

Lecture 39: Bilinear forms II (Symmetric forms)

Last time: $\text{Bil}_{\mathbb{K}}(V_1, V_2, \mathbb{K}) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{K}}(V_1 \otimes V_2, \mathbb{K}) = (V_1 \otimes V_2)^*$

$f \in \text{Bil}_{\mathbb{K}}(V_1, V_2, \mathbb{K})$ non-deg \Leftrightarrow induces injections

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V_1 & \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} & V_2^* \\ v & \longmapsto & f(v, -) \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} V_2 & \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} & V_1^* \\ v & \longmapsto & f(-, v) \end{array}$$

Prop: V_1, V_2 finite dimensional, f non-deg $\Rightarrow \dim V_1 = \dim V_2$

A: If $V_1 \cong \mathbb{K}^n \cong V_2$ write $B_1 = \{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_n\}$ basis for V_1
 $B_2 = \{\vec{w}_1, \dots, \vec{w}_n\}$ basis for V_2

$f \in \text{Bil}_{\mathbb{K}}(V_1, V_2, \mathbb{K}) \Leftrightarrow Q \in \text{Mat}_{n \times n}(\mathbb{K})$

$$f(v, w) = [v]_{B_1}^T Q [w]_{B_2} \iff Q_{ij} = f(v_i, w_j)$$

Note: $[\varphi_1]_{B_2, B_1^*} = Q^T$ $[\varphi_2]_{B_1, B_2^*} = Q$

Proposition: f is non-deg $\Leftrightarrow Q$ is invertible

Q: How to relate matrices in $\text{Bil}(\mathbb{K}^n, \mathbb{K}^n, \mathbb{K})$ & $\text{End}(\mathbb{K}^n)$?

A: Write $V = \mathbb{K}^n$ (with standard basis)

$$f \in \text{Bil}(V, V, \mathbb{K}) \quad f(v, w) = v^T Q w \quad \text{for some } Q \in \text{Mat}_{n \times n}(\mathbb{K})$$

$$\text{Bil}(V, V, \mathbb{K}) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{K}}(V \otimes V, \mathbb{K})$$

$$= (V \otimes V)^* \underset{\uparrow \text{fin dim}}{=} V^* \otimes V^*$$

$$\underset{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{prcl iso} \\ V \cong V^*}}{=} V \otimes V^* \underset{\downarrow \text{Hom-} \otimes \text{Adj}}{=} \text{End}(V)$$

§1 Symmetric, skew-symmetric & alternating forms:

Def. We say f in $\text{Bil}(V, V, \mathbb{K})$ is

- ① symmetric if $f(v, w) = f(w, v) \quad \forall v, w \in V$
 - ② skew-symmetric if $f(v, w) = -f(w, v) \quad \forall v, w \in V$
 - ③ alternating if $f(v, v) = 0 \quad \forall v \in V.$
- } same unless $\text{char } \mathbb{K} = 2$

Ex ① Dot product on $V = \mathbb{R}^n$ is symmetric.

In general $f(x, y) = x^T Q y$ with $Q = Q^T$ gives a symmetric bilinear form on \mathbb{K}^n .

Ex ② $V = \mathbb{K}$ & $f(x, y) = xy$ is symmetric not alternating bilinear form. Skew symmetric when $\text{char } \mathbb{K} = 2$

Ex ③ $f((x, y), (x', y')) = xy' - x'y = \det \begin{pmatrix} x & x' \\ y & y' \end{pmatrix}$ is skew-symmetric & alternating bilinear form on \mathbb{R}^2 .

Ex ④ Pick $u \in \mathbb{R}^3$, $f_u(v, w) = u \cdot (v \times w)$ is alternating, skew-sym. form on \mathbb{R}^3 .

Ex ⑤ $\dim V = n < \infty$ & $W = \text{End}_{\mathbb{K}}(V) = \text{Mat}_{n \times n}(\mathbb{K})$
 $f \in \text{Bil}(W, W, \mathbb{K})$ via $f(A, A') = \text{Tr}(AA')$ Trace form
symmetric by construction.

