

Lecture 24: Artinian Rings & Primary Decomposition

Last time: Artinian \Rightarrow dimension 0 & Noetherian (*)

Structure Theorem: finitely many max ideals $(\mathfrak{m}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{m}_e)$ and
 $R \cong R_{\mathfrak{m}_1} \times \dots \times R_{\mathfrak{m}_e}$ (each $R_{\mathfrak{m}_j}$ is Artinian & local)

TODAY we will show the converse to (*). The proof is based on "primary decomposition".

§1. Definition & examples:

Fix R to be any commutative ring

Definition: An ideal $\mathfrak{q} \subsetneq R$ is primary if for any $a, b \in R$ we have
" $ab \in \mathfrak{q}$ & $b \notin \mathfrak{q} \Rightarrow a^n \in \mathfrak{q}$ for some $n \geq 1$."

Obs: Equivalently, every zero divisor in R/\mathfrak{q} is nilpotent. (HW9)

Recall: The radical of an ideal \mathfrak{a} in R is

$$\sqrt{\mathfrak{a}} = \{ a \in R \mid a^n \in \mathfrak{a} \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N} \}$$

Lemma: $\mathfrak{q} \subsetneq R$ primary $\Rightarrow \sqrt{\mathfrak{q}}$ is prime

PF/ $a, b \in \mathcal{P} = \sqrt{\mathfrak{q}} \Rightarrow a^k b^k \in \mathfrak{q}$ for some $k > 0$

\Rightarrow either $\underbrace{b^k \in \mathfrak{q}}_{\substack{\downarrow \\ b \in \mathcal{P}}} \text{ or } \underbrace{(a^k)^n \in \mathfrak{q}}_{\substack{\downarrow \\ a \in \mathcal{P}}}$ for some $n \geq 1$. □

Obs: The difference between \mathfrak{q} & $\mathcal{P} = \sqrt{\mathfrak{q}}$ is algebraic and highlights the difference between a fat point (point with multiplicity) vs the point as a set. (The "algebraic part" of Alg Geometry)

Examples: ① $R = \mathbb{K}[x]$ (x^n) is primary. $\sqrt{(x^n)} = (x)$ prime

$ab \in (x^n)$ then $x \mid a$ or $x \mid b$. This forces
 $a^n \in (x^n)$ or $b^n \in (x^n)$. (if $x^n \nmid b$, then $x \mid a$).

② $R = \mathbb{K}[x, y]$ $\mathfrak{q} = (x, y^2)$ is primary but NOT a power of a prime ideal.

$$\mathfrak{p} = r(\mathfrak{q}) = (x, y) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{p}^2 \subsetneq \mathfrak{q} \subsetneq \mathfrak{p} \quad (x \notin \mathfrak{p}^2)$$

$$(*) \quad fg \in \mathfrak{q} \quad \text{write} \quad \begin{aligned} f &= a_0 + x f_1(x, y) + y f_2(y) & a_0 \in \mathbb{K} \\ g &= b_0 + x g_1(x, y) + y g_2(y) & b_0 \in \mathbb{K} \end{aligned}$$

$g \notin \mathfrak{q}$ means $b_0 \neq 0$ or $(f_2(y) \neq 0 \text{ and } b_0 = 0)$

• Case 1: $b_0 \neq 0$

$$\Rightarrow fg = a_0 b_0 + x(g f_1 + a_0 g_1 + g_1 y f_2(y)) + y(f_2 g + a_0 g_2(y))$$

Since $fg \in (x, y^2)$, then $a_0 b_0 = 0$, so $a_0 = 0$.

$$\Rightarrow fg = \underbrace{x(f_1 g + g_1 f_2(y) g_1)}_{\in \mathfrak{q}} + \underbrace{y^2 f_2 g_2(y)}_{\in \mathfrak{q}} + b_0 y f_2(y)$$

$$\Rightarrow b_0 y f_2(y) \in \mathfrak{q} \quad \text{so} \quad b_0 y f_2(y) = x h_1(x, y) + y^2 h_2(x, y)$$

