

Lecture 20: Localization & Noetherian rings

Recall: Rings & modules of fractions for R commutative ring

$S \subset R$ mult. closed set $\rightsquigarrow S^{-1}R = \text{another comm ring}$

$M : R\text{-module}$ $\rightsquigarrow S^{-1}M : \text{an } S^{-1}R\text{-module.}$

Prop: (1) Every ideal of $S^{-1}R$ is of the form $S^{-1}\mathfrak{a}$ for $\mathfrak{a} \subset R$ ideal

$$\& S^{-1}\mathfrak{a} = S^{-1}R \iff S \cap \mathfrak{a} \neq \emptyset.$$

(2) Prime ideals of $S^{-1}R \xleftarrow{1 \mapsto 1} \text{prime ideals of } R \text{ not meeting } S$

$$(j_S(\mathfrak{P})) S^{-1}R = S^{-1}\mathfrak{P} \longleftarrow \mathfrak{P}$$

§1. Localization:

GOAL: Build suitable S where $S^{-1}R$ is a local ring (unique mxl ideal)

Geometrically: study a space X in the neighborhood of a point.

$$R = \{ \text{polynomial functions } X \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \}.$$

Fix $\mathfrak{P} \subsetneq R$ a prime ideal and let $S = R \setminus \mathfrak{P}$.

Lemma: S is multiplicatively closed.

$$\text{Pf/ } 1 \in S \quad (1 \notin \mathfrak{P}) \quad \& \quad 0 \notin S \quad (0 \in \mathfrak{P})$$

, $a, b \in S$ means $a, b \notin \mathfrak{P}$ so $ab \notin \mathfrak{P}$ because \mathfrak{P} is prime $\Rightarrow ab \in S$. \square

Def: $R_{\mathfrak{P}} := S^{-1}R$ is called the localization of R at the prime ideal \mathfrak{P} .

Proposition: $R_{\mathfrak{P}}$ is a local ring with unique maximal ideal $\mathfrak{P}R_{\mathfrak{P}}$.

Proof: Let \mathfrak{b} be a proper ideal of $R_{\mathfrak{P}}$. By Prop (1) $\mathfrak{b} = S^{-1}\mathfrak{a}$ for

$\mathfrak{a} \subset R$ ideal. If $\mathfrak{a} \cap S \neq \emptyset$, then $\mathfrak{b} = S^{-1}R$. Contr!

So $\mathfrak{a} \cap S = \emptyset$, meaning $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{P}$. Hence $\mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathfrak{P}(S^{-1}R)$

So every proper ideal of $R_{\mathfrak{P}}$ lies in $\mathfrak{P}R_{\mathfrak{P}}$. Thus $(R_{\mathfrak{P}}, \mathfrak{P}R_{\mathfrak{P}})$ is local. \square

Obs: If R is a domain, $j_S: R \hookrightarrow \text{Quot}(R)$
 $(R \setminus \{0\})^{-1}R$

So $R \hookrightarrow R_{\mathcal{P}} \hookrightarrow \text{Quot}(R)$

Examples ① $R = \mathbb{Z}$ (p) is prime ideal $\rightsquigarrow S = \{b \in \mathbb{Z} : p \nmid b\}$

$\rightsquigarrow \mathbb{Z}_{(p)} = \left\{ \frac{a}{b} : a, b \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ gcd}(a, b) = 1, p \nmid b \right\}$

② $R = \mathbb{C}[x]$ (x) is mxl ideal, so prime

$R_{(x)} = \left\{ \frac{P}{Q} : P, Q \in \mathbb{C}[x] : x \nmid Q \right\}$

③ $R = \mathbb{C}[x, y]$ $\mathcal{M} = (x, y)$ is mxl ideal, so prime

$R_{(x, y)} = \left\{ \frac{P}{Q} : P, Q \in \mathbb{C}[x, y] \quad Q(0, 0) \neq 0 \right\}$

Def: Given M an R -module, we define its localization at \mathcal{P}
as $M_{\mathcal{P}} = S^{-1}M$ where $S = R \setminus \mathcal{P}$.

Q: What is $\ker(M \xrightarrow{i_S} S^{-1}M)$?

