

Lecture 16: Basics on Ring Theory

§1 Definitions

Def A ring R is a non-empty set, together with two operations:

$$+, \cdot : R \times R \longrightarrow R \quad (\text{addition \& multiplication})$$

and two distinct elements $0, 1 \in R$ satisfying:

- ① $(R, +, 0)$ is an abelian group ($0 =$ neutral element)
- ② $(R, \cdot, 1)$ is a multiplicative monoid with identity element 1 (closed under \cdot , but need not have inverses for all elements in R)
- ③ Multiplication is distributive over addition:

$$\begin{cases} a \cdot (b+c) = a \cdot b + a \cdot c \\ (b+c) \cdot a = b \cdot a + c \cdot a \end{cases} \quad \forall a, b, c \text{ in } R$$

Notation: $R^\times := \{x \in R \text{ such that } x \text{ has a multiplicative inverse, i.e. } xy = yx = 1 \text{ has a soln}\}$
 \parallel
 $U(R) =$ group of units of R (with multiplication)

Obs: If multiplicative inverses exist, they are unique, so we write x^{-1} for the inverse of $x \in U(R)$.

Obs: 0 is never invertible ($0 \cdot x = 0 \neq 1$) $\implies U(R) \subset R \setminus \{0\}$.

Obs: $0 \cdot x = 0$ for all $x \in R$ ($(0+1) \cdot x = 0 \cdot x + 1 \cdot x = \boxed{0 \cdot x} + x = x$)

Example: $\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$

Direct Product: If R_1, R_2 are two rings, then

$$R_1 \times R_2 = \{(x, y) : x \in R_1, y \in R_2\}$$

becomes a ring with componentwise addition & multiplication.

More examples ① $M_{n \times n}(R) = n \times n$ matrices over R (usual $+$ & \cdot for matrices)

② Polynomial Rings over R

Given R ring x variable, $R[x] = \{ \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j x^j \mid a_j \in R, N \geq 0 \}$
 is a ring:

• Addition: componentwise (degree-by-degree)

$$\sum_{j=0}^N a_j x^j + \sum_{k=0}^M b_k x^k = \sum_{j=0}^{\max(N,M)} (a_j + b_j) x^j$$

where $a_j = 0$ for $N < j \leq \max(N, M)$.

$b_j = 0$ for $M < j \leq \max(N, M)$.

• Multiplication: $(\sum_{j=0}^M a_j x^j) (\sum_{k=0}^N b_k x^k) = \sum_{l=0}^{M+N} \sum_{i+j=l} (a_i b_{l-i}) x^l$

with the understanding that $a_i = 0 \forall i > M$ & $b_k = 0 \forall k > N$
 (this rule is imposed by distributive property & definition of +)

Inductively $R[x_1, \dots, x_n] = \underbrace{R[x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}]}_{\text{coefficient ring}} [x_n]$

$$\left\{ \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n \\ \text{finite}}} a_{\alpha} \underline{x}^{\alpha} \right\}$$

$$\underline{x}^{\alpha} = x_1^{\alpha_1} x_2^{\alpha_2} \dots x_n^{\alpha_n}$$

$$\text{degree}(\underline{x}^{\alpha}) = |\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n$$

§ 2. Some important types of rings:

Let R be a ring.

- Def: ① R is said to be commutative if $ab = ba \forall a, b \in R$.
- ② R is said to be a division ring (or skew-field) if $R^{\times} = R \setminus \{0\}$
- ③ R is a field if it is a commutative, division ring.
- ④ R is an integral domain if R is commutative &
 $\forall a, b: ab = 0 \implies a = 0 \text{ or } b = 0$.

[In general, if for $a \in R \setminus \{0\}$ there is $b \neq 0$ in R with $ab = 0$, we say a is a zero divisor. Integral domain = commutative + no zero divisors]

Example: $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ is a commutative ring.