Ex ⑥ $V = C[0, 1] = \{f: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ cont.}\}$ inf-dim'd \mathbb{R} -v.s.

• $F: V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ via $F(g, h) = \int_0^1 g(x)h(x) dx$

F is a symmetric bilinear form.

• Pick $k: [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ continuous:

$F_k: V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ via $F_k(g, h) = \iint_{[0, 1]^2} g(x)h(y)k(x, y) dx dy$

• F_k bilinear but not symmetric. (unless k is).

Lemma: $f \in \text{Bil}(K^n, K^n, K)$ with associated matrix Q . Then

① f is symmetric if & only if $Q = Q^T$ (symmetric matrix)

② f is skew-symmetric if & only if $Q^T = -Q$ (skew-sym matrix)

③ f is alternating if & only if $Q^T = -Q$ & $Q_{ii} = 0 \forall i$.

Proposition: $\text{Bil}(V, V, K) \cong \text{Bil}^{\text{sym}}(V, V, K) \oplus \text{Bil}^{\text{skew-sym}}(V, V, K)$

(for $\text{char } K \neq 2$)

Pf/ $\varphi(f) = f_1 + f_2$ $f_1(v, w) = \frac{f(v, w) + f(w, v)}{2}$

$f_2(v, w) = \frac{f(v, w) - f(w, v)}{2}$ \square

Alternative: $(V \otimes V)^* \cong V^* \otimes V^* \cong S^2(V^*) \oplus \Lambda^2(V^*)$

Lemma: If $\text{char } K \neq 2$ and $\dim V < \infty$, then $f \in \text{Bil}^{\text{sym}}(V, V, K)$

is completely determined by $f(v, v) \forall v \in V$.

Pf/ say we want to determine $f(v, w)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} f(v+w, v+w) &= f(v, v+w) + f(w, v+w) \\ &= f(v, v) + f(v, w) + f(w, v) + f(w, w) \\ &= f(v, v) + 2f(v, w) + f(w, w) \end{aligned}$$

So $f(v, w) = \frac{f(v+w, v+w) - f(v, v) - f(w, w)}{2}$ \square

Q: How to work with degenerate symm. forms in $\text{Bil}(V, V, K)$?

A: Given $f \in \text{Bil}^{\text{sym}}(V, V, K)$, & $v \in V$, set

$$v^\perp = \{w \in V \mid f(v, w) = 0\}$$

$\text{Rad}(f) = \{v \mid f(v, -) = 0 \in V^*\} \subseteq V$ subspace.

If $V' = \frac{V}{\text{Rad}(f)}$ view $V = \underbrace{W}_{\substack{12 \\ V'}} \oplus \text{Rad}(f)$ (via a section)

Claim: $f|_{W \times W}$ is non-deg

PF/ The matrix for f has the form $\left(\begin{array}{c|c} W & \text{Rad}(f) \\ \hline Q & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 \end{array} \right)_{\text{Rad}(f)}$

If $w \in \ker(\varphi_1: W \rightarrow W^*)$
 $w' \mapsto f(w, w')$

then $f(w, w') = 0 \quad \forall w' \in W$.

But $f(w, v) = 0 \quad \forall v \in \text{Rad}(f)$

by symmetry $v \in \text{Rad}(f)$

} $f(w, v) = 0 \quad \forall v \in V$
so $w \in \text{Rad}(f)$

Conclude $w \in W \cap \text{Rad}(f) = 0$, so $\varphi_1: W \hookrightarrow W^*$.

Since f is symmetric $\varphi_2: W \hookrightarrow W^*$ as well.

□

Obs: Same idea works for skew-symmetric forms (we have v^\perp)

§ 2 Sylvester's Theorem:

GOAL Classify symmetric non-deg bilinear forms on $V \cong \mathbb{R}^n$
via invariants

STEP 1: Degenerate vs non-degenerate

① 1st invariant = rank of $f = \text{rk}([f]) = \dim V - \dim \text{Rad}(f)$

STEP 2: Classify non-deg symm forms = Sylvester's Thm

② 2nd invariant = signature of f

Sylvester's Theorem: Fix $f: V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ non-deg sym bil form


Then \exists basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ of V s.t. $f(e_i, e_j) = \pm \delta_{ij}$
Moreover, the # of > 0 is independent of the basis.

