

Lecture 22: Artinian Rings I

Hilbert Basis Thm: If R is comm. and Noetherian, so is $R[x]$

Proof: We will show that every ideal of $R[x]$ is finitely gen.
Let $\mathfrak{b} \subset R[x]$ be an ideal. For every $f(x) \in R[x]$ we let $LT(f) \in R$ be the leading coefficient of f

- $f = a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_nx^n \neq 0 \implies LT(f) := a_n \in R$
with $a_n \neq 0$
- We define $LT(0) = 0$.

Claim: $\mathcal{A} = \{LT(f) : f \in \mathfrak{b}\} \subset R$ is an ideal.

Pf (1) $0 \in \mathcal{A}$ since $LT(0) = 0$ & $0 \in \mathfrak{b}$

(2) $aLT(f) \in \mathcal{A} \quad \forall a \in R \text{ \& } f \in \mathfrak{b}$. If $aLT(f) = 0$,

Otherwise $aLT(f) = LT(aF)$
 $\implies -LT(f) \in \mathcal{A}$ if $LT(f) \in \mathcal{A}$.

(3) $LT(f) + LT(g) \in \mathcal{A}$ if $LT(f)$ & $LT(g)$ do

• If $LT(f) = -LT(g)$ we know $0 \in \mathcal{A}$

• If $LT(f) + LT(g) \neq 0$, assume $\deg(f) \leq \deg(g)$

then $x^{l-k} f \in \mathfrak{b}$ & $g \in \mathfrak{b}$, so $x^{l-k} f + g \in \mathfrak{b}$

& $LT(f) + LT(g) = \underset{\uparrow}{LT(x^{l-k} f + g)} \in \mathcal{A}$

no cancellation occurs

$\Rightarrow R$ Noetherian, gives $\mathcal{A} = (a_1, \dots, a_\ell)$, with $a_1, \dots, a_\ell \neq 0$.

For each $j=1, \dots, \ell$ pick $f_j \in \mathfrak{b}$ with $a_j = LT(f_j)$.

Let $r = \max_{1 \leq j \leq \ell} \deg(f_j) \geq 0$. Need control over $f \in \Pi$ with $\deg f < r$.

Set $\Pi =$ submodule of $R[x]$ gen by $\{1, x, \dots, x^{r-1}\} = \{f : \deg f < r\}$

Π is f.g. & R Noeth $\Rightarrow \Pi$ is Noetherian R -module.

• $b \cap \Pi \subset \Pi$ submodule so $f_g \quad b \cap \Pi = (b_1, \dots, b_k)$

• $\mathcal{Q} = \{LT(f) \mid f \in b\} = (a_1, \dots, a_e) \quad LT(h_i) = a_i$

Claim: $b = (b_1, \dots, b_k, h_1, \dots, h_e) \quad \deg(b_i) \leq r = \max \{ \deg(h_j) \} = d_j$

PF/. If $f \in b$ $\deg f < r$, then $f \in b \cap \Pi \checkmark$

• Otherwise, induct on $\deg f \geq r$.

Let $a = LT(f)$ with $\deg(f) = d \geq \deg(h_j) =: d_j \quad h_j$

$a \in \mathcal{Q} \Rightarrow a = r_1 a_1 + \dots + r_e a_e$ for suitable r_1, \dots, r_e

Thus, $g = f - \sum_{j=1}^e r_j x^{d-d_j} h_j \in b$ & $\deg g < \deg f$.

• If $\deg f = r$, then $g \in b \cap \Pi$ and we are done because

$$g = f - \sum r_j x^{d-d_j} h_j = c_1 b_1 + \dots + c_k b_k$$

• If $\deg f > r$, then $\deg g < \deg f$ & $g \in b$. By IH,

$g \in \langle b_1, \dots, b_k, h_1, \dots, h_e \rangle$, so the same holds for f . \square

Artinian Rings

Definition: Let R be a commutative ring. We say that R is Artinian (after Emil Artin) if every descending chain of ideals

$$\mathfrak{a}_0 \supseteq \mathfrak{a}_1 \supseteq \mathfrak{a}_2 \supseteq \dots$$

stabilizes, i.e. $\exists l \geq 0$ with $\mathfrak{a}_l = \mathfrak{a}_{l+1} = \dots$ (Descending Chain Condition)

Geometrically Artinian rings correspond to finite collections of fat points (i.e. points with multiplicities)

Lemma 1: Let \mathcal{I} be non-empty set of ideals in an Artinian ring.

Then \mathcal{I} has minimal elements (with respect to inclusion)

Proof: (Same idea as with Noetherian rings)

Let $\mathfrak{a}_0 \in \mathcal{I}$. If \mathfrak{a}_0 is minimal, we are done. Otherwise, we find $\mathfrak{a}_1 \in \mathcal{I}$ with $\mathfrak{a}_0 \supsetneq \mathfrak{a}_1$. As R is Artinian, this process must stop and we will arrive at a minimal element of \mathcal{I} . \square

Example: ① \mathbb{R} field is Artinian

② $R = \mathbb{K}[x] / (x^n)$ is Artinian (1 pt of multiplicity n)

(Ideals in R are also a \mathbb{K} -vector subspace since $\dim_{\mathbb{K}} R = n$, DCC holds.)

Lemma 2: Artinian property is preserved under quotients by ideals

Pr/ Let $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq R$ be an ideal and R be Artinian

Then $\tilde{R} = R/\mathfrak{a}$ is also Artinian since ideals in

\tilde{R} correspond to ideals in R containing \mathfrak{a} .

