

§1 Localization:

Recall:  $R$  commutative ring,  $\mathcal{P} \subset R$  prime ideal

Then:  $S := R \setminus \mathcal{P} \subset R$  is a mult. closed set

$R_{\mathcal{P}} = S^{-1}R$  is a local ring with mxl ideal  $R_{\mathcal{P}}\mathcal{P} = S^{-1}\mathcal{P}$ .

Key observation: If  $R$  is a domain, then:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} R & \hookrightarrow & R_{\mathcal{P}} & \hookrightarrow & \text{Quot}(R) =: (R \setminus \{0\})^{-1}R \\ r & \longmapsto & \frac{r}{1} & \longmapsto & \frac{a}{b} \end{array}$$

We view  $R$  &  $R_{\mathcal{P}}$  as subrings of  $\text{Quot}(R)$

Theorem 1: Assume  $R$  is an integral domain. Then:

$$R = \bigcap_{\mathcal{P} \text{ prime ideal}} R_{\mathcal{P}} = \bigcap_{\mathcal{M} \text{ mxl ideal}} R_{\mathcal{M}} \quad \text{viewed in Quot } R \text{ by key observation}$$

Proof: Write  $\tilde{R} = \bigcap_{\mathcal{M} \text{ mxl}} R_{\mathcal{M}} \supseteq R$ . We view  $\tilde{R}/R$  as an  $R$ -module

Then:  $\tilde{R} = R \iff \tilde{R}/R = 0$  as an  $R$ -module

Since  $\tilde{R} \subset \text{Quot}(R)$  we write  $\bar{r} \in \tilde{R}/R \subset \frac{\text{Quot}(R)}{R}$  as  $\frac{a}{b}$  with  $\frac{a}{b} \in \text{Quot}(R)$

. We want to show  $a \in (b)$ , so  $\frac{a}{b} \in R$ .

Consider  $I = \{t \in R : t \frac{a}{b} \in R\} = \text{Ann}(\frac{a}{b})$

This means  $t \frac{a}{b} = \frac{a'}{1} \iff a' \in R$ , i.e.  $ta = a'b$

Thus  $I = \{t \in R : ta \in R(b)\} = (R(b) : a)$  since  $R$  is a domain

. If  $I = (1)$ , then  $a = 1 \cdot a \in (b)$

. Otherwise,  $\exists \mathcal{M}$  mxl ideal of  $R$  with  $I \subseteq \mathcal{M} \subsetneq R$ . Since  $r = \frac{a}{b} \in R \subset \tilde{R}$

we have  $\frac{a}{b} \in \tilde{R} \subseteq R_{\mathcal{M}}$  so  $\frac{a}{b} = \frac{a'}{b'}$  with  $b' \notin \mathcal{M}$

$b'a = a'b$  so  $b' \in I \subseteq \mathcal{M}$  Contr! ( $b' \in I \subset \mathcal{M}$  &  $b' \notin \mathcal{M}$ ) □

## §2. Modules of fractions and their homomorphisms:

Recall: Fix  $R$  commutative ring,  $S \subset R$  mult closed set,  $M$  an  $R$ -module

$\Rightarrow S^{-1}M = \text{module of fractions relative to } S = M \times S / \sim$  (module over  $S^{-1}R$ )  
 $(m, s) \sim (m', s')$   
 $\Leftrightarrow \exists r \in S$  with  $r(s'm - s'm') = 0 \in M$

Write  $\frac{m}{s}$  for the class of  $(m, s)$ .

• Let  $M, N$  be two  $R$ -modules & set  $f: M \rightarrow N$   $R$ -linear  
 Then  $S^{-1}f: S^{-1}M \rightarrow S^{-1}N$  is  $S^{-1}R$  linear ("extend scalars from  $R$  to  $S^{-1}R$ ")  
 $\frac{m}{s} \mapsto \frac{f(m)}{s}$

Proposition: Let  $0 \rightarrow M_1 \xrightarrow{f} M_2 \xrightarrow{g} M_3 \rightarrow 0$  be a seq of  $R$ -linear maps between  $R$ -modules. Then, the following sequence of  $S^{-1}R$ -linear maps is exact:

$$0 \rightarrow S^{-1}M_1 \xrightarrow{S^{-1}f} S^{-1}M_2 \xrightarrow{S^{-1}g} S^{-1}M_3 \rightarrow 0.$$

("localization is an exact functor")

Proof: (1)  $\text{Ker}(S^{-1}f) = \{ \frac{m}{s} \in S^{-1}M_1 : \frac{f(m)}{s} = 0 \text{ in } S^{-1}M_2 \}$

