

Lecture 11: GCDs and Noetherian Rings

Recall: Last time we defined UFDs and we prove:

Lemma: In a UFD, irreducible elements are prime.

Theorem 1: Any PID is a UFD. In particular, any Euclidean domain is a UFD.

Corollary 1: \mathbb{Z} , $K[x]$ (K field) and $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ are UFDs.

The result is stronger (we will see it in a future lecture)

Theorem 2: If R is a UFD, then $R[x]$ is also a UFD.


Corollary 2: For every field K and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $K[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ is also a UFD.

§51.1 Greatest Common Divisors in UFDs:

Definition: Given R ring and $a, b \in R$, a greatest common divisor between a and b is an element $d = \gcd(a, b) \in R$ satisfying the following properties:

(1) $d \mid a$ and $d \mid b$ in R

(2) if $c \mid a$ and $c \mid b$ in R , then $c \mid d$ in R

 gcd do not always exist for arbitrary ring. If they do, they are well-defined up to multiplication by a unit of R .

Recall: In \mathbb{Z} , we can build the $\gcd(n, m)$ from the factorization of n, m .

We show the same idea works in any UFD:

Theorem: In a UFD, gcds exist.

$$\begin{array}{lll} \text{Write } n = \pm p_1^{e_1} \cdots p_r^{e_r} & e_1, \dots, e_r \geq 1 & p_1, \dots, p_r \text{ distinct primes (primes)} \\ m = \pm q_1^{f_1} \cdots q_s^{f_s} & f_1, \dots, f_s \geq 1 & q_1, \dots, q_s \end{array}$$

We assume $p_1 = q_1, \dots, p_t = q_t$ and the remaining primes are all distinct, meaning

$\{p_{t+1}, \dots, p_r\} \cap \{q_{t+1}, \dots, q_s\} = \emptyset$. Then

$$\gcd(n, m) = p_1^{\min\{e_1, f_1\}} \cdots p_t^{\min\{e_t, f_t\}}$$

• The same method works for other UFDs.

Let R be a UFD and $a, b \in R - \{0\}$. Write

$$a = u p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \dots p_n^{e_n} \quad \text{and} \quad b = v p_1^{f_1} p_2^{f_2} \dots p_n^{f_n}$$

where $u, v \in R^\times$

p_1, \dots, p_n are non-associated primes/irred. elements of R

$e_1, \dots, e_n, f_1, \dots, f_n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$

Lemma: $\gcd(a, b) := p_1^{\min\{e_1, f_1\}} \dots p_n^{\min\{e_n, f_n\}}$ is the greatest common divisor between a & b .

Proof: (1) is clear: $a = d \underbrace{(p_1^{e_1 - \min\{e_1, f_1\}} \dots p_n^{e_n - \min\{e_n, f_n\}})}_{\in R}$

$$b = d \underbrace{(p_1^{f_1 - \min\{e_1, f_1\}} \dots p_n^{f_n - \min\{e_n, f_n\}})}_{\in R}$$

(2) is also clear since prime / irreducible elements occurring in the decomposition of c have to be associate to those in the subset $\{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$. Furthermore, the exponent of p_j in c has to be $\leq e_j$ and f_j , so it's $\leq \min\{e_j, f_j\}$. \square

§51.2 Noetherian rings:

Let R be a commutative ring.

Definition: We say R is Noetherian (after Emmy Noether) if the following holds:

(Ascending Chain Condition)

Given any ascending chain of ideals in R $I_1 \subseteq I_2 \subseteq I_3 \subseteq \dots$ there exists $n \geq 1$ such that $I_n = I_{n+1} = \dots$

Non-example: Let $R =$ ring of continuous functions (real-valued) of one (real) variable x .
 $= \{f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ continuous}\}$

$$I_n := \{f \in R : f(x) = 0 \quad \forall x \in [-\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n}]\} \quad \text{for } n=1, 2, 3, \dots$$

As $[-1, 1] \supseteq [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}] \supseteq [-\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}] \supseteq \dots$ we get $I_1 \subseteq I_2 \subseteq I_3 \subseteq I_4 \subseteq \dots$

Exercise: This chain never stops i.e. it doesn't stabilize as in the condition defining Noetherian rings.

• Before giving examples of Noetherian rings, we need the following two equivalent ways of proving that a ring R is Noetherian.

Proposition: Assume R is a commutative ring. Then, the following are equivalent:

- (1) R is Noetherian.
- (2) Given an ideal $I \subseteq R$, there exist finitely many $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_N \in I$ such that $I = (a_1, \dots, a_N)$
(Read: every ideal in R is finitely generated)
- (3) Let X be a non-empty set of ideals of R . Then, $\exists J \in X$ such that

$$J \subseteq I \text{ \& } I \in X \implies J = I.$$
 (Read: every non-empty set of ideals in R has an ideal that is maximal with respect to inclusion)

Proof: We show (1) \implies (3) \implies (2) \implies (1)

(1) \implies (3): We assume R is Noetherian, i.e. the (ACC) holds.

