Lecture XVII: Applications of Sylow Theorems III

1

Sylar Therms: 6 kink yory, p 1161 prime. Thus,
(1) Sylar P-graps seriet
(2) Sylar P-graps seriet
(3)
$$u_{p}$$
=3 Sylar J-subgraphs of G u_{p} is und(p) & u_{p} [161.
(2) u_{p} =3 Sylar J-subgraphs of G u_{p} =1 und(p) & u_{p} [161.
(2) u_{p} =3 Sylar J-subgraphs of G u_{p} =1 und(p) & u_{p} [161.
(2) u_{p} =3 u_{p} =1 u G with a P-graph \Rightarrow and Sylar J-subgraph of G is usual.
(4) Sylar P-graph G is alled simple if it too no un-triand proper, usual subgraphs.
Reputies: Any P-graph G of size (G)>p is not simple? There are 3 main tricks.
(5) First trick. Share u_{p} =1 for some p via Sylar Thu (3)
Example 1: $|G| = 28 \Rightarrow G$ is not simple because u_{q} =1.
(5) Second trick: Use $G \subset Syl_{p}(G)$ by an aggraphic u_{p} share $Xer(\Psi \neq 3et, G)$
for $\Psi: G \longrightarrow Aut_{St}(Syl_{P}(G)) \subseteq S_{u_{p}}$ $\Psi(g) \equiv Cuj(g)$.
Example 2: Prove that there are no simple groups of order 224.
Solution: What $|G| = 224 = 2^{5} \cdot 7 \Rightarrow mig Sylar 2- and 7-subgraphs.
 $u_{q} \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ $u_{q} = u_{q} / 3 = m_{q} / 3 = m_{q} = 1 = 7$
 $u_{q} \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ $u_{q} = u_{q} / 3 = m_{q} / 3 = m_{q} = 1 = 7$
 $u_{q} \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ $u_{q} = u_{q} / 3 = m_{q} / 3 = m_{q} / 3 = m_{q} / 3 = 0$
Example 2: Prove that there are no simple groups of order 224.
Solution: What $|G| = 224 = 2^{5} \cdot 7 \Rightarrow mig Sylar 2- and 7-subgraphs.
 $u_{q} \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ $u_{q} / u_{q} / m_{q} / m_{q} = m_{q} / m_{q} = 1 = 7$
 $u_{q} \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ $u_{q} / u_{q} / m_{q} / m_{q} = m_{q} / m_{q} /$$$

$$D_{nm} = \{ \underbrace{c, r, c^{*}, \dots, c^{m-1}}_{S \to S^{*}, S^{*}, \dots, S^{*}, S^{*}, \dots, S^{*}, S^{*}, S^{*}, S^{*}, \dots, S^{*}, S^{*},$$

Same idea works in general : 4 Proposition 1: $|Sy|_2(D_{2^{\alpha+1}})| = m$ if m is old and $\alpha \ge 1$. <u>Proof:</u> Z(D₂^{q+1}m) = (r²⁻ⁱⁿ) has order 2 and lies in every Sylow 2-subgroup. because of Z (Dza+1m) < Dza+1m & Sylow (2). We consider the projection $D_{2^{a+i}m} \xrightarrow{TL} D_{2^{a+i}m} \langle r^{2^{a+i}m} \rangle \sum_{m} D_{2^{am}}$ $\overline{r}_{eTL}(r) \text{ her rider } z_{m}^{a-1} \qquad These describe the relations of <math>D_{2^{a}m}$. $\overline{IL}(s)\overline{IL}(r)\overline{IL}(s) = \overline{IL}(r^{-1})$ because S_TL(S) has order 2 $|\operatorname{Im} \mathcal{T}| = 2^{\operatorname{Tm}} = 2^{\operatorname{Tm}} \& |\operatorname{Ker} \mathcal{F}| = 2$ Claim: It induces a bijection Sylz (Dza+1m) ~~ Sylz (Dzam) <u>Brook</u>: $H \in Syl(D_{2^{a+1}m}) \Longrightarrow |H| = 2^{a+1} \& \Gamma^{2^{a+1}m} \in H$ by construction => T(H)= H < 2² ~ Sy 2nd Iso Theorem. Since $|\frac{H}{\langle r^{2m} \rangle}| = \frac{2^{\alpha H}}{2} = 2^{\alpha}$ we get $T(H) \in Syl_2(D_{2m})$. innersely, every subgroup \overline{H} z-subgroup of $D_{2^{q_m}}$ has order 2^{q_n} and by 2^{nL} Iso Theorem, it induces $H = \overline{T}(\overline{H}) \leq D_{2^{q+1}m}$ with $H \geq Ker \overline{T} = \langle r^{2^{m}} \rangle$. Since $|H| = |\overline{H}| \cdot |Ker \overline{IL}| = 2^{n+1}$ we have $H \in Syl_2(D_{2^{n+1}})$. The map It induces a 1-to-1 correspondence between these sets by the 2" Iso Thm becouse every HE Sylz (D2a+1m) entains KerT. ם We finish the proof by induction on at172, continued with the claim, to show $|Syl_2(D_{2^{a+i_m}})| = |Syl_2(D_{4^m})| = |Syl_2(D_{2^m})|$ In turn, (Sylz (Dzm)) = m by Proproition 1 \$ 17.2

Proprotion 2: |Sylp(D2am) = 1 when misodd, and a \$\$ \$\$

 $\frac{P_{noof:}}{P_{noof:}} \quad \text{Fix } Q \in \text{Sylp}(D_{2^{n}m}) \quad \text{. Since } 2 \times |Q| \quad Q_{connot contain any } S$ element of rder Z. These $Q \subseteq \langle r \rangle$ which is abelian. Thus $Q \in \text{Sylp}(\langle r \rangle)$ Since $|\text{Sylp}(\langle r \rangle)| = 1$, the result follows.