So the DCC for R yields the DCC for \tilde{R} . \square

Proposition 1: Let R be an Artinian commutative ring. Then:

(i) Every prime ideal in R is maximal.

(ii) There are only finitely many maximal ideals in R .

Proof of (i) To show Every prime ideal in R is maximal.

- Let $\mathfrak{P} \subsetneq R$ be a prime ideal. Then R/\mathfrak{P} is an Artinian integral domain. (by Lemma 2)
- Pick $x \in R/\mathfrak{P} \setminus \{0\}$ & consider the descending chain of ideals in R/\mathfrak{P}
 $(x) \supseteq (x^2) \supseteq (x^3)$

DCC \Rightarrow It stabilizes so $\exists k \geq 1$ with $(x^k) = (x^{k+1})$ i.e.
 $x^k = yx^{k+1}$ for $y \in R/\mathfrak{P}$. $\Rightarrow x^k(1 - xy) = 0$

As R/\mathfrak{P} is a domain and $x \neq 0 \Rightarrow 1 = xy$, so x is a unit.

We conclude $(R/\mathfrak{P})^\times = R/\mathfrak{P} \setminus \{0\}$, so R/\mathfrak{P} is a field.

This means \mathfrak{P} is a maximal ideal of R . □

Proof of (ii) To show: R only has finitely many maximal ideals

Let $\mathcal{I} = \{\text{ideals that are finite intersections of mxl ideals of } R\}$

- $\mathcal{I} \neq \emptyset$ since maximal ideals \mathfrak{m} exist & $\mathfrak{m} \in \mathcal{I}$.
- By the Artinian condition & Lemma 1, \mathcal{I} has a minimal element $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{m}_1 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{m}_\ell$

Claim: $\{\text{Maximal ideals in } R\} = \{\mathfrak{m}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{m}_\ell\}$

PF/ Pick $\mathfrak{m} \subsetneq R$ maximal ideal, then $\mathfrak{m} \cap \mathfrak{a} \in \mathcal{I}$

& $\mathfrak{m} \cap \mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{a} \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{a} \text{ minimal}} \mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{m} \cap \mathfrak{a}$

so $\mathfrak{m} \cap \mathfrak{m}_1 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{m}_\ell = \mathfrak{m}_1 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{m}_\ell \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$
 \subseteq prime

By Prime Avoidance (Lecture 17) Thm 2, page 7 $\exists j$ st $\mathfrak{m}_j \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$

Since \mathfrak{m}_j & \mathfrak{m} are both maximal, we have $\mathfrak{m}_j = \mathfrak{m}$. \square

Corollary!: Let \mathcal{N} be the ideal of nilpotent elements
(ie the nilradical of R). If R is Artinian, then

$$\mathcal{N} = \mathfrak{m}_1 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{m}_e$$

where $\{\mathfrak{m}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{m}_e\}$ is the list of maximal ideals of R .

PF) $\mathcal{N} = \bigcap_{\substack{\mathfrak{P} \subseteq R \\ \text{prime}}} \mathfrak{P} = \mathfrak{m}_1 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{m}_e$ because all prime ideals
are maxl in the Artinian case
by Problem 9 HW7. □

Obs Problem 9 in HW7 says $\mathfrak{m}_1 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{m}_e = \mathfrak{J}$, where

$$\mathfrak{J} = \{x \in R : 1 - xy \text{ is a unit } \forall y \in R\}$$

is the Jacobson radical of R

Proposition 2: The ideal $\mathcal{N} \subset R$ (Antinian) is nilpotent, i.e. $\exists n \geq 0$ st $\mathcal{N}^n = (0)$

Proof: We consider the chain of ideals of R : $\mathcal{N} \supseteq \mathcal{N}^2 \supseteq \mathcal{N}^3 \supseteq \dots$

Since R is Antinian, $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\mathcal{N}^n = \mathcal{N}^{n+1} = \dots =: \mathcal{A}$

If $\mathcal{A} = (0)$ we are done. So assume $\mathcal{A} \neq (0)$ Consider.

$\mathcal{Y} =$ set of all ideals $\mathfrak{t} \subseteq R$ st $\mathcal{A}\mathfrak{t} \neq (0)$

- $\mathcal{Y} \neq \emptyset$ since $\mathcal{A}^2 = \mathcal{A} \neq (0)$ so $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{Y}$
- Pick $\mathfrak{I} \in \mathcal{Y}$ minimal element. Since $\mathfrak{I}\mathcal{A} \neq (0) \exists x \in \mathfrak{I}$ with $x\mathcal{A} \neq (0)$. So $(x) \in \mathcal{Y} \xRightarrow[\substack{\mathfrak{I} \text{ min} \\ x \in \mathfrak{I}}]{}$ $(x) = \mathfrak{I}$

But $(x\mathcal{A})\mathcal{A} = x\mathcal{A}^2 = x\mathcal{A} \neq \emptyset$ so $x\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{Y} \xRightarrow[\substack{x\mathcal{A} \subseteq (x) \text{ min}}]{}$ $(x) = x\mathcal{A}$

This means $\exists y \in \mathcal{A}$ st $x = xy$, i.e. $x = xy = xy^2 = \dots$
Since $y \in \mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{N}$, then y is nilpotent, i.e. $\exists m \geq 1$ with $y^m = 0$

Conclusion: $x = xy^m = 0$, contradicting $x\mathcal{A} = \mathfrak{I}\mathcal{A} \neq (0)$ \square