

Lecture 23: Artinian rings II

Last time: We defined Artinian rings R as rings with DCC

Proposition 1: Let R be an Artinian commutative ring. Then:

(i) Every prime ideal in R is maximal.

(ii) There are only finitely many maximal ideals in R

Property: R Artinian & integral domain $\Rightarrow R$ is a field

Corollary: Nilradical = Jacobson radical for Artinian rings

Proposition 2: The nilradical ideal $\mathcal{N} \subset R$ (Artinian) is nilpotent, i.e. $\exists n \geq 0$ such that $\mathcal{N}^n = (0)$.

Examples: ① \mathbb{K} ($\mathcal{N} = (0)$) ; ② $\mathbb{K}[x]/(x^n)$ ($\mathcal{N} = (x)$)

§1. Geometric interpretation of Artinian Rings:

We let $\mathcal{m}_1, \dots, \mathcal{m}_\ell$ be the maximal ideals (= prime ideals) of R

Note that maximal ideals are always coprime

In the sequel, we will need the following general result:

Lemma 1: Fix A a commutative ring and $\mathcal{a}, \mathcal{b} \subset A$ be two coprime ideals. Then \mathcal{a}^i & \mathcal{b}^i are coprime $\forall i \geq 1$.

Moreover $\mathcal{a} \cap \mathcal{b} = \mathcal{a} \cdot \mathcal{b}$ (same is true for finite intersections of coprime ideals)

Proof: Since $\mathcal{a} + \mathcal{b} = 1$ $\exists a \in \mathcal{a}$ & $b \in \mathcal{b}$ with $1 = a + b$

$$1 = (a+b)^{2i} = \sum_{j=0}^{2i} \binom{2i}{j} a^{2i-j} b^j$$

$$\text{So } 1 = \underbrace{\left(\sum_{j=0}^i \binom{2i}{j} b^j a^{i-j} \right) a^i}_{\in \mathcal{A}^i} + \underbrace{\left(\sum_{j=1}^i \binom{2i}{j+i} a^{i-j} b^j \right) b^i}_{\in \mathcal{B}^i}$$

• $\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}$ is always true

• Pick $x \in \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}$ $1 = a + b$ so $x = \underbrace{ax}_{\in \mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{B}} + \underbrace{xb}_{\in \mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{B}} \in \mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{B}$ □

By Proposition 2, pick n with $\mathcal{N}^n = (0)$. Since $\{m_1^n, \dots, m_\ell^n\}$ are pairwise coprime, we use the Chinese Remainder Theorem to set a surjective map of rings:

$$\varphi: R \longrightarrow R/m_1^n \times \dots \times R/m_\ell^n$$

$$\text{Ker } \varphi = m_1^n \cap \dots \cap m_\ell^n \stackrel{\text{Lemma 1}}{=} m_1^n \cdots m_\ell^n \stackrel{(*)}{\subseteq} \mathcal{N}^n = (0)$$

$$(*) \text{ since } \mathcal{N} = m_1 \cap \dots \cap m_\ell \stackrel{\text{Lemma 1}}{=} m_1 \cdots m_\ell$$

This implies the following Structure Theorem for Artinian rings:

Theorem: $R \cong R/m_1^n \times R/m_2^n \times \dots \times R/m_\ell^n$

R Artinian & Max ideals = $\{m_1, \dots, m_\ell\}$ with n st $\mathcal{N}^n = (0)$.

Here, R/m_j^n is a local ring with unique maximal ideal

$$\bar{m}_j = \pi_j(m_j) \quad \text{where } \pi_j: R \longrightarrow R/m_j^n \text{ is the natural}$$

projection.

Proof The isomorphism follows from the discussion above

It remains to show that R/m_j^n is local $\forall 1 \leq j \leq l$.

Let $\mathfrak{q} \subsetneq R/m_j^n$ be a maximal ideal, so it's prime

Then \mathfrak{q} is the image of $\mathfrak{P} = \pi^{-1}(\mathfrak{q}) \subseteq R$ & \mathfrak{P} is a prime ideal containing m_j^n .

Problem 12 HW7: $m^n \subseteq \mathfrak{P}$ \mathfrak{M} maximal & \mathfrak{P} prime $\Rightarrow \mathfrak{M} = \mathfrak{P}$.

We conclude $m_j = \mathfrak{P}$ & so $\mathfrak{q} = \pi(m_j)$ □

§ 2 The local case:

Proposition: If R is Artinian and local, then R is Noetherian
 PF/ Let \mathfrak{m} be the unique maximal ideal of R & write $k = R/\mathfrak{m}$
 for the quotient. We know k is a field.

