

§1 Noetherian Rings:

Recall A commutative ring  $R$  is Noetherian if it satisfies ACC (every ascending chain of ideals stabilizes)

Theorem: If  $R$  is a commutative ring, the following are equivalent:

- (1)  $R$  is Noetherian
- (2) Every nonempty set  $\mathcal{I}$  of ideals of  $R$  has a maximal element (with respect to inclusion)
- (3) Every ideal  $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq R$  is finitely generated.

Corollary 1: (1) Principal ideal domains are Noetherian (eg  $\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{C}[x]$ )

(2) If  $f: A \rightarrow B$  is a ring homomorphism with  $A, B$  commutative

Assume  $f$  is surjective (key!). If  $A$  is Noetherian, so is  $B$ .

(3) Rings of fractions of Noetherian rings are Noetherian. In particular, localizations preserve Noetherianity.

Proof (2): If  $\mathfrak{b} \subseteq B$  is an ideal,  $\mathfrak{a} = f^{-1}(\mathfrak{b}) \subseteq A$  is an ideal,

so  $\mathfrak{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ . Then  $\mathfrak{b} = f(\mathfrak{a}) = (f(a_1), \dots, f(a_n))$ .

**⚠** Subrings of Noetherian rings need not be Noetherian.

Ex: Take  $R = \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots] = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$

Note:  $R$  is not Noetherian since the ascending chain of ideals

$$\mathfrak{a}_1 = (x_1) \subsetneq \mathfrak{a}_2 = (x_1, x_2) \subsetneq \dots \quad \text{never terminates.}$$

• Now:  $R$  is a domain &  $R \hookrightarrow \text{Quot}(R) = \text{field}$ .

so  $R$  is a subring of  $\text{Quot}(R)$

• Since the only ideals of  $\text{Quot}(R)$  are  $(0)$  &  $(1)$ , we get that  $\text{Quot}(R)$  is Noetherian.

Hilbert Basis Thm: If  $R$  is Noetherian, so is  $R[x]$ .

Hence  $\mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n], \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  are Noetherian for any field  $\mathbb{K}$ .

To prove this result, we'll need the notion of Noetherian modules.

§2. Noetherian modules:

Fix  $R =$  commutative ring. &  $M$  an  $R$ -module.

Def: We say  $M$  is Noetherian if it satisfies the ascending chain condition for submodules:

"Every chain of submodules  $M_0 \subseteq M_1 \subseteq M_2 \subseteq \dots$  stabilizes, i.e.  $\exists l \geq 0$  st  $M_l = M_{l+1} = \dots$ "

We have the following analog of Theorem 1:

Theorem 2: Fix  $R$  a commutative ring &  $M$  an  $R$ -module. TFAE:

- (1)  $M$  is Noetherian
- (2) Every nonempty set  $\mathcal{G}$  of submodules of  $M$  has a maximal element (with respect to inclusion)
- (3) Every submodule of  $M$  is finitely generated.

The proof is exactly the same as that of Thm 1.

Corollary 2: Let  $0 \rightarrow M_1 \xrightarrow{f} M_2 \xrightarrow{g} M_3 \rightarrow 0$  be a ses of  $R$ -modules. Then:  $M_2$  is Noetherian if, and only if,  $M_1$  &  $M_3$  are.

Proof:  $\Rightarrow$ ) submodules of  $M_1$  are submodules of  $M_2$  via  $f$ .  
 —————  $N_3 \subseteq M_3$  come from  $g^{-1}(N_3) \subseteq M_2$  submodules  
 $g^{-1}(N_3) = \langle m_1, \dots, m_l \rangle$ , then  $N_3 = \langle g(m_1), \dots, g(m_l) \rangle$  by surjectivity of  $g$ .

$\Leftarrow$ ) Pick  $N$  a submodule of  $M_2$  then  $g(N) \subseteq M_3$  is a subm.

