

## Lecture 18: Local rings, nilpotent elements & rings of fractions

TODAY: Fix  $R$  to be a commutative ring.

Recall: An ideal  $M \subsetneq R$  is maximal if the only ideals of  $R$  containing  $M$  are  $M$  &  $R$ . (Equivalently:  $R/M$  is a field)

• Maximal ideals exist (Zorn's Lemma)

Def:  $R$  is a local ring if it has only one maximal ideal [Write  $(R, M)$ ]

③  $R = \mathbb{K}[[x]]$  = power series in one variable over  $\mathbb{K}$ .

Claim:  $R$  is a ring.

Operations: . . Componentwise addition

$$\left( \sum_{k \geq 0} a_k x^k \right) + \left( \sum_{k \geq 0} b_k x^k \right)$$

- Multiplication:  $\left( \sum_{k \geq 0} a_k x^k \right) \left( \sum_{l \geq 0} b_l x^l \right)$

Q: Why is  $R$  local?

Obs: In our definition of  $+$  &  $\cdot$  in  $\mathbb{K}[x]$  only finitely many operations in  $\mathbb{K}$  were performed to get the coefficient of  $x^n$  once  $n$  is fixed.

Eg  $\sum_{k=0}^n a_k b_{n-k}$  &  $a_n + b_n$  gave the  $x^n$ -coeff of  $\cdot$  &  $+$ .

The same idea will give us a ring structure on

$$\boxed{\mathbb{K}((x))} = \text{Laurent series} = \left\{ \sum_{j=-N}^{\infty} a_j x^j \mid a_j \in \mathbb{K} \ \forall j \geq -N, \ N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \right\}$$

Fun exercise: This definition of  $\cdot$  will not work for the abelian gp

$$\mathbb{K}[[x^{-1}, x]] = \left\{ \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} a_j x^j \mid a_j \in \mathbb{K} \ \forall j \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$$

## Characterizing Local rings

Proposition:  $R$  is local if & only if the set of all non-units of  $R$  is an ideal of  $R$ .

3F/

Example 2: Fix  $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$  prime a set

$$R = \left\{ \frac{a}{b} \in \mathbb{Q} \mid p \nmid b \wedge \gcd(a, b) = 1 \right\} \quad (\text{usual name } \mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$$

## Nilpotent elements

$R$  = commutative ring

Def : An element  $x \in R$  is called nilpotent if  $\exists n \geq 1$  such that  $x^n = 0$

Let  $N =$  set of all nilpotent elements of  $R$ .

Lemma:  $N$  is an ideal of  $R$  (called nilradical)

⚠ This fails if  $R$  is noncommutative.

## Ring of Fractions

Motivation 1: Number Theory - Diophantine Equations

$$P_1(x_1, \dots, x_m), \dots, P_n(x_1, \dots, x_m) \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_m]$$

Q: Find integer solutions to  $P_1 = \dots = P_n = 0$ .

Motivation 2: Geometric viewpoint towards commutative rings.

Commutative ring  $R = [\text{type}] \text{ functions on } [\text{type}] \rightarrow \text{space } X \text{ with values in } [\text{other field}]$ .

Ideals = subsets of functions which vanish on a given subset  $\gamma \subset X$  (closed)

Open sets  $\hookleftarrow$  non vanishing of certain functions

Def: Fix a commutative ring  $R$  &  $S \subset R$ . We say  $S$  is a multiplicatively closed set if:

(i)

(ii)

(iii)

• Next, we define an equivalence relation on  $R \times S$ :

$$(a, s) \sim (b, t) \iff$$

D) The ring of fractions of  $R$  relative to  $S$ , denoted by  $S^{-1}R$  is the set  $R \times S / \sim$  with

① Addition:  $(a,s) + (b,t) =$

② Multiplication:  $(a,s) \cdot (b,t) =$

③ Neutral elements:  $0 =$  &  $1 =$

Standard notation  $(a,s) \in S^{-1}R \iff " \frac{a}{s} "$ . (mimic  $\mathbb{Q}$ )

Exercise: Verify that addition and multiplication formulae given above are well-defined (ie independent of class reps.)

• Check that  $S^{-1}R$  is a ring with these operations