

Lecture 32: General Jordan canonical forms - Cayley-Hamilton

Recall: Last time we talked about minimal polynomials of matrices $A \in \text{Mat}_{n \times n}(\mathbb{K})$

Defined $\Psi: \mathbb{K}[x] \longrightarrow \mathbb{K}[A] \subset \text{End}_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathbb{K}^n)$

$$P(x) \longmapsto P(A)$$

$$\sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^i \longmapsto \sum_{i=0}^n a_i A^i \quad (A^0 = I_d)$$

• Ψ ring homomorphism, & \mathbb{K} -linear

• $\text{Ker } \Psi \neq (0) \implies \text{Ker } \Psi = (\varphi_A)$ φ_A monic in $\mathbb{K}[x]$
 = minimal poly of A .

Obs: $\varphi_{G^{-1}AG} = \varphi_A$ for any $G \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{K})$

• If \mathbb{K}^n is cyclic ($\exists v \in \mathbb{K}^n$ with $\{v, Av, A^2v, \dots\}$ generating \mathbb{K}^n), then $\varphi_A = x^n + a_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \dots + a_0$

(1) $\exists B = \{v, Av, A^2v, \dots, A^{n-1}v\}$ basis with

$$[A]_{BB} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & & & -a_0 \\ 1 & & & -a_1 \\ & \ddots & & \vdots \\ 0 & & 1 & -a_{n-1} \end{bmatrix} = C_{\varphi_A} \text{ Companion matrix for polynomial } \varphi_A.$$

$\varphi_A = x^n + a_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \dots + a_0$.

(2) If $\mathbb{K} = \overline{\mathbb{K}}$, $\text{char } \mathbb{K} = 0$ and $\varphi_A = (x - \alpha)^n$, then \mathbb{K}^n is cyclic & $B = \{v, (A - \alpha I)(v), \dots, (A - \alpha I)^{n-1}(v)\}$ is a basis for some $v \in \mathbb{K}^n$

$$[A]_{BB} = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & & & 0 \\ 1 & \alpha & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \\ 0 & & 1 & \alpha \end{bmatrix} = J(\alpha, n) \text{ (Jordan block)}$$

In the noncyclic case, we break K^n into cyclic $K[A]$ -modules

$$(1) K^n = V_1 \oplus \dots \oplus V_r \quad K[A] \subset V_i = (K^n)_{q_i} \text{ cyclic}$$

$$B = B_1 \cup \dots \cup B_r \quad (B_i \text{ basis for } V_i) \quad \text{with}$$

$$[A]_{B_0} = \begin{bmatrix} \boxed{C_{q_1}} & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \boxed{C_{q_r}} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{with } q_1 | q_2 | \dots | q_r$$

$$q_r = q_A.$$

(Rational Normal Form)

$$(2) \underline{K} = \overline{K} \quad \text{char } K = 0$$

$$q_A = \prod_{i=1}^s (x - \alpha_i)^{m_i}$$

$$\text{ms } V = V'_1 \oplus \dots \oplus V'_s \quad \text{with } q_{A|V'_i} = (x - \alpha_i)^{m_i}$$

$$\exists \text{ bases } B'_1, \dots, B'_s \text{ of } V'_1, \dots, V'_s \text{ with } B = \bigcup_{i=1}^s B'_i$$

$$[A]_B = \begin{pmatrix} \boxed{A_1} & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \boxed{A_s} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{Furthermore, } A_i = [A|_{V'_i}]_{B'_i|B'_i}$$

can be further decomposed as:

$$A_i = \begin{bmatrix} \boxed{J(\alpha_i, m_1^{(i)})} & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \boxed{J(\alpha_i, m_{s_i}^{(i)})} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{with } m_i = m_1^{(i)} \geq \dots \geq m_{s_i}^{(i)}$$

(Jordan canonical form)

Q: What else can we say?

