

Lecture 22: Artinian Rings

Last Time: discussed Noetherian modules & Hilbert Basis Theorem

TODAY: Artinian rings, defined using descending chains.

Q Why study Artinian rings?

A: Geometrically Artinian rings correspond to finite collections of fat points (ie, points with multiplicities)

§1 Definition & first properties:

Definition: Let R be a commutative ring. We say that R is Artinian (after Emil Artin) if every descending chain of ideals $\mathfrak{a}_0 \supseteq \mathfrak{a}_1 \supseteq \dots$ stabilizes, ie $\exists l \geq 0$ with $\mathfrak{a}_l = \mathfrak{a}_{l+1} = \dots$ (Descending Chain Condition)

Ex: ① $R = \mathbb{K}$ field is Artinian

② $R = \mathbb{K}[x]_{(x^n)}$ (Ideals are \mathbb{K} -subspaces & $\dim_{\mathbb{K}} R = n$)

Lemma 1: Let \mathcal{I} be non-empty set of ideals in an Artinian ring.

Then \mathcal{I} has minimal elements (with respect to inclusion)

Proof: (Same idea as with Noetherian rings)

Let $\mathfrak{a}_0 \in \mathcal{I}$. If \mathfrak{a}_0 is minimal, we are done. Otherwise, we find $\mathfrak{a}_1 \in \mathcal{I}$ with $\mathfrak{a}_0 \supsetneq \mathfrak{a}_1$. As R is Artinian, this process must stop and we will arrive at a minimal element of \mathcal{I} . \square

Lemma 2: Artinian property is preserved under quotients by ideals

PF/ Let $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq R$ be an ideal and R be Artinian

Then $\tilde{R} = R/\mathfrak{a}$ is also Artinian since ideals in

\tilde{R} correspond to ideals in R containing \mathfrak{a} .

So the DCC for R yields the DCC for \tilde{R} . \square

Proposition 1: Let R be an Artinian commutative ring. Then:

(i) Every prime ideal in R is maximal.

(ii) There are only finitely many maximal ideals in R .

Proof: (i) Let $\mathfrak{p} \subsetneq R$ be a prime ideal. Then R/\mathfrak{p} is an Artinian integral domain. (by Lemma 2)

Now that $x \in R/\mathfrak{p} \setminus \{0\}$ & consider the descending chain of ideals in R/\mathfrak{p} :

$$(x) \supseteq (x^2) \supseteq (x^3)$$

Since it eventually stabilizes, $\exists k \geq 1$ with $(x^k) = (x^{k+1})$

$$\text{i.e. } x^k = yx^{k+1} \text{ for } y \in R/\mathfrak{p}.$$

$$\Rightarrow x^k(1 - xy) = 0$$

As R/\mathfrak{p} is a domain and $x \neq 0$ we have $1 = xy$, so

x is a unit.

We conclude $(R/\mathfrak{p})^\times = R/\mathfrak{p} \setminus \{0\}$, so R/\mathfrak{p} is a field.

This means \mathfrak{p} is a maximal ideal of R . \square

(ii) Let $\mathcal{J} = \text{set of ideals that are finite intersections of maximal ideals of } R$

• $\mathcal{J} \neq \emptyset$ since maximal ideals \mathfrak{m} exist & $\mathfrak{m} \in \mathcal{J}$.

• By the Artinian condition & Lemma 1, \mathcal{J} has a minimal element $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{m}_1 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{m}_\ell$

Claim: $\{\text{Maximal ideals in } R\} = \{\mathfrak{m}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{m}_\ell\}$

PF/ Pick $\mathfrak{m} \subsetneq R$ maximal ideal, then $\mathfrak{m} \cap \mathfrak{a} \in \mathcal{J}$

& $m \cap \mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$. By the minimality of \mathcal{A} , we have

$$\mathcal{A} = m \cap \mathcal{A} \quad \text{so}$$

$$m \cap m_1 \cap \dots \cap m_e = m_1 \cap \dots \cap m_e \subseteq m \quad \text{prime}$$

By Prime Avoidance (Lecture 17) Thm 2, page 7 $\exists j$ st $m_j \subseteq m$

Since m_j & m are both maximal, we have $m_j = m$. \square

§2. Nilradicals of Artinian rings

Corollary 1: Let \mathcal{N} be the ideal of nilpotent elements

(ie the nilradical of R). If R is Artinian, then

$$\mathcal{N} = m_1 \cap \dots \cap m_e$$

where $\{m_1, \dots, m_e\}$ is the list of maximal ideals of R .

PF) $\mathcal{N} \stackrel{(*)}{=} \bigcap_{\substack{\mathcal{P} \subseteq R \\ \text{prime}}} \mathcal{P} = m_1 \cap \dots \cap m_e$ because all prime ideals

are maxl in the Artinian case

(*) by Problem 9 HW7. \square

Obs Problem 9 in HW7 says $m_1 \cap \dots \cap m_e = \mathcal{J} = \text{Jacobson radical}$

$$\mathcal{J} = \{x \in R : 1 - xy \text{ is a unit } \forall y \in R\}$$

• From now on, we assume R is commutative & Artinian.

Proposition 2: The ideal $\mathcal{N} \subseteq R$ (Artinian) is nilpotent,
ie $\exists n \geq 0$ such that $\mathcal{N}^n = (0)$.

Proof: We consider the chain of ideals of R

$$\mathcal{N} \supseteq \mathcal{N}^2 \supseteq \mathcal{N}^3 \supseteq \dots$$

Since R is Artinian, $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\boxed{\mathcal{A}} := \mathcal{N}^n = \mathcal{N}^{n+1} \dots$

If $\mathcal{A} = (0)$ we are done. So assume $\mathcal{A} \neq (0)$. Then, we

consider

$$\mathcal{J} = \text{set of all ideals } \mathfrak{I} \subseteq \mathcal{R} \text{ st } \mathcal{A} \mathfrak{I} \neq (0)$$

• $\mathcal{J} \neq \emptyset$ since $\mathcal{A}^2 = \mathcal{A} \neq (0)$ so $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{J}$.

• Pick $\mathfrak{I} \in \mathcal{J}$ minimal element. As $\mathfrak{I} \cdot \mathcal{A} \neq (0)$, there is $x \in \mathfrak{I}$ st $x \mathcal{A} \neq (0)$ so $(x) \in \mathcal{J}$. But $(x) \subseteq \mathfrak{I}$

By minimality, $(x) = \mathfrak{I}$.

But $(x \mathcal{A}) \mathcal{A} = x \mathcal{A}^2 = x \mathcal{A} \neq \emptyset$ so $x \mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{J}$

Once again $x \mathcal{A} \subseteq (x)$, so minimality gives $(x) = x \mathcal{A}$

This means $\exists y \in \mathcal{A}$ st $x = xy$, i.e.

$$x = xy = xy^2 = \dots$$

Since $y \in \mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{N}$ we have that y is nilpotent.
i.e. $\exists m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $y^m = 0$.

Conclusion: $x = xy^m = 0$, contradicting $x \mathcal{A} = \mathfrak{I} \mathcal{A} \neq (0)$
 \square