

Lecture 27: Artinian Rings & Primary Decomposition

Last time: Discuss Artinian rings & local Artinian rings:

• Structure Theorem: If R is a commutative Artinian ring, then:

- (1) R has finitely many max ideals $(\mathfrak{m}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{m}_e)$ ($\mathfrak{N} = \mathfrak{m}_1 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{m}_e$)
- (2) $R \cong R_{\mathfrak{m}_1} \times \dots \times R_{\mathfrak{m}_e}$ where $\mathfrak{N}^n = (0)$ (each $R_{\mathfrak{m}_i}$ is Artinian & local)

• Theorem: Artinian \implies dimension 0 & Noetherian (*)

• Missing one part of the proof of this theorem (Lecture 26) for local Artinian rings, i.e.

Lemma 2: If (R, \mathfrak{m}) is Artinian & local, then $\dim_{R/\mathfrak{m}} \mathfrak{m}^j / \mathfrak{m}^{j+1} < \infty$. $\forall j$
(commutative)

Pf/ We view $k = R/\mathfrak{m} = (\mathfrak{m}^j / \mathfrak{m}^{j+1}) / (\mathfrak{m} / \mathfrak{m}^{j+1})$ (By 2nd Iso Thm for rings)

Claim: Subspaces (over k) in $\mathfrak{m}^j / \mathfrak{m}^{j+1}$ correspond bijectively to ideals I in R/\mathfrak{m}^{j+1} with $I \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^j / \mathfrak{m}^{j+1}$ ($\implies \mathfrak{m} / \mathfrak{m}^{j+1} I = (0)$)

Why? (\implies) $R \xrightarrow{\pi} R/\mathfrak{m}^{j+1}$ $I \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^j / \mathfrak{m}^{j+1}$ k -v.sp. is also an R -module (ideal)

So $\mathfrak{m}^{j+1} \subseteq \pi^{-1}(I) \subseteq \pi^{-1}(\mathfrak{m}^j / \mathfrak{m}^{j+1}) = \mathfrak{m}^j + \mathfrak{m}^{j+1} = \mathfrak{m}^j \implies \mathfrak{m} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^{j+1}$
 $\hookrightarrow \mathfrak{m}^{j+1} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^j$

meaning $\mathfrak{m} / \mathfrak{m}^{j+1}$ annihilates I .

(\Leftarrow) Conversely if $J \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^j / \mathfrak{m}^{j+1}$ & $\mathfrak{m} / \mathfrak{m}^{j+1} J = (0)$, then J is a k -module.
 so it is a subspace of the k -v.sp. $\mathfrak{m}^j / \mathfrak{m}^{j+1}$

• If $\dim_k \mathfrak{m}^j / \mathfrak{m}^{j+1} = \infty$, we can find an infinite strictly descending chain of subspaces, starting with a countable infinite, linear independent set $B = \{v_n : n \geq 1\}$ & removing one such vector at a time.

$I_1 = k \langle v_n : n \geq 1 \rangle \supseteq I_2 := k \langle v_n : n \geq 2 \rangle \supseteq \dots \supseteq I_p = k \langle v_n : n \geq p \rangle \supseteq \dots$

By the claim, this sequence gives an infinite strictly descending chain of

ideals in R/\mathfrak{m}_i annihilated by \mathfrak{m}_i

This cannot happen because R/\mathfrak{m}_i is Artinian. □

TODAY we will show the converse to (*). The proof is based on the notion of "primary decomposition" (next time)

§1. Noetherian + dim 0 \Rightarrow Artinian:

Recall a key general Lemma from last time:

Lemma 1: If $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_s\}$ are pairwise coprime ideals of a commutative ring R then, so are $\{\alpha_1^k, \dots, \alpha_s^k\}$ for each $k \geq 1$. Moreover, $\alpha_1^k \cap \dots \cap \alpha_s^k = \alpha_1^k \dots \alpha_s^k$.

Theorem 1: Let R be a commutative Noetherian ring R of dim 0 (that is, every prime ideal of R is maximal). Then, R is Artinian.

• Key fact: R Noetherian of dimension 0 $\Rightarrow R$ has finitely many mxl ideals, say $\{\mathfrak{m}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{m}_\ell\} = \text{Max Spec}(R)$. \leftarrow set of mxl ideals of R .