Pick  $\frac{m}{s} \in \text{Ker}(S^{-1}f)$ , so  $\frac{f(m)}{s} = 0$ . Then,  $\frac{f(m)}{s} = \frac{1}{s} \cdot \frac{f(m)}{1} = \frac{s \cdot 0}{1} = \frac{s \cdot 0}{1} = \frac{0}{1}$   
 $\Rightarrow \exists r \in S$  with  $r(1 \cdot f(m) - 1 \cdot 0) = r f(m) = 0$  so  $f(rm) = r f(m) = 0$ .  
 $\Rightarrow rm \in \text{Ker}(f) = 0$ . Then  $\frac{m}{s} = \frac{rm}{rs} = \frac{0}{rs} = \frac{0}{1}$

Conclude:  $\text{Ker}(S^{-1}f) = \{0\}$ .

(2)  $S^{-1}g$  is surjective: Let  $\frac{m_3}{s} \in S^{-1}M_3$ ,  $m_3 \in M_3$ ,  $s \in S$

Since  $g$  is surjective  $\exists m_2 \in M_2$  st  $g(m_2) = m_3$

So  $\frac{m_3}{s} = \frac{g(m_2)}{s} = S^{-1}g\left(\frac{m_2}{s}\right)$ . Conclude:  $S^{-1}g$  is surjective.

(3)  $\text{Ker}(S^{-1}g) = \text{Im}(S^{-1}f)$ .

( $\supseteq$ )  $(S^{-1}g) \circ (S^{-1}f)\left(\frac{m_1}{s}\right) = S^{-1}(g)\left(\frac{f(m_1)}{s}\right) = \frac{g(f(m_1))}{s} = \frac{0}{s} = 0$

So  $\text{Im}(S^{-1}f) \subseteq \text{Ker}(S^{-1}g)$

( $\subseteq$ ) Conversely, if  $\frac{m_2}{s} \in \text{Ker}(S^{-1}g)$  then  $\frac{g(m_2)}{s} = \frac{0}{s}$  so

$g(m_2) = \frac{1}{s} g(sm_2) = \frac{0}{1}$ . By def,  $\exists r \in S$  with  $rg(m_2) = 0$  in  $M_3$   
 So  $rm_2 \in \ker g = \text{Im } f$ , i.e.  $rm_2 = f(m_1)$  for some  $m_1 \in M_1$   
 Then  $\frac{m_2}{s} = \frac{rm_2}{rs} = \frac{f(m_1)}{rs} = S^{-1}f\left(\frac{m_1}{rs}\right) \in \text{Im } S^{-1}f$ .  $\square$

Obs.: We can use this to give an alternative proof of Thm 1 (see HW 8)

Corollary: (1) Let  $N \subset M$  be submodule over  $R$ .

Then  $\frac{S^{-1}M}{S^{-1}N} \cong S^{-1}(M/N)$ . (as  $S^{-1}R$ -modules)

(2) In particular, for an ideal  $\mathcal{A} \subset R$ , we have  $\frac{S^{-1}R}{S^{-1}\mathcal{A}} \cong S^{-1}(R/\mathcal{A})$  as  $S^{-1}R$ -modules.

(3) If  $S \cap \mathcal{A} = \emptyset$ , then  $\bar{S}$  = image of  $S$  under  $R \rightarrow R/\mathcal{A}$  is multiplicatively closed &  $\bar{S}^{-1}(R/\mathcal{A})$  is a ring & an  $S^{-1}R$ -module.

Moreover,  $S^{-1}(R/\mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{\Psi} \bar{S}^{-1}(R/\mathcal{A})$ ,  $\Psi\left(\frac{\bar{r}}{s}\right) = \frac{\bar{r}}{\bar{s}}$  is an iso of  $S^{-1}R$ -modules

Prf. (1) Use  $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow M \rightarrow M/N \rightarrow 0$  seq of  $R$ -mod

Then  $0 \rightarrow S^{-1}N \rightarrow S^{-1}M \rightarrow S^{-1}(M/N) \rightarrow 0$  is seq of  $S^{-1}R$ -mod

(2) It's a special case of (1): ideals of  $R$  are  $R$ -modules

(3)  $\bar{S}$  is mult. closed in  $R/\mathcal{A}$ .  $\cdot 1 \in \bar{S}$   $\checkmark$   
 $\cdot 0 \notin \bar{S} \iff S \cap \mathcal{A} = \emptyset \checkmark$   
 $\cdot \bar{a}, \bar{b} \in \bar{S} \Rightarrow \overline{ab} = \bar{a}\bar{b} \in \bar{S} \checkmark$

Then:  $\bar{S}^{-1}(R/\mathcal{A})$  is the ring of fractions of  $R/\mathcal{A}$  relative to  $\bar{S}$ .