§1 General Jordan form

Obs: Written additively, we get:

$$[A]_{\mathcal{B}} = D + N$$

- $D =$ diagonal part.
 - $N =$ nilpotent ($N^{\dim V} = \mathbb{0}$).
 - $[A]_{\mathcal{B}}, D$ & N commute
- $\varphi_D = \prod_{i=1}^r (x - \alpha_i)$
 $\Rightarrow \varphi_N = x^l$ for some l .

There is a more general version of Jordan canonical forms, that work over perfect fields (char 0 is enough).

Theorem: Let K be a perfect field, $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, $A \in \text{Mat}_{n \times n}(K)$

Then $\exists!$ $A_S, A_N \in \text{Mat}_{n \times n}(K)$ st

- (1) $A = A_S + A_N$. (Jordan-Chevalley decomposition of A)
- (2) A_S & A_N are polynomial in A .
- (2*) $[A_S, A] = [A_N, A] = [A_S, A_N] = \mathbb{0}$.
- (3) A_S is semisimple & A_N is nilpotent.

• A_N nilpotent means $\varphi_{A_N}(x) = x^l$ for some l

• A_S semisimple means $(\varphi_{A_S}, \varphi'_{A_S}) = 1$.

(Alternatively $\varphi_{A_S} = \prod_{i=1}^r f_i(x)$ $f_i =$ distinct monic irreducibles.)

Easy case: $\varphi_A = (x - \lambda)^d$ for

$$\Rightarrow A_N = (A - \lambda I_n) \quad \& \quad A_S = A - A_N$$

• A & A_N commute, A & A_S commute, A_N & A_S commute ✓

• A_N nilpotent $(A_N)^d = (A - \lambda I_n)^d = \mathbb{O}$ in $\text{Mat}_{n \times n}(K)$

• Claim 1: $q_{A_S} = (x - \lambda)$ (so $(q_{A_S} - q'_{A_S}) = 1$)

$$A - A_N - \lambda I_n = A - (A - \lambda I_n) - \lambda I_n = 0 \quad \checkmark$$

• $x - \lambda$ is irreducible so $\ker \Psi = (x - \lambda)$ ($\ker \Psi \neq K[x]$)

• For $K = \bar{K}$, see HW 11 (semisimple = diagonalizable)

• For perfect fields, you need Galois Thm.

• Uniqueness: $A_S + A_N = A'_S + A'_N$
 $A_S - A'_S = A'_N - A_N$

• $A'_N = P(A)$, $A_N = Q(A)$ so A'_N & A_N commute

$\Rightarrow A'_N - A_N$ is nilpotent

• $A_S = R(A)$, $A'_S = T(A)$ so they commute.

Fact: Two diagonalizable matrices that commute can be diagonalized simultaneously, so $A_S - A'_S$ is semisimple

Only one semisimple and nilpotent matrix = \mathbb{O} .

§ 2 Characteristic polynomial:

Find V an n -dim'l K -vector space & $A: V \rightarrow V$ a k -linear map. We have

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K[x] & \longrightarrow & K[A] \\ x & \longmapsto & A \end{array}$$

Def: We define the characteristic polynomial of A as

$$\chi_A = \det(x I_n - A)$$

Obs: If $A \sim C$, meaning $C = G^{-1}AG$ $\Rightarrow G \in GL_n(K)$,

then, $\chi_C = \chi_A$.

Indeed: $\chi_C = \det(xI_n - G^{-1}AG)$
 $= \det(G^{-1}(xI - A)G) = \det G^{-1} \det(xI_n - A) \det G$
 $= \chi_A$

Lemma: If $\varphi: K \rightarrow K'$ is a homomorphism of rings between 2 fields, then: $\chi_{\varphi(M)} = \varphi(\chi_M)$

(Here, φ extends to $\varphi: K[x] \rightarrow K'[x]$)

Proof: Exercise.

Theorem (Cayley-Hamilton) $\chi_A(A) = 0$ (ie $q_A | \chi_A$)

Proof: We'll use the Rational Normal form of A .

$$[A]_{\mathcal{B}\mathcal{B}} = \begin{bmatrix} C_{q_1} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & C_{q_r} \end{bmatrix} \quad q_1 | q_2 | \dots | q_r = q_A$$

$$\det(xI_n - A) = \det \begin{bmatrix} xI_n - C_{q_1} & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & xI_n - C_{q_r} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\stackrel{\text{block decomp}}{\Rightarrow} \chi_{C_{q_1}} \dots \chi_{C_{q_r}} \stackrel{\text{by Lemma below}}{\Rightarrow} q_A$$

so $q_A | \chi_A$.