A: $\ker(i_S) = \{m \in M : \exists s \in S \text{ with } s \cdot m = 0 \text{ in } M\}$

Def: $\text{Ann}(m) = \{r \in R : rm = 0\}$ (Annihilator of m)

Lemma: $\text{Ann}(m)$ is an ideal of R . It is proper $\Leftrightarrow m \neq 0$.

Consequence: $m \in \ker(i_S) \Leftrightarrow \text{Ann}(m) \cap S \neq \emptyset$.

Localizations are useful tools to decide when modules are trivial

More precisely:

Theorem: $M = \{0\} \Leftrightarrow M_{\mathcal{P}} = 0 \quad \forall \mathcal{P} \subsetneq R \text{ prime ideal}$
 $\Leftrightarrow M_{\mathcal{M}} = 0 \quad \forall \mathcal{M} \subsetneq R \text{ mxl ideal}$

Proof: (1) \Rightarrow (2) \Rightarrow (3) is clear (max ideals are prime)

To finish, we prove (3) \Rightarrow (1): We argue by contradiction.

Pick $m \in \Pi \setminus \{0\}$ & let $\mathfrak{a} = \text{Ann}(m) \subsetneq R$. Pick $\mathfrak{m} \subset R$ maximal ideal with $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{m}$. By hypothesis $\prod_{\mathfrak{m}} = 0$, so $\frac{m}{1} = 0$ in $\prod_{\mathfrak{m}}$ meaning $\exists s \in R \setminus \mathfrak{m}$ with $sm = 0$. This cannot happen since $(R \setminus \mathfrak{m}) / \text{Ann}(m) = \emptyset$. \square

Theorem: Assume R is an integral domain. Then:

$$R = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{m} \text{ max ideal}} R_{\mathfrak{m}} = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \text{ prime ideal}} R_{\mathfrak{p}}$$

Proof We know $R \hookrightarrow R_{\mathfrak{m}} \hookrightarrow \text{Quot}(R)$

Write $\tilde{R} = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{m} \text{ max}} R_{\mathfrak{m}} \supseteq R$. We view \tilde{R}/R as an R -module

Then: $\tilde{R} = R \iff \tilde{R}/R = 0$ as an R -module

Since $\tilde{R} \subset \text{Quot}(R)$ we write $\bar{r} \in \tilde{R}/R$ as $\frac{a}{b}$ with $\frac{a}{b} \in \text{Quot}(R)$

. We want to show $a \in (b)$, so $\frac{a}{b} \in R$.

Consider $I = \{t \in R : t \frac{a}{b} \in R\} = \text{Ann}(\frac{a}{b})$

This means $t \frac{a}{b} = \frac{a'}{1} \Rightarrow a' \in R$, i.e. $ta = a'b$

Thus $I = \{t \in R : ta \in R(b)\}$.

. If $I = (1)$, then $a = 1 \cdot a \in (b)$

. Otherwise, $\exists \mathfrak{m}$ max ideal of R with $I \subseteq \mathfrak{m} \subsetneq R$. Since $r = \frac{a}{b} \in R$, we have $\frac{a}{b} \in \tilde{R} \subseteq R_{\mathfrak{m}}$ so $\frac{a}{b} = \frac{a'}{b'}$ with $b' \notin \mathfrak{m}$
 $b'a = a'b$ so $b' \in I \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$ Contr! \square

§2 Modules of fractions and their homomorphisms:

Fix R commutative ring & $S \subset R$ mult closed set.

Let M, N be two R -modules & set $f: M \rightarrow N$ R -linear

Then $S^{-1}f: S^{-1}M \rightarrow S^{-1}N$

$$\frac{m}{s} \mapsto \frac{f(m)}{s}$$

Proposition: Let $0 \rightarrow M_1 \xrightarrow{f} M_2 \xrightarrow{g} M_3 \rightarrow 0$ be a seq of R -linear maps between R -modules. Then, the following sequence of $S^{-1}R$ -linear maps is exact:

$$0 \rightarrow S^{-1}M_1 \xrightarrow{S^{-1}f} S^{-1}M_2 \xrightarrow{S^{-1}g} S^{-1}M_3 \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof: (1) $\text{Ker}(S^{-1}f) = \{ \frac{m}{s} \in S^{-1}M_1 : \frac{f(m)}{s} = 0 \text{ in } S^{-1}M_2 \}$

If $\frac{f(m)}{s} = 0$, then $f(m) = s \cdot \frac{f(m)}{s} = 0 \Rightarrow m \in \text{Ker } f = \{0\}$
 \downarrow
 $m \in M$

Conclude: $\text{Ker}(S^{-1}f) = \{0\}$.