Let X be a non-empty set of Ideals in R . We argue by contradiction.
 Choose $I_1 \in X$. Since I_1 is not maximal in X , $\exists I_2 \in X$ with $I_1 \subsetneq I_2$

Repeating the same argument we get an ascending chain of ideals (elements of X)

$$I_1 \subsetneq I_2 \subsetneq I_3 \subsetneq \dots$$

This chain does not stabilize since $I_n \subsetneq I_{n+1}$. This contradicts the fact that R is Noetherian. Conclusion: For some $N \geq 1$, $I_N \in X$ is maximal among all elements of X

(3) \implies (2): Let $I \subseteq R$ be an ideal. Consider the following set of ideals in R

Consider $X = \{ I' \subseteq I : I' \text{ is a finitely generated ideal of } R \}$

- $X \neq \emptyset$ since $I' = (0) \in X$.
- Let $I_1 \in X$ be maximal among all ideals from X . Such element exists by (3).
- We get • $I_1 \subseteq I$
 - I_1 is finitely generated
 - If $I_1 \subseteq I_2 \subseteq I$ & I_2 is a finitely generated ideal, then $I_1 = I_2$.

We claim that $I_1 = I$, hence I is finitely generated.

We argue by contradiction. If $I_1 \subsetneq I$, $\exists a \in I \setminus I_1$. Then $I_2 = I_1 + (a)$ satisfies $\left. \begin{array}{l} I_2 \text{ is a finitely generated ideal of } R \\ I_2 \subseteq I \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow I_2 \in X \text{ \& } I_1 \subsetneq I_2$

This contradicts the maximality of I_1 as an element of X . Thus, $I_1 = I$ as we wanted. \square

(2) \Rightarrow (1): We check the (ACC) holds for R .

Assume we are given an ascending chain of ideals $I_1 \subseteq I_2 \subseteq I_3 \subseteq \dots$ (*)

Take $I = \bigcup_{j \geq 1} I_j \subseteq R$. Then, I is an ideal ($I = \sum_{j \geq 1} I_j$ because $I_n \subseteq I_{n+1}, \forall n$)

By (2), I is finitely generated, i.e. $I = (a_1, \dots, a_N)$ for some finite number of elements $a_1, \dots, a_N \in I$.

By definition of I $\exists k_1, k_2, \dots, k_N$ st $\begin{array}{l} a_1 \in I_{k_1} \\ a_2 \in I_{k_2} \\ \vdots \\ a_N \in I_{k_N} \end{array}$

Take $M = \max \{k_1, \dots, k_N\}$. Then, $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_N \in I_M \subseteq I_{M+1} \subseteq \dots$

This gives $I \subseteq I_M \subseteq I_{M+1} \subseteq I_{M+2} \subseteq I \quad \forall l \geq 0$. Hence, $I_M = I_{M+1} = \dots = I$

We conclude the chain (*) stabilizes \square

§9.3 Examples:

(1) Every principal ideal ring is Noetherian

(Recall: R is a principal ideal ring if every ideal I has the form $I = (a)$)

Examples: $R = K$ any field, \mathbb{Z} ; $K[x]$; $K[[x]]$; $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ ↑ principal ideal

(2) If $R = K[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \dots]$ is a polynomial ring in infinitely many variables, then R is not Noetherian since $I = (x_1, x_2, \dots)$ cannot be generated by finitely many elements

Reason: Assume $I = (f_1, \dots, f_r)$ for some $f_1, \dots, f_r \in R$. By construction, each f_i involves only finitely many variables, so $\exists n$ with $f_1, \dots, f_r \in K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$
 $f_i \in I \Rightarrow \exists s_1^{(i)}, \dots, s_{s_i}^{(i)} \in R$ with $f_i = \sum_{j=1}^{s_i} s_j^{(i)} x_j$ (*)
 As before, $\exists m \geq n$ such that all polynomials above lie in $K[x_1, \dots, x_m]$.

5

Evaluating (*) in $x_1 = \dots = x_n = 0$, we get $f_i(\underline{0}) = \sum_{j=1}^{s_i} g_j^{(i)}(\underline{0}) \cdot 0 = 0$.

Claim: $x_{n+1} \notin (f_1, \dots, f_r)$

We argue by contradiction. Assume $x_{n+1} = \sum_{i=1}^r h_i(\underline{x}) f_i(x_1, \dots, x_n)$

Evaluating both sides at $x_1 = x_2 = \dots = x_n = 0$. we get: ↳ involving finitely many variables

$$x_{n+1} = \sum_{i=1}^r h_i(0, \dots, 0, x_{n+1}, \dots) \underbrace{f_i(0, \dots, 0)}_{=0} = 0 \quad \text{Contradiction!}$$

(3) Main example will be provided by Hilbert Basis Theorem: R Noetherian $\Rightarrow R[x]$ is Noetherian.

From here we see that $R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is Noetherian for each ring R which is Noetherian.

(Examples: $R = \mathbb{Z}$, K any field) We will see Hilbert Basis Thm in a future lecture.