□

Lemma: $\chi_{C_f} = f$ for any monic polynomial $f \in K[x]$.

Pr/ By induction on degree of f

• $\deg f = 1$: $\chi_{C_{x+a_0}} = \det(x+a_0) = x+a_0$ $C_{x+a_0} = [-a_0]$

• $\deg f = m \implies f = x^m + a_{m-1}x^{m-1} + \dots + a_0$

$$C_f = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & & & -a_0 \\ 1 & & & -a_1 \\ & \ddots & & \vdots \\ & & 0 & -a_{m-1} \end{bmatrix} \implies X I_m - C_f = \begin{bmatrix} x & 0 & \dots & a_0 \\ -1 & x & & a_1 \\ & -1 & x & \vdots \\ & & & -1 & x + a_{m-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

$\det(X I_m - C_f)$ is computed by column expansion:

$$\chi_{C_f} = x \det \begin{bmatrix} x & \dots & a_0 \\ -1 & x & a_1 \\ & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & -1 & x + a_{m-1} \end{bmatrix} + 1 \det \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \dots & a_0 \\ -1 & x & a_1 \\ & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & -1 & x + a_{m-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

$\underbrace{\hspace{15em}}_{=}$
 $\underbrace{\hspace{15em}}_{(-1)^{m-1+1} a_0 \det \begin{bmatrix} -1 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & \vdots \\ & & -1 & x \end{bmatrix}}$

$\underbrace{\hspace{15em}}_{= (-1)^{m-2}}$

$$= x \chi_{C_{\frac{f-a_0}{x}}} + (-1)^{2m-2} a_0$$

$$\stackrel{\text{IH}}{=} x \frac{f-a_0}{x} + a_0 = f(x) - a_0 + a_0 = f(x) \quad \square$$

Alternative Proof of CH:

To show: $\chi_A(A)(v) = 0 \quad \forall v \text{ in } V.$

Pick any $v \in V$ & consider $V' = K[A] \cdot v$ that is, the vector space spanned by $\{v, Av, A^2v, \dots\}$.

We know we can find d with $B' = \{v, Av, \dots, A^{d-1}v\}$ a basis for V' . Assume $\dim V = n$.

We extend B' to a basis B of V . Then we set

$$[A]_{BB} = \begin{array}{c|c} \begin{array}{c} d \\ \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{c} n-d \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \hline \\ n-d \end{array} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} A_1 \quad A_2 \\ \hline 0 \quad A_3 \end{array} \quad \text{where } A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & & & -a_0 \\ 1 & 0 & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \\ 0 & & 1 & -a_{d-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{So } A_1 = C_{\varphi_{A|V'}}$$

Then using the same block decomposition, we set.

$$\chi_A = \chi_{A_1} \cdot \chi_{A_3} \cdot \underset{\text{Lemma}}{\uparrow} \varphi_{A|V'} \cdot \chi_{A_3} = \chi_{A_3} \varphi_{A|V'}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{So } \chi_A(A)(v) &= \left(\chi_{A_3}(A) \cdot \varphi_{A|V'}(A) \right) (v) \\ &= \chi_{A_3}(A) \left(\underbrace{\varphi_{A|V'}(A)(v)}_{=0 \ (v \in V')} \right) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Obs: The result is true for matrices over any commutative ring R .

We can show this by proving the polynomial

$$\chi_A(x) = x^n + b_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \dots + b_0 \quad \text{where } b_i \in \mathbb{Z}[a_{ij}]$$

vanishes on $A = (a_{ij})$ inside a dense open set of $\text{Mat}_{n \times n}(R)$.

We can pick diagonalizable matrices as such set.

Alternative proof: HW 11 Problem 18.

□