Evaluate at $x=0$ to get $b_0 y f_2(y) = y^2 h_2(0, y)$

$$\Rightarrow y | f_2(y) \quad \text{so} \quad f = 0 + x f_1(x, y) + y^2 \underbrace{\left(\frac{f_2}{y}\right)}_{\in \mathbb{R}} \in \mathfrak{q}.$$

• Case 2: $b_0 = 0$ & $y \nmid g_2(y)$

$$\Rightarrow fg = \underbrace{x(a_0 g_1 + x f_1 g_1 + y f_2(y) g_1 + y f_1 g_2(y))}_{\in \mathfrak{q}} + \underbrace{y^2 f g_2 + y a_0 g_2(y)}_{\in \mathfrak{q}}$$

$$\Rightarrow y a_0 g_2(y) \in \mathfrak{q} \quad \text{so} \quad y a_0 g_2(y) = x h_1(x, y) + y^2 h_2(x, y)$$

$$\xrightarrow{x=0} y a_0 g_2(y) = y^2 h_2(0, y) \quad \text{so} \quad y | g_2(y) \quad \text{or} \quad \boxed{a_0 = 0}$$

Contra!

$$\Rightarrow f = x f_1 + y f_2(y) \Rightarrow f^2 = x^2 f_1^2 + y^2 f_2^2 + 2xy f_1 f_2 \in (x, y^2) = \mathfrak{q}.$$

Note: $r(\mathfrak{q}) = (x, y)$ is maximal in $\mathbb{K}[x, y]$. □

This last example is more general (see HW9)

Proposition: If R is commutative & $\mathfrak{r}(\mathfrak{q})$ is maximal, then \mathfrak{q} is primary.

Example 3 $R = \mathbb{K}[x, y, z] / (xy - z^2) \supset \mathfrak{p} = (\bar{x}, \bar{z})$

\mathfrak{p} is a prime ideal but \mathfrak{p}^2 is not primary.

• $R/\mathfrak{p} = \mathbb{K}[x, y, z] / (x, z, xy - z^2) = \frac{\mathbb{K}[x, y, z]}{(x, z)} = \mathbb{K}[y]$ integral domain

• $\mathfrak{p}^2 = (\bar{x}^2, \bar{z}^2, \bar{x}\bar{z})$

$\bar{z}^2 = \bar{y}\bar{x} \in \mathfrak{p}^2$ & $\bar{x} \notin \mathfrak{p}^2$ but $\bar{y} \notin \mathfrak{r}(\mathfrak{p}^2)$. (Exercise)

⚠ We do have $\bar{y} \notin \mathfrak{p}^2$ but $\bar{x}^2 \in \mathfrak{p}^2$, i.e., the definition of primary is not symmetric in f & g .

Summary of examples:

- \mathfrak{q} primary $\Rightarrow \mathfrak{q} =$ power of a prime ideal
- \mathfrak{p} prime $\Rightarrow \mathfrak{p}^n$ primary.
- $\mathfrak{r}(\mathfrak{q})$ is maximal $\Rightarrow \mathfrak{q}$ primary

§ 2. Irreducible ideals:

Def: An ideal $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq R$ is irreducible if $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{b} \cap \mathfrak{c}$ with $\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{c} \subseteq R$ ideals, then $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{b}$ or $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{c}$.

Terminology comes from topology: if $R = \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, then $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}$ & \mathfrak{c} define closed sets in \mathbb{C}^n (solutions to polynomials)

in each ideal: $V(\mathfrak{a})$, $V(\mathfrak{b})$ & $V(\mathfrak{c})$. Moreover:

$$\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{b} \cap \mathfrak{c} \text{ translates to } V(\mathfrak{a}) = V(\mathfrak{b}) \cup V(\mathfrak{c})$$

So we can decompose $V(\mathfrak{a})$.

Lemma: Assume R is Noetherian, Then:

(i) Every ideal in R is a finite intersection of irreducible ideals.

(ii) Irreducible \Rightarrow Primary

Proof: (i) Consider $\Sigma = \{ \mathfrak{a} \subseteq R \text{ ideal : } \mathfrak{a} \text{ is not a finite intersection of irred. ideals} \}$

• If $\Sigma \neq \emptyset$ it must have a maximal element (R Noeth) say $\mathfrak{a} \in \Sigma$ is this mxl element.

