

R Commutative

Lecture 25: Primary Decomposition II

Recall . Q primary ideal if $xy \in Q$ & $y \notin Q \Rightarrow x^n \in Q$ for some n
• Q ired ideal if $Q = I \cap C$ with I, C ideals $\Rightarrow Q = I$ or $Q = C$.

Lemma: Q primary $\Rightarrow r(Q)$ prime

Obs: ① Q primary $\nRightarrow Q$ is a power of a prime

② \mathcal{P} prime $\nRightarrow \mathcal{P}^n$ is primary

③ $r(Q)$ maximal $\Rightarrow Q$ is primary

Prop: R Noetherian : (i) Every ideal is a finite intersection of ired. ideals
(ii) Ired \Rightarrow Primary.

Consequence: "Primary Decomposition" for Noetherian rings.

• $\mathcal{A} = Q_1 \cap \dots \cap Q_r$ & \mathcal{P} minimal among prime ideals containing \mathcal{A}
then $\mathcal{P} = r(Q_i)$ for some i

Consequence: \mathcal{A} has finitely many minimal associate primes. $= \Pi_{\text{im}}(\mathcal{A})$

R Noetherian + $\dim 0 \Rightarrow$ Artinian

Theorem: Let R be a commutative Noetherian ring R of $\dim 0$ (that is, every prime ideal is maximal). Then, R is Artinian.

Pf idea: Use all the tools from Lecture 23.

- Str. Theorem: \tilde{R} Artinian \Rightarrow f. many maxl ideals m_1, \dots, m_e
 - $\exists n: \mathcal{N}^n = (0)$
 - $\tilde{R} \simeq \tilde{R}/m_1^n \times \dots \times \tilde{R}/m_e^n$
- $\dim_k m_j^i/m_j^{i+1} < \infty$ for all i . ($k = \tilde{R}/m_j$)

- By the Corollary, R has finitely many minimal primes $\xRightarrow{\dim 0}$ they are maxl!
Call them m_1, \dots, m_e .
- No other max ideals: Pick M maxl. Show M is a min prime. If not $\exists \mathcal{P} \neq M$ prime $\xRightarrow{\dim 0}$ \mathcal{P} maxl forces $\mathcal{P} = M$ cont.

$\Rightarrow \{m_1, \dots, m_\ell\} = \text{Max Spec}(R)$ (set of maxl ideals of R)

• Claim 1: $\mathcal{N} = \bigcap_{\mathcal{P} \text{ prime}} \mathcal{P} = \bigcap_{\mathcal{P} \text{ min prime}} \mathcal{P} = m_1 \cap \dots \cap m_\ell = m_1 \dots m_\ell$
↓
pairwise coprime

• Claim 2: $\exists n$ st $\mathcal{N}^n = (0)$

Pf/ \mathcal{N} is fg $\mathcal{N} = (x_1, \dots, x_s)$ & $x_1^{m_1} = \dots = x_s^{m_s} = 0$ for some $m_1, \dots, m_s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$.

Pick $k = \max\{m_1, \dots, m_s\}$ so $x_i^k = 0 \ \forall i = 1, \dots, s$.

Pick $n > s(k-1)$

Write $y_i = \sum_{j=1}^s a_j^{(i)} x_j \in \mathcal{N}$

$\Rightarrow y_1, \dots, y_n = (a_1^{(1)} x_1 + \dots + a_s^{(1)} x_s) \dots (a_1^{(n)} x_1 + \dots + a_s^{(n)} x_s)$

equals 0 since after distributing, each summand must contain some x_j raised to a power $> k-1$ (ie $\geq k$). So $\mathcal{N}^n = (0)$. \square

• Using the claims & the proof of the Structure Theorem,
 we have $R \cong R/m_1^n \times \dots \times R/m_\ell^n$ (Use the same proof!)

• Each R/m_j^n is Noetherian, of dim 0 & local (with unique maximal ideal $\bar{m}_j = m_j/m_j^n$). If we show R/m_j^n is Art., we are done. (Local of Art. is Art. HW9)

• The Noetherian condition says $\bar{m}_j^i / \bar{m}_j^{i+1}$ is an R/m_j -v.s. of finite dim (it's f.g. as an R -module, so f.g. as an R/m_j -mod).

The proof technique of (Artinian + local \Rightarrow Noetherian) works here as well.

• And show R/m_j^n is Artinian

Why? strictly descending chain of ideals in $R/m_j^n \Rightarrow$ infinite list

of subspaces in $\bar{m}_j^i / \bar{m}_j^{i+1}$ $i=1, \dots, n$. Contr!

Uniqueness Properties of Primary Decomposition

⚠ Decompositions are in general NOT unique, but certain features will be.