(2) $S^{-1}g$ is surjective: Let $\frac{m_3}{s} \in S^{-1}M_3$, $m_3 \in M_3$, $s \in S$

Since g is surjective $\exists m_2 \in M_2$ st $g(m_2) = m_3$

So $\frac{m_3}{s} = \frac{g(m_2)}{s} = S^{-1}g\left(\frac{m_2}{s}\right)$. Conclude: $S^{-1}g$ is surjective.

(3) $\text{Ker}(S^{-1}g) = \text{Im}(S^{-1}f)$.

(\supseteq) $(S^{-1}g) \circ (S^{-1}f)\left(\frac{m_1}{s}\right) = S^{-1}(g)\left(\frac{f(m_1)}{s}\right) = \frac{g(f(m_1))}{s} = \frac{0}{s} = 0$

So $\text{Im}(S^{-1}f) \subseteq \text{Ker}(S^{-1}g)$

(\subseteq) Conversely, if $\frac{m_2}{s} \in \text{Ker}(S^{-1}g)$ then $\frac{g(m_2)}{s} = 0$ so

$g(m_2) = s \cdot \frac{g(m_2)}{s} = 0$ so $m_2 \in \text{Ker } g = \text{Im } f$ so

$m_2 = f(m_1)$ for some $m_1 \in M_1$. Thus, $\frac{m_2}{s} = \frac{f(m_1)}{s} \in \text{Im } S^{-1}f$. \square

Obs: Can use this to give an alternative proof of Thm on page 3.

Corollary: (1) Let $N \subset M$ be submodule over R .

Then $\frac{S^{-1}M}{S^{-1}N} \cong S^{-1}(M/N)$. (as $S^{-1}R$ -modules)

(2) In particular, for an ideal $\alpha \subset R$, we have

$$\frac{S^{-1}R}{S^{-1}\alpha} \cong S^{-1}(R/\alpha) \cong \bar{S}^{-1}(R/\alpha) \quad (\text{as } S^{-1}R\text{-modules})$$

where \bar{S} = image of S under $R \rightarrow R/\alpha$.

Prf: (1) Use $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow M \rightarrow M/N \rightarrow 0$ seq of R -mod

Then $0 \rightarrow S^{-1}N \rightarrow S^{-1}M \rightarrow S^{-1}(M/N) \rightarrow 0$ is seq of $S^{-1}R$ -mod

(2) Need to show $S^{-1}(R/\alpha) \cong \bar{S}^{-1}(R/\alpha)$ $S^{-1}R$ -mod iso

$$\frac{\bar{r}}{\bar{s}} \mapsto \frac{\bar{r}}{\bar{s}} \quad \left(\frac{a}{b} \cdot \frac{\bar{r}}{\bar{s}} = \frac{\overline{ar}}{\bar{bs}} \right)$$

Well-def $\frac{\bar{r}}{\bar{s}} = \frac{\bar{r}'}{\bar{s}'}$ $\Leftrightarrow \exists t \in S$ st $t(s'\bar{r} - s\bar{r}') = 0$ in R/α .