- This will be shown by primary decomposition of (0) in R (later).
- We assume this key fact to prove Theorem 1. We mimic the proof of the Structure Theorem

Proof of Theorem 1: Since $\dim R = 0$ we have $\mathcal{N} = \mathfrak{m}_1 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{m}_\ell$

- By Lemma 1 Lecture 26: $\mathfrak{m}_1 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{m}_\ell = \mathfrak{m}_1 \dots \mathfrak{m}_\ell$ (\mathfrak{m}_i 's are pairwise coprime)
- R is Noetherian so \mathcal{N} is a nilpotent ideal

(Pf/ Set $\mathcal{N} = \langle x_1, \dots, x_s \rangle$ & assume $x_1^{n_1} = \dots = x_s^{n_s} = 0$. Then, $\mathcal{N}^n = 0$ for $n = \sum_{i=1}^s n_i$)

• The Chinese Remainder Theorem applied to the set $\{\mathfrak{m}_1^n, \dots, \mathfrak{m}_\ell^n\}$ of pairwise coprime ideals of R gives a surjective map

$$R \xrightarrow{\Psi} R/\mathfrak{m}_1^n \times \dots \times R/\mathfrak{m}_\ell^n$$

with $\ker \Psi = \mathfrak{m}_1^n \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{m}_\ell^n \stackrel{\text{Lemma 1}}{=} \mathfrak{m}_1^n \dots \mathfrak{m}_\ell^n \subseteq (\mathfrak{m}_1 \dots \mathfrak{m}_\ell)^n = \mathcal{N}^n = (0)$

[exercise: work with generators]

So φ is a ring isomorphism.

To finish the proof is enough to show the following 2 claims:

Claim 1: Finite products of Artinian rings are Artinian rings.

PF/ Ideals of $R_1 \times \dots \times R_n$ are of the form $\mathcal{I}_1 \times \dots \times \mathcal{I}_n$ where each \mathcal{I}_i is an ideal of R_i (see HW9)

Claim 2: Each $R_j := R/\mathfrak{m}_j^n$ is an Artinian ring.

PF/ By construction, each R_j is a Noetherian ring, of dimension 0 & local, with unique max ideal $\bar{\mathfrak{m}}_j := \mathfrak{m}_j/\mathfrak{m}_j^n$. Note: $\bar{\mathfrak{m}}_j^n = 0$.

Now, the Noetherian condition on R , says \mathfrak{m}_j^i is f.g. as an R -module for each $i=1, \dots, n$. In particular $\Pi_i := \bar{\mathfrak{m}}_j^i / \bar{\mathfrak{m}}_j^{i+1}$ is a f.g. R -module, and

thus a finitely generated vector space over $k := R/\bar{\mathfrak{m}}_j \cong \bar{\mathfrak{m}}_j$ ($\bar{a} \cdot \bar{x} = a \cdot x$ for $a \in R$ & $x \in \Pi_j$)

Conclusion: $\dim_k \Pi_i < \infty$ for each $i=1, \dots, n$.

This condition guarantees that R_j is Artinian. Indeed, fix any descending chain $\mathcal{I}_1 \supseteq \mathcal{I}_2 \supseteq \dots$ of ideals of R_j . We use the same techniques used to prove (Artinian + local \Rightarrow Noetherian)

For each $q=0, \dots, n$ we consider the chain

$$\mathcal{I}_1 \cap \bar{\mathfrak{m}}_j^q \supseteq \mathcal{I}_2 \cap \bar{\mathfrak{m}}_j^q \supseteq \dots$$

Note that for $q=n$ this chain is $(0) \supseteq (0) \supseteq \dots$

$$\text{For each } i, \text{ we take } \mathcal{I}_{i+1} \cap \bar{\mathfrak{m}}_j^q \xrightarrow{\quad} \mathcal{I}_i \cap \bar{\mathfrak{m}}_j^q \xrightarrow{\pi} \frac{\mathcal{I}_i \cap \bar{\mathfrak{m}}_j^q}{\mathcal{I}_i \cap \bar{\mathfrak{m}}_j^{q+1}}$$

$\searrow \varphi_{i,q}$

$\varphi_{i,q}$ is R_j -linear.

$$\cdot \text{Ker}(\varphi_{i,q}) = \mathcal{I}_{i+1} \cap \bar{\mathfrak{m}}_j^q \cap \mathcal{I}_i \cap \bar{\mathfrak{m}}_j^{q+1} = \mathcal{I}_{i+1} \cap \bar{\mathfrak{m}}_j^{q+1}$$

This induces an injective k -linear map $\bar{\psi}_{i,s} : \frac{\alpha_{i+1} \cap \bar{m}_j^s}{\alpha_{i+1} \cap \bar{m}_j^{s+1}} \hookrightarrow \frac{\alpha_i \cap \bar{m}_j^s}{\alpha_i \cap \bar{m}_j^{s+1}}$

Since the map is $k = R/\bar{m}_j$ linear, we get a descending chain of k -vector spaces

$$(*) \frac{\alpha_1 \cap \bar{m}_j^s}{\alpha_1 \cap \bar{m}_j^{s+1}} \xrightarrow{\bar{\psi}_{1,s}} \frac{\alpha_2 \cap \bar{m}_j^s}{\alpha_2 \cap \bar{m}_j^{s+1}} \xrightarrow{\bar{\psi}_{2,s}} \dots$$

Since M_q is finite-dimensional, so is $\frac{\alpha_1 \cap \bar{m}_j^s}{\alpha_1 \cap \bar{m}_j^{s+1}}$ (it's a subspace!)

