

Lecture 38: Determinants, Gaussian decomposition, bilinear forms

§1 Minors:

Recall: $f: V \rightarrow W$ $\dim V = n$, $\dim W = m$ $B = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$
 $B' = \{w_1, \dots, w_m\}$

(i,j) minor: $\Delta_{\substack{\underline{i} \\ \underline{j}}}^i(f) = \text{coeff of } w_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge w_{i_k} \text{ in } \Lambda^k(f) (v_{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge v_{j_k})$
 $\underline{i} = \{i_1, \dots, i_k\} \in \binom{[m]}{k}$ $\underline{j} = \{j_1, \dots, j_k\} \in \binom{[n]}{k}$

• For $n=m=k$ $\Lambda^n(f): \Lambda^n(V) \rightarrow \Lambda^n(W)$
 $\text{Sp}(v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_n)$ $\xrightarrow{\quad}$ $\text{Sp}(w_1 \wedge \dots \wedge w_n)$

$$\det(f) = \det([f]_{BB'})$$

$$\mathbb{K} \xrightarrow{\det(f)} \mathbb{K}$$

(HW 13)
Problem 10

Prop: $\det(f \circ g) = \det(f) \cdot \det(g)$

Proof See HW 13 Problem 11.

§2. Gaussian Decomposition:

Fix $X \in GL_n(\mathbb{K})$

Def: We say X admits a Gaussian decomposition if
 (HW 13 P 14)

$$X = X^- X^0 X^+$$

where $X^0 = \text{diagonal matrix}$

$$X^- = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & * \\ & \ddots \\ 0 & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$X^+ = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ * & \ddots \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Theorem 1: Gaussian decompositions are unique.

$$\text{BF/ } X^- X^0 X^+ = Y^- Y^0 Y^+$$

$$(Y^-)^{-1} X^- X^0 (X^+) (Y^+)^{-1} = Y^0$$

Gaussian decomp of Y^0

since $(Y^-)^{-1} X^- = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \kappa \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \kappa \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \kappa \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \kappa \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \kappa \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$

$(X^+) (Y^+)^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \kappa \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \kappa \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \kappa \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \kappa \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \kappa \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$

So it's enough to show it for diagonal matrices.

Claim: $X^- X^0 X^+ = Y^0$ diagonal $\Rightarrow X^- = X^+ = I_n$

PF/ $\underbrace{X^0 X^+}_{\text{upper } \Delta} = \underbrace{(X^-) Y^0}_{\text{lower } \Delta}$ so both are diagonal (& invertible!)

$X^0 = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & x_n \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow X^0 X^+ = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \kappa \\ & & & x_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \kappa \\ & & & y_n \end{pmatrix}$

$Y^0 = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & y_n \end{pmatrix}$

for $x_1 = y_1, x_2 = y_2, \dots, x_n = y_n$

$X^+ = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \kappa \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow 0 = (X^0 X^+)_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^n (X^0)_{ik} (X^+)_{kj}$

$j > i$

$= (X^0)_{ii} (X^+)_{ij}$

$= \underset{\neq 0}{x_i} (X^+)_{ij}$

for $(X^+)_{ij} = 0 \quad \forall j > i \Rightarrow X^+ = I_n$.

Similarly: $X^- = I_n$ so $X^0 = Y^0$. □

Theorem 4: X admits G.D $\Leftrightarrow \Delta_{1, \dots, i}^{1, \dots, k}(X) \neq 0 \quad \forall i=1, \dots, n$

PF/ (\Rightarrow) $\Delta_{1, \dots, k}^{1, \dots, k}(X) = \Delta_{1, \dots, k}^{1, \dots, k}(X^- X^0 X^+)$

$= \Delta_{1, \dots, k}^{1, \dots, k} \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & \kappa & \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} d_1 & & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & d_k & \\ & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & \kappa & \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right)$

$= \det \begin{pmatrix} d_1 & & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & d_k & \\ & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} = d_1 \dots d_k$

So $\det(X) = d_1 \cdots d_n \neq 0 \iff$ all $d_i \neq 0$.

$$\Rightarrow \Delta_{1 \dots k}^{1 \dots k}(\Delta) = d_1 \cdots d_k \text{ nonzero } \forall k.$$

Moreover: $d_1 = \Delta_1^1(X)$

$$d_1 d_2 = \Delta_{1,2}^{1,2}(\Delta) \rightsquigarrow d_2 = \frac{\Delta_{1,2}^{1,2}(X)}{\Delta_1^1(X)}$$

In general $d_k = \frac{\Delta_{1, \dots, k}^{1, \dots, k}(X)}{\Delta_{1, \dots, k-1}^{1, \dots, k-1}(X)}$.

So X_0 is uniquely determined by the principal minors of X .

(\Leftarrow) Explicitly, write

$$(X^0)_{kk} = \begin{cases} \Delta_1^1(X) & k=1 \\ \frac{\Delta_{1, \dots, k}^{1, \dots, k}(X)}{\Delta_{1, \dots, k-1}^{1, \dots, k-1}(X)} & k>1 \end{cases}$$

$$(X^-)_{ji} = \frac{\Delta_{1, \dots, i-1, j}^{1, \dots, i-1, j}(X)}{\Delta_{1, \dots, i}^{1, \dots, i}(X)}, \quad (X^+)_{ji} = \frac{\Delta_{1, \dots, i-1, j}^{1, \dots, i}(X)}{\Delta_{1, \dots, i}^{1, \dots, i}(X)}$$

and check $X = X^- X^0 X^+$ (by induction $m \times n$) □

Obs: The construction is also true for $GL_n(\mathbb{R})$ where \mathbb{R} is not necessarily a commutative ring (define dets via column expansion).

