)
 x_1 free

• $E_4 = \mathcal{N}(A - 4I_3) = \mathcal{N}\left(\begin{bmatrix} -2 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -5 & 8 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) = \mathcal{N}\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1/2 \\ 0 & 1 & -8/5 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) = \text{Sp}\left(\begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 16 \\ 10 \end{bmatrix}\right)$
 $x_1 = +\frac{1}{2}x_3, x_2 = \frac{8}{5}x_3$

$B = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 16 \\ 10 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$ is a basis for \mathbb{R}^3 consisting of 3 eigenvectors of A .

Summary: • A has 3 distinct eigenvalues, all of multiplicity 1.
 • $\dim E_{-1} = \dim E_2 = \dim E_4 = 1$
 • Basis for \mathbb{R}^3 of eigenvectors of A .

Linear independence for eigenvectors

Theorem: Fix A $n \times n$ matrix and a list of k distinct eigenvalues $\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k\}$. Pick one eigenvector $\vec{v}_j \neq \vec{0}$ for each λ_j . ($A\vec{v}_j = \lambda_j \vec{v}_j$)

Then: $S = \{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_k\}$ is l.i. in \mathbb{R}^n .

Why? • $k=1$ $\vec{v}_1 \neq \vec{0}$ so it's l.i.

• Assume $k > 1$. We argue by contradiction, assuming S is l.d.

After reordering the vectors we can find $2 \leq m \leq k$ with

$\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_{m-1}\}$ l.i. & $\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_m\}$ l.d.

Write: nontrivial relation, so $a_m \neq 0$

$$a_1 \vec{v}_1 + \dots + a_m \vec{v}_m = \vec{0} \quad (1)$$

$$A(a_1 \vec{v}_1 + \dots + a_m \vec{v}_m) = A\vec{0}$$

$$a_1 A\vec{v}_1 + \dots + a_m A\vec{v}_m = \vec{0}$$

$$a_1 \lambda_1 \vec{v}_1 + \dots + a_m \lambda_m \vec{v}_m = \vec{0} \quad (2)$$

Conclude: S is l.i.

$$(2) - \lambda_m (1):$$

$$a_1 (\lambda_1 - \lambda_m) \vec{v}_1 + \dots + a_{m-1} (\lambda_{m-1} - \lambda_m) \vec{v}_{m-1} = \vec{0}$$

$\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_{m-1}\}$ is l.i., so

$$a_1 (\lambda_1 - \lambda_m) = 0$$

\vdots

$$a_{m-1} (\lambda_{m-1} - \lambda_m) = 0$$

\hookrightarrow all $\neq 0$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} a_1 (\lambda_1 - \lambda_m) = 0 \\ \vdots \\ a_{m-1} (\lambda_{m-1} - \lambda_m) = 0 \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow a_1 = \dots = a_{m-1} = 0$$

Contradicts (1)

Defective Matrices

Fix A $n \times n$ matrix. We are interested in situations where:

$$(*) \quad \text{alg mult}(\lambda) = \text{geom mult}(\lambda) \quad \text{for all } \lambda \text{ eigenvalues of } A$$

Def: We say A is a defective matrix when $(*)$ fails. More precisely, A has a (real or complex) eigenvalue with $\text{alg mult}(\lambda) > \text{geom mult}(\lambda)$

Theorem: $\text{alg mult}(\lambda) \geq \text{geom mult}(\lambda)$ for any eigenvalue of A
(real or complex)
(HARD!)

Consequence: If all alg multiplicities are 1, then A is not defective. (Ex 3)

Q Why? A If so, \mathbb{R}^n will have a basis B consisting of eigenvectors of A

Then, $T: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ $T(\vec{v}) = A\vec{v}$ will have the following matrix

representative: $[T]_{\text{B.B.}} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \lambda_n \end{bmatrix}$ (eigenvalues along the diagonal)

Name for this process: "Diagonalizing the matrix A " (§ 4.7)
(both over real / complex numbers)

ALWAYS possible if alg mult are all 1