This forces the chain (*) to stabilize.

Since we have finitely many chains ($0 \leq s \leq n-1$), we may assume that they all stabilize at the same spot (say, l^{th}). This means:

$$(**) \alpha_s \cap \bar{m}_j^s \subseteq \alpha_{s+1} \cap \bar{m}_j^s + \alpha_s \cap \bar{m}_j^{s+1} \quad \text{for all } s \geq l \text{ \& all } s=0, \dots, n$$

• For $s=n$, we know $\alpha_l \cap \bar{m}_j^n = 0 = \alpha_{l+1} \cap \bar{m}_j^n = \dots$

• For $s=n-1$, (**) yields: $\alpha_s \cap \bar{m}_j^{n-1} \subseteq \alpha_{s+1} \cap \bar{m}_j^{n-1} + 0$

$$\text{so } \alpha_l \cap \bar{m}_j^{n-1} = \alpha_{l+1} \cap \bar{m}_j^{n-1} = \dots$$

• For $s=n-2$, (**) yields $\alpha_s \cap \bar{m}_j^{n-2} \subseteq \alpha_{s+1} \cap \bar{m}_j^{n-2} + \alpha_s \cap \bar{m}_j^{n-1}$
So $\alpha_s \cap \bar{m}_j^{n-2} \subseteq \alpha_{s+1} \cap \bar{m}_j^{n-2} + \alpha_{s+1} \cap \bar{m}_j^{n-1} \subseteq \alpha_{s+1} \cap \bar{m}_j^{n-2} + \alpha_{s+1} \cap \bar{m}_j^{n-1} = \alpha_{s+1} \cap \bar{m}_j^{n-2}$

include: $\alpha_l \cap \bar{m}_j^{n-2} = \alpha_{l+1} \cap \bar{m}_j^{n-2} = \dots$

• Continuing in this way (by reverse induction on $s \in \{0, \dots, n\}$), we

conclude: $\alpha_l \cap \bar{m}_j^s = \alpha_{l+1} \cap \bar{m}_j^s = \dots$ for all $s=0, \dots, n$.

In particular, for $s=0$, this gives $\alpha_l = \alpha_{l+1} = \dots$. So our original chain stabilizes. □

§2 Primary Ideals: Fix $R =$ commutative ring

• Primary Decompositions are central to: (1) R Noetherian + $\dim 0 \Rightarrow |\text{MaxSpec}(R)| < \infty$

(2) Structure Theorem for modules over PIDs.

• Central Tool in Algebraic Geometry & the study of Dedekind domains.

Definition An ideal $q \subsetneq R$ is primary if for any $a, b \in R$ we have
 " $ab \in q$ & $b \notin q \Rightarrow a^n \in q$ for some $n \geq 1$ ".

Observation: Equivalent, every zero divisor of R/q is a nilpotent element
 (HW9)

Recall: The radical of an ideal $\mathcal{A} \subset R$ is:

$$r(\mathcal{A}) = \sqrt{\mathcal{A}} = \{ x \in R : x^n \in \mathcal{A} \text{ for some } n \geq 1 \}$$

Lemma: $q \subsetneq R$ primary $\Rightarrow r(q)$ is prime.

Pf/ $a, b \in \mathcal{P} = r(q) \Rightarrow (ab)^n = a^n b^n \in q$ for some $n \geq 1$.

\Rightarrow either $b^n \in q$ or $\underbrace{(a^n)^m \in q}_{\substack{\Downarrow \\ a \in \mathcal{P}}}$ for some $m \geq 1$
 $\begin{matrix} q \text{ primary} & & & & & & & & & & \square \\ & & \Downarrow & & & & & & & & \\ & & b \in \mathcal{P} & & & & & & & & \end{matrix}$

Observation: The difference between q & $\mathcal{P} = r(q)$ is algebraic & highlights the difference between a fat point (pt with multiplicity) & the point viewed as a set ("Algebra" behind Algebraic Geometry).

Examples: ① $R = \mathbb{K}[x]$ (x^n) is primary & $r(x^n) = (x)$
 ($ab \in (x^n)$ & $x^n \nmid b \Rightarrow x \mid a$ so $a^n \in (x^n)$.)