For each $j \geq 0$: the set m^j/m^{j+1} is a module over k , i.e. a k -vector space. By Lemma 2 below, $\dim_k m^j/m^{j+1} < \infty$.

Pick $\mathfrak{a} \subsetneq R$ & consider $\alpha_j = \mathfrak{a} \cap m^j$.

$$\alpha_j \hookrightarrow m^j \xrightarrow{\pi} m^j/m^{j+1}$$

$$\ker(\pi) = \mathfrak{a} \cap m^{j+1} = \mathfrak{a} \cap m^j \cap m^{j+1} = \mathfrak{a} \cap m^{j+1} = \alpha_{j+1}$$

So α_j/α_{j+1} is a k -subspace of m^j/m^{j+1} , so finite dimensional

Let $\{ \bar{a}_1^{(j)}, \dots, \bar{a}_{d_j}^{(j)} \}$ be a k -basis for α_j/α_{j+1} , with $a_1^{(j)}, \dots, a_{d_j}^{(j)} \in \alpha_j$.

For each $m \geq 1$, let $\tilde{\mathcal{A}} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ be the ideal of R generated by

$$B := \bigcup_{j \geq 1} \{a_1^{(j)}, \dots, a_{\alpha_j}^{(j)}\}$$

Since $M^n = (0)$, $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ is finitely generated ($\mathcal{A}_m \neq (0) \forall m \geq n$)
 Set $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_j = \tilde{\mathcal{A}} \cap M^j \quad \forall j = 1, \dots, n$.

Claim: $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_j = \mathcal{A}_j \quad \forall 1 \leq j \leq n$

Pf/ By reverse induction of j

• Base case: $j = n$ $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_n = \mathcal{A}_n = (0)$ since $M^n = (0)$

• Inductive step: We know $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{j+1} = \mathcal{A}_{j+1}$ by inductive hyp.

$$\text{So } \frac{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_j}{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{j+1}} \subseteq \frac{\mathcal{A}_j}{\mathcal{A}_{j+1}} = k \langle \bar{a}_1^{(j)}, \dots, \bar{a}_{\alpha_j}^{(j)} \rangle = \frac{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_j}{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{j+1}}$$

$$\text{but } a_1^{(j)}, \dots, a_{\alpha_j}^{(j)} \in \mathcal{A}_j \cap \tilde{\mathcal{A}} = \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_j$$

$$\text{So } \frac{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_j}{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{j+1}} = \frac{\mathcal{A}_j}{\mathcal{A}_{j+1}} \text{ is k-r.s of dim } < \infty \text{ \& } \mathcal{A}_{j+1} \subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_j \subseteq \mathcal{A}_j$$

So given $a \in \mathcal{A}_j \exists b \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_j$ with $a-b \in \mathcal{A}_{j+1} \subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_j$
 $\Rightarrow a \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_j$. We conclude $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_j = \mathcal{A}_j$ \square

The claim gives $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A} \cap M = \mathcal{A}_1 = \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_1 = \tilde{\mathcal{A}} \cap M = \tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ so \mathcal{A} is fg
 $\mathcal{A} \subseteq R \quad \tilde{\mathcal{A}} \subseteq \mathcal{A} \subseteq R$

So R is Noetherian by construction. \square

As a natural consequence of this proposition & the Structure Thm we get

Corollary Artinian \Rightarrow Noetherian

Lemma 2: If (R, \mathfrak{m}) is Artinian, then $\dim_{R/\mathfrak{m}} \mathfrak{m}^j / \mathfrak{m}^{j+1} < \infty \cdot \forall j$.

Pf/ We view $k = R/\mathfrak{m} = (R/\mathfrak{m}^{j+1}) / (\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^{j+1})$ (By 2nd Iso Thm for rings)

Then, subspaces (over k) in $\mathfrak{m}^j / \mathfrak{m}^{j+1}$ correspond bijectively to ideals I in R/\mathfrak{m}^{j+1} with $I \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^j / \mathfrak{m}^{j+1}$.

Why? $R \xrightarrow{\pi} R/\mathfrak{m}^{j+1}$ $I \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^j / \mathfrak{m}^{j+1}$ k -vs is also a R -mod (ideal). So

$$\mathfrak{m}^{j+1} \subseteq \pi^{-1}(I) \subseteq \pi^{-1}(\mathfrak{m}^j / \mathfrak{m}^{j+1}) \stackrel{(*)}{=} \mathfrak{m}^j + \mathfrak{m}^{j+1} = \mathfrak{m}^j \Rightarrow \mathfrak{m} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^{j+1} \\ \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{m}^{j+1} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^j$$

meaning $\frac{\mathfrak{m}}{\mathfrak{m}^{j+1}}$ annihilates I .