Def Signature(f) = # 1's (For degenerate forms = (# 1's, # -1's))

Proof STEP 1 Gram-Schmidt algorithm:

INPUT: $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ basis for $V \cong \mathbb{R}^n$

OUTPUT: $\{w_1, \dots, w_n\}$ basis for $V \cong \mathbb{R}^n$ with $f(w_i, w_j) = 0$ if $i \neq j$

$w_1 = v_1 \neq 0$, so $f(w_1, w_1) \neq 0$  This need not happen!

$w_2 = v_2 - \frac{f(w_1, v_2)}{f(w_1, w_1)} w_1$ satisfies $\in \mathbb{R}$

$$f(w_1, w_2) = f(w_1, v_2 - \frac{f(w_1, v_2)}{f(w_1, w_1)} w_1)$$


$$\stackrel{f(w_1, -) \text{ linear}}{=} f(w_1, v_2) - \frac{f(w_1, v_2)}{f(w_1, w_1)} f(w_1, w_1) = 0$$

$\cdot \{w_1, w_2\}$ is li since $\{v_1, v_2\}$ are. So $w_2 \neq 0$

For $k < n$: Assume we've constructed $\{w_1, \dots, w_k\}$ satisfying

① $Sp(v_1, \dots, v_k) = Sp(w_1, \dots, w_k) \Rightarrow \{w_1, \dots, w_k\}$ li

② $w_i \neq 0$ & $f(w_i, w_j) = 0 \quad \forall i \neq j \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq k$.

f non-deg, & $w_i \neq 0$ so $f(w_i, w_i) \neq 0 \quad \forall i$.  This need not happen!

$$\text{Then } w_{k+1} = v_{k+1} - \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{f(w_i, v_{k+1})}{f(w_i, w_i)} w_i$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{So } f(w_j, w_{k+1}) &= f(w_j, v_{k+1} - \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{f(w_i, v_{k+1})}{f(w_i, w_i)} w_i) \\ &= f(w_j, v_{k+1}) - \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{f(w_i, v_{k+1})}{f(w_i, w_i)} f(w_j, w_i) \\ &\stackrel{\text{only } i=j \text{ survives}}{=} f(w_j, v_{k+1}) - \frac{f(w_j, v_{k+1})}{f(w_j, w_j)} f(w_j, w_j) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

By construction

① $Sp(v_1, \dots, v_{k+1}) = Sp(w_1, \dots, w_{k+1})$, so

② $\{w_1, \dots, w_{k+1}\}$ is li (& $w_i \neq 0 \quad \forall i$)

STEP 2: Set $S = \{i : f(w_i, w_i) > 0\}$.

Then, reorder $\{w_1, \dots, w_n\}$ so that

$$\begin{cases} f(w_i, w_i) > 0 & i = 1, \dots, s \\ f(w_i, w_i) < 0 & i = s+1, \dots, n \end{cases}$$

$$\varepsilon_j = \begin{cases} \frac{w_j}{\sqrt{f(w_j, w_j)}} & \text{for } j = 1, \dots, s \\ \frac{w_j}{\sqrt{-f(w_j, w_j)}} & \text{for } j = s+1, \dots, n \end{cases}$$

So $\{\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n\}$ is a basis, $f(\varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_j) = 0$ for $i \neq j$ &

$$f(\varepsilon_j, \varepsilon_j) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } j = 1, \dots, s \\ -1 & \text{for } j = s+1, \dots, n \end{cases}$$

STEP 3 Show S is an invariant of f . (Next time!) \square

! There is an issue with STEP 1 of the proof. Even if f is nm -deg symmetric bilinear form, this does not mean that $f(v, v) \neq 0$ whenever $v \neq 0$. We will fix it next time!