② $R = \mathbb{K}[x, y]$ $q = (x, y^2)$ is primary but it's NOT a power of a prime ideal

Pf/ $\mathcal{P} = r(q) = (x, y)$ and $\mathcal{P}^2 \subsetneq q \subsetneq \mathcal{P}$ ($x \notin \mathcal{P}^2$)

(*) $fg \in q$ write $f = a_0 + x f_1 + y f_2(y)$ $a_0 \in \mathbb{K}$
 $g = b_0 + x g_1 + y g_2(y)$ $b_0 \in \mathbb{K}$

$g \notin q$ means $b_0 \neq 0$ or $(f_3(0) \neq 0$ and $b_0 = 0)$

• Case 1: $b_0 \neq 0$

$$\Rightarrow fg = a_0 b_0 + x(g f_1 + a_0 g_1 + b_1 y f_2(y)) + y(f_2 g + a_0 g_2(y))$$

Since $fg \in (x, y^2)$, then $a_0 b_0 = 0$, so $a_0 = 0$.

$$\Rightarrow f_g = \underbrace{x(f_1g + g_1f_2(y)g_1)}_{\in \mathfrak{q}} + \underbrace{y^2 f_2 g_2(y)}_{\in \mathfrak{q}} + b_0 y f_2(y) \quad \text{L27 [6]}$$

$$\Rightarrow b_0 y f_2(y) \in \mathfrak{q} \quad \text{so} \quad b_0 y f_2(y) = x h_1(x,y) + y^2 h_2(x,y)$$

Evaluate at $x=0$ to get $b_0 y f_2(y) = y^2 h_2(0,y)$

$$\Rightarrow y | f_2(y) \quad \text{so} \quad f = 0 + x f_1(x,y) + y^2 \underbrace{\left(\frac{f_2}{y}\right)}_{\in R} \in \mathfrak{q}.$$

• Case 2: $b_0=0$ & $y \nmid g_2(y)$

$$\Rightarrow f_g = \underbrace{x(a_0 g_1 + x f_1 g_1 + y f_2(y) g_1 + y f_1 g_2(y))}_{\in \mathfrak{q}} + \underbrace{y^2 f g_2 + y a_0 g_2(y)}_{\in \mathfrak{q}}$$

$$\Rightarrow y a_0 g_2(y) \in \mathfrak{q} \quad \text{so} \quad y a_0 g_2(y) = x h_1(x,y) + y^2 h_2(x,y)$$

$$\xrightarrow{x=0} y a_0 g_2(y) = y^2 h_2(0,y) \quad \text{so} \quad y | g_2(y) \quad \text{or} \quad \boxed{a_0=0}$$

Contra!

$$\Rightarrow f = x f_1 + y f_2(y) \Rightarrow f^2 = x^2 f_1^2 + y^2 f_2^2(y) + 2xy f_1 f_2 \in (x, y^2) = \mathfrak{q}.$$

Note: $r(\mathfrak{q}) = (x, y)$ is maximal in $\mathbb{K}[x, y]$. □

This last examples are more general (see HW9)

Proposition: If R is commutative & $r(\mathfrak{q})$ is maximal, then \mathfrak{q} is primary.

Example 3 $R = \mathbb{K}[x, y, z] / (xy - z^2) \supset \mathfrak{P} = (\bar{x}, \bar{z})$

\mathfrak{P} is a prime ideal but \mathfrak{P}^2 is not primary.

$$\bullet R / \mathfrak{P} = \mathbb{K}[x, y, z] / (x, z, xy - z^2) = \mathbb{K}[x, y, z] / (x, z) = \mathbb{K}[y] \text{ integral domain}$$

$$\bullet \mathfrak{P}^2 = (\bar{x}^2, \bar{z}^2, \bar{x}\bar{z})$$

$$\bar{z}^2 = \bar{y}\bar{x} \in \mathfrak{P}^2 \quad \& \quad \bar{x} \notin \mathfrak{P}^2 \quad \text{but} \quad \bar{y} \notin r(\mathfrak{P}^2). \quad (\text{Exercise})$$

L27 (7)

⚠ We do have $\bar{y} \notin \mathfrak{P}^2$ but $\bar{x}^2 \in \mathfrak{P}^2$, i.e., the definition of primary is not symmetric in f & g .

Summary of examples:

- \mathfrak{q} primary $\Rightarrow \mathfrak{q} = \text{power of a prime ideal}$
- \mathfrak{P} prime $\not\Rightarrow \mathfrak{P}^n$ primary.
- $\mathfrak{r}(\mathfrak{q})$ is maximal $\Rightarrow \mathfrak{q}$ primary

Next time, we'll prove the following statement ("Primary Decomposition for Noetherian rings .")

Theorem: Assume R is commutative & Noetherian & let $\mathfrak{a} \subset R$ be an ideal.

Then $\exists \mathfrak{q}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{q}_\ell$ primary ideals of R with

$$\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{q}_1 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{q}_\ell$$