Example: $\mathcal{A} = (x^2, xy) \subseteq R = K[x, y]$ ($K = \text{any field}$)

Let $\mathcal{P}_1 = (x)$, $\mathcal{P}_2 = (x, y)$ Both are prime ideals

$\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{P}_1 \cap \mathcal{P}_2^2 = \mathcal{P}_1 \cap (x^2, y)$ are 2 distinct primary dec of \mathcal{A}

- \mathcal{P}_1 primary $fg \in (x) \ \& \ x \nmid g \Rightarrow x \mid f$.
- \mathcal{P}_2^2 " because $r(\mathcal{P}_2^2) = (x, y)$ is max.
- (x^2, y) " " $r((x^2, y)) = (x, y)$ —.

Both have \mathcal{P}_1 in common. This is no accident!

Main result: \mathcal{P}_i 's will be unique

- After "minimizing" the decomp: \mathcal{P}_i assoc to min primes over \mathcal{A} will be unique

Reduced Prim Decomp

• We fix (*) $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{q}_1 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{q}_\ell$ primary decomp $\mathfrak{p}_i = \mathfrak{r}(\mathfrak{q}_i) \neq \mathfrak{a}$
(prime)

STEP 1: Create a "reduced primary decomp" from (*)

Def: The decomp (*) is reduced if the following assumptions hold:

(1) $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_\ell$ are all distinct.

(2) $\mathfrak{q}_i \not\supseteq \bigcap_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq \ell \\ j \neq i}} \mathfrak{q}_j$ for all $i = 1, \dots, \ell$. (ie, no \mathfrak{q}_i is redundant)

• Removing redundant \mathfrak{q}_i 's we can assume (2) holds.

• (1) will be fulfilled by the next Lemma:

Lemma: If $\tilde{\mathfrak{q}}_1, \dots, \tilde{\mathfrak{q}}_n$ are primary ideals with $\mathfrak{r}(\tilde{\mathfrak{q}}_i) = \mathfrak{p}$
 $\forall i = 1, \dots, n$, then $\tilde{\mathfrak{q}} = \bigcap_{i=1}^n \tilde{\mathfrak{q}}_i$ is also primary and $\mathfrak{r}(\tilde{\mathfrak{q}}) = \mathfrak{p}$

Proof: We need to show: $\tilde{q} = \bigcap_{i=1}^n q_i$ primary & $r(\tilde{q}) = \mathcal{P} = r(q_i)$.

How? Use $r(\alpha_1 \cap \alpha_2) = r(\alpha_1) \cap r(\alpha_2)$ for all ideals α_1, α_2

$$\cdot r(\tilde{q}) = \bigcap_{i=1}^n r(q_i) = \bigcap_{i=1}^n \mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}$$

• Pick $xy \in \tilde{q}$ with $y \notin \tilde{q}$. Then, $y \notin q_j$ for some j & $xy \in q_j$

$\Rightarrow x^n \in q_j$, i.e. $x \in r(q_j) = \mathcal{P} = r(\tilde{q})$ so $x^N \in \tilde{q}$ for some $N > 0$.
 q_j primary □

STEP 2: Analyze uniqueness features of reduced prim decomp.

Theorem 2 The set of prime ideals $\{\mathcal{P}_1, \dots, \mathcal{P}_\ell\}$ is uniquely determined by α . More precisely:

$$\{\mathcal{P}_1, \dots, \mathcal{P}_\ell\} = \{r((\alpha : x)) : x \in R \text{ \& } r((\alpha : x)) \text{ is prime}\}$$

Proof: Next time.

this does NOT require a primary decomp.
(so LHS) is indep of our choice of red. primary decomp

Lemma: Let $\mathfrak{q} \subsetneq R$ be primary & $\mathfrak{P} := r(\mathfrak{q})$. Given $x \in R$, we have:

$$(1) \quad x \in \mathfrak{q} \Rightarrow (\mathfrak{q} : x) = R$$

$$(2) \quad x \notin \mathfrak{q} \Rightarrow (\mathfrak{q} : x) \text{ is primary \& } r(\mathfrak{q} : x) = \mathfrak{P}.$$

$$(3) \quad x \notin \mathfrak{P} \Rightarrow (\mathfrak{q} : x) = \mathfrak{q}.$$

Recall: $(\mathfrak{a} : \mathfrak{b}) = \{ r \in R : r\mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathfrak{a} \}$ for $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}$ ideals.

Proof: (1) is clear,

For (3): if $y \in (\mathfrak{q} : x)$ & $x \notin \mathfrak{P}$, then $y \in \mathfrak{q}$ (otherwise,

$$xy \in \mathfrak{q} \text{ \& } y \notin \mathfrak{q} \Rightarrow x \in r(\mathfrak{q}) = \mathfrak{P})$$

So $\mathfrak{q} \subseteq (\mathfrak{q} : x) \subseteq \mathfrak{q}$ gives $(\mathfrak{q} : x) = \mathfrak{q}$.
↑
Always

(2) Next time.