$\Leftrightarrow t(s'r - sr') \in \alpha$ $\Leftrightarrow \bar{t}(\bar{s}'\bar{r} - \bar{s}\bar{r}') = 0$ in R/α
& $t \in S$ & $\bar{t} \in \bar{S}$. \square

§3. Finiteness properties of rings: Noetherian & Artinian rings

In 1888, Kronecker published his findings on "ideal = product of prime ideals" research. He a crucial assumption for ideals over polynomial rings: that they are finitely generated. This fact was only later proven by Hilbert (Hilbert Basis Thm). This property of rings ("every ideal is finitely generated") has the following axiomatization

Definition: A commutative ring R is called Noetherian if for every chain of ideals of R $\alpha_0 \subseteq \alpha_1 \subseteq \alpha_2 \subseteq \alpha_3 \subseteq \dots$

there is $k \geq 0$ with $\alpha_k = \alpha_{k+1} = \dots$ [ACC = Ascending chain condition]

Theorem: The following conditions on a commutative ring R are equivalent:

- (1) R is Noetherian
- (2) Every nonempty set \mathcal{G} of ideals of R has a maximal element
- (3) Every ideal $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq R$ is finitely generated.

Proof: $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$ Let $\mathfrak{a}_0 \in \mathcal{G}$. If \mathfrak{a}_0 is not maximal, $\exists \mathfrak{a}_1 \in \mathcal{G}$ with $\mathfrak{a}_0 \subsetneq \mathfrak{a}_1$. Continuing in this fashion, we get an ascending chain of ideals $\mathfrak{a}_0 \subsetneq \mathfrak{a}_1 \subsetneq \dots$ that doesn't stabilize. Contr! Then $\exists \mathfrak{a}_k$ maximal element of \mathcal{G} .

$(2) \Rightarrow (3)$ Let \mathfrak{a} be an ideal. Consider the set $\mathcal{G} = \{ \mathfrak{a}' \subseteq \mathfrak{a} : \mathfrak{a}' \text{ is a fin. gen. ideal of } R \}$. We order \mathcal{G} by inclusion.

By (2), this set has a maximal element, say $\tilde{\mathfrak{a}}$. If $\tilde{\mathfrak{a}} \subsetneq \mathfrak{a}$, pick $x \in \mathfrak{a} \setminus \tilde{\mathfrak{a}}$. Then $(\tilde{\mathfrak{a}}, x) \in \mathcal{G}$, contradicting the maximality of $\tilde{\mathfrak{a}} < (\tilde{\mathfrak{a}}, x)$. Hence $\tilde{\mathfrak{a}} = \mathfrak{a}$, which means \mathfrak{a} is finitely generated since $\mathfrak{a} \in \mathcal{G}$.

$(3) \Rightarrow (1)$ Let $\mathfrak{a}_0 \subset \mathfrak{a}_1 \subset \dots$ be a chain of ideals of R . Take $\mathfrak{a} = \bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} \mathfrak{a}_i \subset R$.

By construction, \mathfrak{a} is an ideal of R , thus finitely generated by elements $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathfrak{a}$. Now, each $a_j \in \mathfrak{a}_{j_0}$ for some $j_0 \geq 0$. Thus, $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{a}_j$ for $j = \max\{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_n\}$ and so $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{a}_j = \mathfrak{a}_{j+1} = \dots$. The chain terminates, so R is Noetherian.

Corollary: (1) Principal ideal domains are Noetherian (eg $\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{C}[x]$)

(2) If $f: A \rightarrow B$ is a ring homomorphism with A, B commutative. Assume f is surjective. If A is Noetherian, so is B .

(3) Rings of fractions of Noetherian rings are Noetherian. In particular, localizations preserve Noetherianity.

Proof (2): If $\mathfrak{b} \subset B$ is an ideal, $\mathfrak{a} = f^{-1}(\mathfrak{b}) \subset A$ is an ideal, so $\mathfrak{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$. Then $\mathfrak{b} = f(\mathfrak{a}) = (f(a_1), \dots, f(a_n))$.

⚠ Subrings of Noetherian rings need not be Noetherian.

Ex: Take $R = \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots] = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$
 R is not Noetherian since

$\mathfrak{a}_1 = (x_1) \subsetneq \mathfrak{a}_2 = (x_1, x_2) \subsetneq \dots$ never terminates.

But R is a domain & $R \hookrightarrow \text{Quot}(R) = \text{field}$.

Since the only ideals of $\text{Quot}(R)$ are (0) & (1) , we get that $\text{Quot}(R)$ is Noetherian.

Hilbert Basis Thm: If A is Noetherian, so is $A[x]$.

Hence $\mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n], \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ are Noetherian for any field \mathbb{K} .