But it is also an  $S^{-1}R$ -module via  $\frac{a}{b} \cdot \frac{\bar{r}}{s} := \frac{a\bar{r}}{bs} \in \bar{S}^{-1}(R/\mathcal{A})$

$\Psi$  is well-def.:  $\frac{\bar{r}}{s} = \frac{\bar{r}'}{s'} \iff \exists t \in S$  st  $t(s'\bar{r} - s\bar{r}') = 0$  in  $R/\mathcal{A}$ .

$\iff t(s'\bar{r} - s\bar{r}') \in \mathcal{A}$  &  $t \in S \implies \bar{t}(\bar{s}'\bar{r} - \bar{s}\bar{r}') = \bar{0}$  in  $R/\mathcal{A}$  &  $\bar{t} \in \bar{S}$ .  
 $\implies \frac{\bar{r}}{s} = \frac{\bar{r}'}{s'}$  in  $\bar{S}^{-1}(R/\mathcal{A})$ .

$\bullet$  The map  $\Psi$  is  $S^{-1}R$ -linear by construction.

$\bullet$   $\Psi$  is injective:  $\frac{\bar{r}}{s} = 0 \iff \exists \bar{s}' \in \bar{S}$  with  $\bar{s}'(\bar{r}\bar{1}) = 0$  in  $R/\mathcal{A}$   
 $\iff \exists s' \in S$  —  $s'(r \cdot 1) \in \mathcal{A}$

$\iff \bar{r} = 0$  in  $S^{-1}(R/\mathcal{A}) \implies \frac{\bar{r}}{s} = 0$  in  $\bar{S}^{-1}(R/\mathcal{A})$

$\bullet$   $\Psi$  is surjective by construction:  $\frac{\bar{r}}{s} = \Psi\left(\frac{\bar{r}}{s}\right)$ .  $\square$

### §3. Finiteness properties of rings : Noetherian & Artinian rings

In 1888, Kronecker published his findings on "ideal = product of prime ideals" research. He made a crucial assumption for ideals over polynomial rings : that they are finitely generated. This fact was only later proven by Hilbert (Hilbert Basis Theorem). This property of rings ("every ideal is finitely generated") has the following axiomatization

Definition: A commutative ring  $R$  is called Noetherian if for every chain of ideals of  $R$   $\alpha_0 \subseteq \alpha_1 \subseteq \alpha_2 \subseteq \alpha_3 \subseteq \dots$

there is  $k \geq 0$  with  $\alpha_k = \alpha_{k+1} = \dots$  [ACC = Ascending chain condition]

Theorem 1: The following conditions on a commutative ring  $R$  are equivalent:

- (1)  $R$  is Noetherian
- (2) Every nonempty set  $\mathcal{G}$  of ideals of  $R$  has a maximal element
- (3) Every ideal  $\alpha \subseteq R$  is finitely generated.

Proof: (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) Let  $\alpha_0 \in \mathcal{G}$ . If  $\alpha_0$  is not maximal,  $\exists \alpha_1 \in \mathcal{G}$  with  $\alpha_0 \subsetneq \alpha_1$ . Continuing in this fashion, we get an ascending chain of ideals  $\alpha_0 \subsetneq \alpha_1 \subsetneq \dots$  that doesn't stabilize. Contr! Then  $\exists \alpha_k$  maximal element of  $\mathcal{G}$ .

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) Let  $\alpha$  be an ideal. Consider the set  $\mathcal{G} = \{ \alpha' \subseteq \alpha : \alpha' \text{ is a fin. gen. ideal of } R \}$ . We order  $\mathcal{G}$  by inclusion.

By (2), this set has a maximal element, say  $\tilde{\alpha}$ .

If  $\tilde{\alpha} \subsetneq \alpha$ , pick  $x \in \alpha \setminus \tilde{\alpha}$ . Then  $(\tilde{\alpha}, x) \in \mathcal{J}$ ,  
 contradicting the maximality of  $\tilde{\alpha} < (\tilde{\alpha}, x)$ . Hence  $\tilde{\alpha} = \alpha$ ,  
 which means  $\alpha$  is finitely generated since  $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}$ . (23) [5]

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) Let  $\alpha_0 \subset \alpha_1 \subset \dots$  be a chain of ideals of  $R$ .  
 Take  $\alpha = \bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} \alpha_i \subset R$ .

By construction,  $\alpha$  is an ideal of  $R$ , thus finitely generated  
 by elements  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \alpha$ . Now, each  $a_i \in \alpha_{j_i}$  for some  $j_i \geq 0$ .  
 Thus,  $\alpha = \alpha_j$  for  $j = \max\{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_n\}$  and so  
 $\alpha = \alpha_j = \alpha_{j+1} = \dots$  The chain terminates, so  $R$  is Noetherian.