Obs 2: Nm vanishing minors is an open condition in $\text{Mat}_{n \times n}(\mathbb{K})$

We have a dense open set $U \subseteq \text{Mat}_{n \times n}(\mathbb{K})$ which we parameterize as

(Big Bruhat Cell) $U \cong \mathbb{K}^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \times (\mathbb{K}^*)^n \times \mathbb{K}^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}$

(entries in X^-) (entries in X^0) (entries in X^+)
 Can prove statements on $\text{Mat}_{n \times n}(\mathbb{K})$ by restricting to U .

§3. Bilinear forms:

Fix V_1, V_2, W \mathbb{K} -vector spaces

Recall:
$$\text{Bil}_{\mathbb{K}}(V_1, V_2, W) = \{ f : V_1 \times V_2 \rightarrow W \text{ bilinear} \}$$

$$\parallel$$

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{K}}(V_1 \otimes V_2, W)$$

$$f_{(v_1, -)} \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{K}}(V_2, W), \quad f_{(-, v_2)} \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{K}}(V_1, W)$$

Def: A bilinear form on $V_1 \times V_2$ is an element of $\text{Bil}(V_1, V_2, \mathbb{K})$

Def: A bilinear form on $V_1 \times V_2$ is non-degenerate if

$$V_1 \xrightarrow{\quad} V_2^* \quad \& \quad V_2 \xrightarrow{\quad} V_1^*$$

$$v_1 \longmapsto f_{(v_1, -)} \quad \quad \quad v_2 \longmapsto f_{(-, v_2)}$$

So if V_1 or V_2 have finite-dimensions, we get $V_1 \cong V_2^*$, $V_2 \cong V_1^*$
 & $\dim V_1 = \dim V_2$

Motivation: Poincaré Duality

X smooth compact manifold of $\dim = n$

(v1)
$$H_k(X) \otimes H^k(X) \xrightarrow{\quad} \mathbb{R} \quad \text{is non-deg. } \forall 0 \leq k \leq n$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^m a_i S_i \otimes \sum_{j=1}^l b_j \psi_j \longmapsto \sum_{i,j} a_i b_j \int_{S_i} \psi_j \quad a_i, b_j \in \mathbb{R}$$

$S_i = k$ -cell (simplicial) : $\Delta_k \hookrightarrow S_i \subseteq X$

$\psi_i = k$ -form on X (exterior) : $\int_{S_i} \psi_i = \int_{\Delta_k} S^* \psi_i$ (Riemann integral)

(v2)
$$H^{n-k}(X) \otimes H^k(X) \xrightarrow{\quad} \mathbb{R} \quad \forall 0 \leq k \leq n$$

$$\psi \otimes \xi \longmapsto \int_X \psi \wedge \xi$$

Poincaré duality:
$$H_k(X) \underset{(v1)}{\cong} (H^k(X))^* \underset{(v2)}{\cong} H^{n-k}(X) \quad \forall 0 \leq k \leq n$$

Assume $\dim V_1 = \dim V_2 = n$ & pick $B_1 = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ basis for V_1 ,
 $B_2 = \{w_1, \dots, w_n\}$ ——— V_2

Write $f \in \text{Bil}(V_1, V_2, K)$ via $\langle v_i, w_j \rangle = f(v_i, w_j)$
 & build an $n \times n$ matrix Q with $Q_{ij} = \langle v_i, w_j \rangle$

Prop.: f is non-degenerate if & only if Q is invertible.

$$\text{Pf/ } (\Rightarrow) \quad V_1 \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} V_2^*$$

$$v \longmapsto \{ w \mapsto f(v, w) \}$$

$$\text{So } \varphi_1(v_j)(w_i) = f(v_j, w_i) = Q_{ji}$$

$$\text{This means } \varphi_1(v_j) = \sum_{i=1}^n Q_{ji} w_i^*$$

$$[\varphi_1]_{B_1, B_2^*} = Q^T$$

Since φ_1 is lin & $\dim V_1 = \dim V_2^* = n < \infty$, we conclude φ_1 is an iso. Thus, we conclude Q^T (and hence Q) is invertible.

$$\text{Similarly } V_2 \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} V_1^*$$

$$w_j \longmapsto (v_i \mapsto f(v_i, w_j) = Q_{ij})$$

$$\text{So } \varphi_2(w_j) = \sum_{i=1}^n Q_{ij} v_i^* \quad \& \text{ so } [\varphi_2]_{B_2, B_1^*} = Q.$$

Conclude Q is invertible.

(\Leftarrow) Q is invertible & Q^T are invertible.

$$\text{Also } [\varphi_1]_{B_1, B_2^*} = Q^T, \quad [\varphi_2]_{B_2, B_1^*} = Q, \quad \text{so}$$

both φ_1 & φ_2 are invertible & f is non-degenerate. \square