Conversely if $J \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^j / \mathfrak{m}^{j+1}$ & $\frac{\mathfrak{m}}{\mathfrak{m}^{j+1}} J = (0)$, then J is a k -module.

If $\dim_k \mathfrak{m}^j / \mathfrak{m}^{j+1} = \infty$, we can find an infinite strictly descending chain of subspaces, starting with a countable infinite, linear independent set & removing one such vector at a time. This sequence gives an infinite strictly descending chain of ideals in R/\mathfrak{m}^{j+1} annihilated by $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^{j+1}$.

This cannot happen because R/\mathfrak{m}^{j+1} is Artinian.

§3 Hensel's Lemma:

The next result, known as Hensel's Lemma, is used to factor any polynomial by working modulo $\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}^2, \dots$

The main instance of this is when we work with diophantine equations: we aim to factor over $\mathbb{Z}_p = \{ \frac{a}{b} : p \nmid b \}$

(computing the p -adic expansion of factors) Other applications include solving equations by p -adic approximation.

Hensel's Lemma: Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be an Artinian local ring.

Let $f(x) \in R[x]$ and assume we have $g(x), h(x) \in R[x]$ st.

• $f(x) - g(x)h(x) \in \mathfrak{m}[x] = \mathfrak{m}R[x]$

• $g(x)$ & $h(x)$ are coprime modulo \mathfrak{m} , i.e. $\exists a(x), b(x) \in R[x]$

s.t. $ag + bh = 1$ in $(R/\mathfrak{m})[x]$

Then, $\exists \tilde{g}, \tilde{h} \in R[x]$ st $f(x) = g(x)h(x)$ and

$g \equiv \tilde{g} \pmod{\mathfrak{m}[x]}$ & $h \equiv \tilde{h} \pmod{\mathfrak{m}[x]}$.

Proof: We will build approximations of g & h working modulo \mathfrak{m}^l for each $l \geq 1$.

Claim $\exists g_l, h_l \in R[x]$ st $f - g_l h_l \in \mathfrak{m}^l[x]$,

$g_l - g \in \mathfrak{m}[x]$ & $h_l - h \in \mathfrak{m}[x]$

Pf/ By induction on l

Basecase: $l=1$ Take $g_1 = g$ & $h_1 = h$ (statement's hypothesis)

Inductive Step: Assume we've constructed $\{g_l, h_l\}$. Let

$c_l(x) = f(x) - g_l(x)h_l(x) \in \mathfrak{m}^l[x]$.

Set $\begin{cases} g_{l+1} = g_l + c_l b \\ h_{l+1} = h_l + c_l a \end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} g_l - g_{l+1} \in \mathfrak{m}^l[x] \subseteq \mathfrak{m}[x] \\ h_l - h_{l+1} \in \mathfrak{m}^l[x] \subseteq \mathfrak{m}[x] \end{cases}$

Then $f(x) - g_{l+1}h_{l+1} = \underbrace{f(x) - g_l h_l}_{= c_l} - c_l(a g_l + b h_l) + c_l^2 ab$

$$= c_l (1 - \underbrace{(ag + bh)}_{\in 1 + \mathfrak{m}[x]}) + \underbrace{c_l a (g - g_l)}_{\substack{\in \mathfrak{m}^l[x] \\ \in \mathfrak{m}^{l+1}[x]}} + \underbrace{c_l b (h - h_l)}_{\substack{\in \mathfrak{m}^l[x] \\ \in \mathfrak{m}^{l+1}[x]}} + \underbrace{c_l^2 ab}_{\substack{\in \mathfrak{m}^{2l} \\ \in \mathfrak{m}^{l+1}[x]}}$$

So $f(x) - g_{l+1} h_{l+1} \in \mathfrak{m}^{l+1}[x]$ ✓

• $g_{l+1} - g = (g_{l+1} - g_l) + \underbrace{(g_l - g)}_{\in \mathfrak{m}[x] \text{ by IH}} \in \mathfrak{m}[x]$ ✓

• $h_{l+1} - h = (h_{l+1} - h_l) + (h_l - h) \in \mathfrak{m}[x]$ ✓

Using the claim for $l=n$ with $\mathfrak{m}^n = (0)$ we get

• $f - g_n h_n \in \mathfrak{m}^0(x) \implies f = g_n h_n$

• $g_n - g \in \mathfrak{m}[x]$ & $h_n - h \in \mathfrak{m}[x]$

Thus $\tilde{g} = g_n$ & $\tilde{h} = h_n$ satisfy the desired properties. □