So  $g(N) = \langle m_1, \dots, m_s \rangle$ . Pick  $n_1, \dots, n_s \in N$  with (24) [3]

$$g(n_1) = m_1, \dots, g(n_s) = m_s.$$

Next take  $f^{-1}(N \cap f(M_1)) = N_1 \subseteq M_1$  submodule, so its finitely generated  $N_1 = \langle q_1, \dots, q_r \rangle$

Then  $n'_1 = f(q_1), \dots, n'_r = f(q_r)$ . &  $N \cap f(M_1) = \langle n'_1, \dots, n'_r \rangle$

Claim:  $N = \langle n_1, \dots, n_s, n'_1, \dots, n'_r \rangle$

Pf/Pick  $n \in N$ , so  $g(n) \in g(N) = \langle m_1, \dots, m_s \rangle$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{That is } g(n) &= a_1 m_1 + \dots + a_s m_s = a_1 g(n_1) + \dots + a_s g(n_s) \\ &= g(a_1 n_1 + \dots + a_s n_s) \quad a_1, \dots, a_s \in R \end{aligned}$$

This means  $n - a_1 n_1 - \dots - a_s n_s \in \text{Ker } g = \text{Im } f$ , so

$$n - a_1 n_1 - \dots - a_s n_s \in N \cap f(M_1) = \langle n'_1, \dots, n'_r \rangle$$

Conclude  $n \in \langle n_1, \dots, n_s, n'_1, \dots, n'_r \rangle$  □

Note: (1)  $R$  is an  $R$ -module. Then:  $R$  is a Noetherian  $R$ -module if, and only if  $R$  is a Noetherian ring.

(2) Recall: Subrings of a Noetherian ring need not be Noetherian.

(3) A finite direct sum of Noetherian modules is Noetherian

(Hint: Induct on the number of summands & use Corollary 2)

(4)  $M$ : Noetherian  $R$ -module  $\Rightarrow S^{-1}M$  is a Noetherian  $S^{-1}R$ -mod.  
 $S$  mult closed set of  $R$

Proposition: Let  $R$  be a Noetherian ring &  $M$  an  $R$ -module. Then

$M$  is Noetherian if & only if  $M$  is finitely generated, i.e.

$\exists x_1, \dots, x_r \in M$  st every  $x$  in  $M$  can be written (no necessarily uniquely) as  $x = a_1 x_1 + \dots + a_r x_r$  for  $a_1, \dots, a_r \in R$ .

Proof ( $\Rightarrow$ ) View  $\Pi$  as a submodule of  $\Pi$  & use Theorem 2.

( $\Leftarrow$ ) As  $\Pi$  is finitely generated, eg  $\Pi = \langle x_1, \dots, x_l \rangle$  we have  $R \xrightarrow{f_i} \Pi$  morphism of  $R$ -modules. By the

$$a_i \mapsto a_i x_i$$

universal property of  $\underbrace{R \oplus \dots \oplus R}_{l \text{ copies}}$  we have a unique

$$f: \bigoplus_{i=1}^l R \longrightarrow \Pi \quad R\text{-linear map st}$$

$$(a_1, \dots, a_l) \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^l a_i x_i$$

Furthermore, we get a ses of  $R$ -modules:

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Ker } f \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^l R \longrightarrow \Pi \longrightarrow 0$$

But  $R$  is Noetherian, so  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^l R$  is also a Noetherian  $R$ -module. Again by Corollary 2:  $\text{Im } f = \Pi$  is Noetherian  $\square$ .

Examples ①  $R = \mathbb{K}$  a field,  $\Pi = \mathbb{K}$ -vector space

$$\Pi \text{ Noetherian} \iff \dim_{\mathbb{K}} \Pi < \infty$$

②  $R = \mathbb{K}[x]$  (Noetherian ring, since it's a PID)

•  $\Pi = \mathbb{K}[x, y]$  is not a Noetherian  $R$ -module

(The  $R$ -submodule generated by  $\{1, y, y^2, \dots\}$  is NOT f.g.)

•  $\Pi$  will be a Noetherian ring!

③ An example of non-Noetherian ring:

$R = \{ \text{continuous } \mathbb{C}\text{-valued functions on } \mathbb{R} \}$

$F_n = [-\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n}] \quad n \geq 1$  (nested chain of intervals with  $|F_n| \searrow 0$ )

$\mathcal{A}_n = \{ f \in R \mid f|_{F_n} \equiv 0 \}$  is an ideal in  $R$ .

$\mathcal{A}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{A}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{A}_3 \subseteq \dots$  is a strictly increasing chain of ideals.  
So  $R$  is Not noetherian.