NEXT GOAL: Study a new algebraic construction (rings) which are obtained from abelian groups \$ 35.1 Basic definitions: Definition: A ring R is a (non-empty) set together with two binary operations +, ·: R × R _____ R (called addition and multiplication, respectively) and two distinct elements O, I E R such that: (I) (R,+,0) is an abelian group. That is; (i) (a +b) +c = a+(b+c) ¥a,b,cER [Associationly of +] (ii) Q+Q = Q+O = O VAER [O is Neutral Element for +] (iii) VaER, there exists an element bER such that a+b=0=b+q. [Additive Internes] (iv) a+b = b+a Va, LER [Abelian group] (II) Multiplication is also an associative operation, and IER is neutral for multiplication (i) (a.b).c = a. (b.c) Va, b, c ER [Associativity of .] (ii) 1.a = a = a., VaER [1 is Neutral Element br.] (We do NOT improve . existence of multiplicative inverse (a') . commutationity for multiplication (a.b = b-a) (III) Multiplication distributes our addition $a \cdot (b+c) = a \cdot b + a \cdot c$ $\forall a, b, c \in R$ $(b+c) \cdot a = b \cdot a + c \cdot a$ \$35.2 Examples of Rings: () R = TK set of real numbers with usual addition and multiplication, 0 & 1 as usual (≥ R = Z (same spenations as (): enstricted to Z) 3 R = Z/ n= 2 (+, · = addition and multiplication modulon) () R = 16 2x2 (0) = set of exe matrices with entries from Q $[a, b_1] = [a, b_2] = [a_1+a_2, b_1+b_2] = 0 = [0 0]$

(3)
$$R = Z[x]$$
 polynomial sing in one variable with explicit from Z
A typical element $F \in R$ has the form $F = a_0 + a_1 \times + a_2 \times^2 + \dots + a_N \times^N$ for some N30
If $a_N \neq 0$, we call N the deque of the polynomial F
($nxentim$: deg (0) = - ∞
Addition of polynomials is done "component-wise" eg
($(1+2x+3x^9) + (2+7x^3+x^{10}) = 3+2x+7x^3+3x^9+x^{10}$
. Iluttiplication of polynomials is canced out using distribution with the concention $x^N \cdot x^M = x^{n+m}$
E.g. ($1+3x$)($1+5x^2+x^3$) = 1 ($1+5x^2+x^3$) + $3x$ ($1+5x^2+x^3$)
 $= 1+5x^2+x^3 + 3x + 15x^3 + 3x^4$
In symbols: ($a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_Nx^N$) ($b_0 + b_1x + \dots + b_Nx^N$)
 $= a_0b_0 + (a_0b_1 + a_1b_0) \times + (a_0b_2 + a_1b_1 + a_2b_2) \times^2 + \dots$
 $\dots + (a_0b_2 + a_1b_{2-1} + \dots + a_2b_0) \times^2 + \dots + a_Nb_N \times^{N+\Pi}$

$$\left(\sum_{i=0}^{N}a_{i}\chi^{i}\right)\left(\sum_{j=0}^{n}b_{j}\chi^{j}\right)=\sum_{k=0}^{N+n}\left(\sum_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq N \\ 0 \leq j \leq n \\ i+j=k}}a_{i}b_{j}\chi^{k}\right)$$

$$C[i] = Z[[-1] = 3a + bi : q, b \in Z$$

$$Multiplication : (a+bi)(c+di) = (ac - bd) + (ad + bc)i$$

$$Albition : (a+bi) + (c+di) = (a+c) + (b+d)i$$

We obtain this sing as a quotient of $\mathbb{Z}[X]$ (ie, we have the same structure as that of $\mathbb{Z}[X]$ and an additional rule saying $X^2 = -1$)

535.3 Some elementary facts and terminology:
Lemma: Let R be a ring. For any
$$a \in R$$
 we have $a \cdot 0 = 0 \cdot a = 0$
Proof: $a \cdot 0 = a \cdot (0 + 0) = a \cdot 0 + a \cdot 0 \Rightarrow 0 = a \cdot 0 + a \cdot 0 - a \cdot 0 = a \cdot 0$
Similarly, $0 \cdot a = (0 + 0) \cdot a = 0 \cdot a + 0 \cdot a \Rightarrow 0 = 0 \cdot a + 0 \cdot a = 0 \cdot a = 0 \cdot a = 0$
Definition: An element $a \in R$ is said to be invertible (multiplicatively)
if we have $b \in R$ such that $a \cdot b = 1 = b \cdot a$
Set $R^* := set of all invertible elements of R
 $= \{a \in R : B \in R \text{ with } a \cdot b = b \cdot a = 1R\}$
Then, R^* is again a group (not meassailly abelian) under multiplication
browed from R [easy exercise!]
Examples: (i) $R^* = R \cdot b \cdot 1$ (every non-zero element has an inverse)
(ii) $Z^* = \frac{1}{2} + 1$
(iii) $(Z_{NZ})^* = \frac{1}{2} \times \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2}$$

Let H be an abelian group

$$R = set of all group homeorphisms H \xrightarrow{F} H$$
.
Addition: $(F_1 + F_2) (h) = F_1 (h) + F_2 (h) \quad \forall F_1, F_2 \in \mathbb{R} \quad \forall h \in H$
 $D : enstant gro function \quad D(h) = O_H \quad \forall h \in H$
Multiplication : composition
 $I = id_H \quad (F_1 \cdot F_2) (h) = F_1 (F_2(h))$
 $I = id_H \quad R = End_{sp} (H) \quad endomorphisms of H''$
 $End_{sp} (H)^{\times} = Aut_{sp} (H) \quad entomorphisms of H''$

Take
$$\Psi: H \longrightarrow H$$
 $(a_1, a_2, ...) \longrightarrow (0, a_1, a_2, ...)$ Injective but not
surjective.
If we take $\Psi: H \longrightarrow H$ $(a_1, a_2, ...) \longrightarrow (a_2, a_3, ...)$, then
we have $\Psi \circ \Psi = I_{R_i}$ so Ψ has a left -innerse.

• If we have $\pi_1: H \longrightarrow H$ $(a_1, a_2, ...) \longrightarrow (a_1, o, o, o, ...)$, then we have $\pi_1 \circ \Psi = O_R$ but $\pi_1 \neq O_R$, so Ψ has a left zero-divisor.

Thus, when dealing with absolute generality, we must be careful. We have an element that is left-innertible but has a left zero-divisor. We will not dwell into this for our couse, and hence treat the notion of zero-divisor, in parlicular, for commutative rings mly.