Since \mathfrak{a} is not irreducible (otherwise $\mathfrak{a} \notin \Sigma$), then

$$\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{b} \cap \mathfrak{c} \text{ with } \mathfrak{a} \subsetneq \mathfrak{b} \text{ \& } \mathfrak{a} \subsetneq \mathfrak{c} \text{ ideals.}$$

Now $\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{c} \notin \Sigma$ by maximality of \mathfrak{a} , so

$$\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{b}_1 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{b}_k \quad \text{with } \mathfrak{b}_i, \mathfrak{c}_j \text{ irreducible}$$

$$\mathfrak{c} = \mathfrak{c}_1 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{c}_l$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{b}_1 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{b}_k \cap \mathfrak{c}_1 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{c}_l \notin \Sigma \text{ Contr!}$$

Conclude: $\Sigma = \emptyset$.

(ii) Fix $\mathfrak{a} \subsetneq R$ irreducible ideal.

Working with $\tilde{R} = R/\mathfrak{a}$, we may assume (0) is an irreducible ideal

\hookrightarrow Still Noetherian

$$\text{Let } \begin{matrix} xy \in (0) \\ y \notin (0) \end{matrix}$$

$$\text{ie } xy = 0 \text{ \& } y \neq 0$$

We want to prove $x^n = 0$
for some $n > 0$.

Consider the chain of ideals:

$$\text{Ann}(x) \subseteq \text{Ann}(x^2) \subseteq \dots \subseteq \text{Ann}(x^i) \subseteq \dots$$

$$[\text{Ann}(z) = \{ r \in R \mid rz = 0 \} \subseteq_{\text{ideal}} R]$$

Since R is Noetherian, $\exists n > 0$ st $\text{Ann}(x^n) = \text{Ann}(x^{n+1}) = \dots$

Claim: $(0) = (x^n) \cap (y)$.

Pf/ $\left. \begin{array}{l} a \in (y) \Rightarrow ax = 0 \quad (\text{since } xy = 0) \\ a \in (x^n) \Rightarrow a = bx^n \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow bx^{n+1} = 0.$

But $bx^{n+1} = 0 \Rightarrow b \in \text{Ann}(x^{n+1}) = \text{Ann}(x^n)$ so $bx^n = 0$.

So $a = bx^n = 0$.

Since (0) is irreducible and $(y) \neq (0)$, we conclude $(x^n) = (0)$, i.e. $x^n = 0$ as required \square

This lemma is referred to as "Primary Decomposition for Noetherian rings". We will come back to this next time.

§ 3. Characterization of primary ideals:

Fix R Noetherian & commutative. Let $\mathfrak{a} \subsetneq R$ be an ideal.

Write $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{q}_1 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{q}_\ell$ (a primary decomposition of \mathfrak{a})

\swarrow \nwarrow
irreducible (\Rightarrow primary)

Let $\mathfrak{p}_i = \mathfrak{r}(\mathfrak{q}_i)$ be the corresponding prime ideals.

Lemma: If $\mathfrak{p} \subsetneq R$ is a prime ideal, minimal among the set of prime ideals containing \mathfrak{a} , then $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}_i$ for some $i = 1, \dots, \ell$.

Pf/ By Theorem 2 of Prime Avoidance (Lecture 17), we have $\mathfrak{q}_1 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{q}_\ell \subseteq \mathfrak{p} \Rightarrow \mathfrak{q}_i \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ for some i .

Hence $\mathfrak{p}_i = \mathfrak{r}(\mathfrak{q}_i) \subseteq \mathfrak{r}(\mathfrak{p}) = \mathfrak{p}$, but

$\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_i \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ & \mathfrak{p} minimal $\Rightarrow \mathfrak{p}_i = \mathfrak{p}$.

\square

Def. The minimal primes of R are the prime ideals of R , minimal with respect to inclusion

Corollary: There are only finitely many minimal primes over any given ideal \mathcal{O} of a Noetherian ring R . (\Leftrightarrow min primes in R/\mathcal{O})