• If n is not a prime number, say $n = n_1 n_2$, the residue classes of n_1 & n_2 in $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ are zero divisors.

• If n is prime, then $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ is a field

• $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times = \{ \bar{m} : \gcd(m, n) = 1 \}$.

Why? Euclidean Algorithm gives $am + bn = 1$ for some $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, so $\bar{a}\bar{m} = \bar{1}$. Conversely if $am \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$, we have $n \mid am - 1$ so $am - 1 = nk$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z} \Rightarrow am + n(-k) = 1$ & $\gcd(m, n) = 1$ ($d \mid m, d \mid n \Rightarrow d \mid am + n(-k) = 1$ so $d = \pm 1$.)

§ 3. Subrings & Ideals

Fix R a ring.

Def: A subring R' of R is a subset $R' \subset R$ containing 0 & 1 that is closed under addition, additive inverses & multiplication, i.e.:

If $a, b \in R'$ then $a + b, a - b, ab \in R'$

So R' is a ring with inherited (ring) structure.

Def: Let $\mathcal{A} \subset R$ be a subgroup of the abelian group $(R, +, 0)$.

We say \mathcal{A} is

① a left ideal of R if $\forall r \in R, a \in \mathcal{A}, r \cdot a \in \mathcal{A}$

② a right ideal of R _____, $a r \in \mathcal{A}$

③ an ideal of R if it is both a left & a right ideal.

Example: ① All subgroups of $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}, +, 0)$ are ideals.

② $F \rightarrow R[x] = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n f_i g_i \mid f_i \in R[x] \right\} = \langle g_1, \dots, g_n \rangle$
 is a left ideal of $R[x]$ (generated by g_1, \dots, g_n).

③ \mathcal{A} = Upper Triangular 2×2 matrices / $\mathcal{A} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix} \mid a, b, d \in \mathbb{Q} \right\}$
 • \mathcal{A} is not a left ideal

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \notin \mathcal{A}$$

$\in \mathcal{A}$

• \mathcal{A} is not a right ideal:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \notin \mathcal{A}$$

$\in \mathcal{A}$

Lemma If $\{ \mathcal{A}_j \}_{j \in J}$ is a set of ideals of a ring R , then
 so is $\bigcap_{j \in J} \mathcal{A}_j$ (similar results hold for left or right ideals)

PF/ Enough to check it's a group, closed under left/right multiplication by elements of R . □

§ 3 Quotient Rings

Let R be a ring & $\mathcal{A} \subset R$ be an ideal. We consider the set R/\mathcal{A} (viewed as a quotient of groups) with inherited \uparrow group structure abelian
 We endow this set with a multiplication:

$$(a + \mathcal{A})(b + \mathcal{A}) = ab + \mathcal{A}$$

Obs: This is well-defined:

If $a + \mathcal{A} = a' + \mathcal{A}$, meaning $(a' - a) \in \mathcal{A}$, so $a' = a + x \in \mathcal{A}$
 $b + \mathcal{A} = b' + \mathcal{A}$, — $(b' - b) \in \mathcal{A}$ so $b' = b + y \in \mathcal{A}$

$$\Rightarrow a'b' = (a+x)(b+y) = ab + \underbrace{xb}_{\in \mathcal{A}} + \underbrace{ay}_{\in \mathcal{A}} + xy \in \mathcal{A}$$

(right ideal) (left ideal)

so $a'b' - ab \in \mathcal{A}$, meaning $a'b' + \mathcal{A} = ab + \mathcal{A}$, as we wanted.

216 [5]

Def: R/\mathcal{A} with $+$ & \cdot as defined above is a ring, called the quotient ring (or residue ring) of R modulo \mathcal{A} .

• $0_{R/\mathcal{A}} = 0 + \mathcal{A}$

• $1_{R/\mathcal{A}} = 1 + \mathcal{A}$

Distributive Laws are valid because they reflect those in R .