

## Lecture 28: Primary Decomposition II

228 □

Fix  $R =$  commutative ring.

Recall:  $q$  primary ideal of  $R$  if  $xy \in q$  &  $y \notin q \Rightarrow x^n \in q$  for some  $n$

Lemma 1:  $q$  primary  $\Rightarrow r(q)$  prime

Obs: ①  $q$  primary  $\not\Rightarrow q$  is a power of a prime

②  $\mathcal{P}$  prime  $\not\Rightarrow \mathcal{P}^n$  is primary

③  $r(q)$  maximal  $\Rightarrow q$  is primary

### § 1. Irreducible ideals & Primary decompositions:

Def: An ideal  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq R$  is irreducible if  $\mathcal{A} = b \cap c$  with  $b, c \subseteq R$  ideals, then  $\mathcal{A} = b$  or  $\mathcal{A} = c$ .

Terminology comes from topology: if  $R = \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ , then  $\mathcal{A}, b$  &  $c$  define 3 closed sets in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  (solutions to polynomials in each ideal), namely  $V(\mathcal{A}), V(b)$  &  $V(c)$ . Moreover:

$$\mathcal{A} = b \cap c \text{ translates to } V(\mathcal{A}) = V(b) \cup V(c)$$

So we can decompose  $V(\mathcal{A})$  if  $\mathcal{A}$  is NOT irreducible.

The next result says we have "Primary Decompositions for Noetherian rings."

Theorem 1: Assume  $R$  is Noetherian. Then:

(i) Every ideal in  $R$  is a finite intersection of irreducible ideals.

(ii) Irreducible  $\Rightarrow$  Primary

Proof: (i) We argue by contradiction. Set:

$$\Sigma := \{ \mathcal{A} \subseteq R \text{ ideal} : \mathcal{A} \text{ is not a finite intersection of irred. ideals} \}$$

• Since  $\Sigma \neq \emptyset$ , then  $\Sigma$  has a maximal element ( $R$  Noeth), say  $\mathcal{A} \in \Sigma$

Since  $\mathcal{A}$  is not irreducible (otherwise  $\mathcal{A} \notin \Sigma$ ), then  $\mathcal{A} = b \cap c$  for a pair of ideals  $b, c$  with  $\mathcal{A} \subsetneq b$  &  $\mathcal{A} \subsetneq c$

Now  $t, c \notin \Sigma$  by maximality of  $\mathcal{A}$ , so.

$$\begin{cases} t = t_1 \cap \dots \cap t_k \\ c = c_1 \cap \dots \cap c_l \end{cases} \text{ with } t_i, c_j \text{ irreducible}$$

$\Rightarrow \mathcal{A} = t_1 \cap \dots \cap t_k \cap c_1 \cap \dots \cap c_l \notin \Sigma$  (contr!) Conclude:  $\Sigma = \emptyset$ .

(2i) Fix  $\mathcal{A} \subsetneq R$  irreducible ideal.

Working with  $\tilde{R} = R/\mathcal{A}$ , we may assume  $(0)$  is an irreducible ideal

*still Noetherian*

Let  $xy \in (0)$  i.e.  $xy=0$  &  $y \neq 0$ . We want to prove  $x^n=0$  for some  $n > 0$ .  
 $y \notin (0)$

Consider the chain of ideals:

$$\text{Ann}(x) \subseteq \text{Ann}(x^2) \subseteq \dots \subseteq \text{Ann}(x^i) \subseteq \dots$$

$$[\text{Ann}(z) = \{r \in R \mid rz=0\} \subseteq_{\text{ideal}} R]$$

Since  $R$  is Noetherian,  $\exists n > 0$  st  $\text{Ann}(x^n) = \text{Ann}(x^{n+1}) = \dots$

Claim:  $(0) = (x^n) \cap (y)$ .

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \text{If } z) a \in (y) &\Rightarrow ax=0 \quad (\text{since } xy=0) \\ a \in (x^n) &\Rightarrow a=bx^n \end{aligned} \right\} \Rightarrow bx^{n+1}=0.$$

But  $bx^{n+1}=0 \Rightarrow b \in \text{Ann}(x^{n+1}) = \text{Ann}(x^n)$  so  $bx^n=0$ .

So  $a = bx^n = 0$ .

Since  $(0)$  is irreducible and  $(y) \neq (0)$ , we conclude  $(x^n) = (0)$ , i.e.  $x^n=0$  as desired.  $\square$

### Application:

Fix  $R$  Noetherian & commutative. Let  $\mathcal{A} \subsetneq R$  be an ideal.

Write  $\mathcal{A} = \mathfrak{q}_1 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{q}_e$  (a primary decomposition of  $\mathcal{A}$ )  
*irreducible ( $\Rightarrow$  primary)*

Let  $\mathfrak{P}_i = \mathfrak{r}(\mathfrak{q}_i)$  be the corresponding prime ideals.

Lemma 2: If  $\mathfrak{P} \not\subseteq \mathfrak{A}$  is a prime ideal, minimal among the <sup>L28 [3]</sup> set of prime ideals containing  $\mathfrak{A}$ , then  $\mathfrak{P} = \mathfrak{P}_i$  for some  $i=1, \dots, l$

PF/ By Theorem 2 of Prime Avoidance (Lecture 20), we have  $\mathfrak{q}_1 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{q}_l \subseteq \mathfrak{P} \Rightarrow \mathfrak{q}_i \subseteq \mathfrak{P}$  for some  $i$ .

Hence  $\mathfrak{P}_i = \overline{(\mathfrak{q}_i)} \subseteq \overline{(\mathfrak{P})} = \mathfrak{P}$ , but

$\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{P}_i \subseteq \mathfrak{P}$  &  $\mathfrak{P}$  minimal  $\Rightarrow \mathfrak{P}_i = \mathfrak{P}$ .  $\square$

Def: The minimal primes of  $R$  are the prime ideals of  $R$ , minimal with respect to inclusion.

Corollary 1: There are only finitely many minimal primes over any given ideal  $\mathfrak{A}$  of a Noetherian ring  $R$ . ( $\Leftrightarrow$  min primes in  $R/\mathfrak{A}$ )

Corollary 2: If  $R$  is Noetherian of dimension 0, the minimal primes are (0) are maximal ideals, so  $R$  has finitely many maximal ideals.

(This was the key fact assumed to prove "Noetherian + dim 0  $\Rightarrow$  Artinian")

### §3 Reduced Primary Decompositions - Uniqueness features:

We can simplify primary decompositions by avoiding redundancies of  $\mathfrak{q}_i$ 's & ensuring primary components have different primes associated to them (ie their radicals!)

Definition: A primary decomposition  $\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{q}_1 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{q}_l$  is reduced if

- (1)  $\mathfrak{P}_i = \sqrt{\mathfrak{q}_i}$  are all distinct
- & (2)  $\mathfrak{q}_i \not\subseteq \bigcap_{j \neq i} \mathfrak{q}_j$  for  $j=1, \dots, l$  (ie no  $\mathfrak{q}_i$  is redundant)

After removing redundant components (one at a time), we can achieve (1) thanks to the following lemma.

Lemma 1: If  $\tilde{q}_1, \dots, \tilde{q}_n$  are primary ideals with  $r(\tilde{q}_i) = \mathcal{P}$   
for  $i=1, \dots, n$ , then  $q = \bigcap_{i=1}^n \tilde{q}_i$  is also primary &  $r(q) = \mathcal{P}$

Proof:  $r(q) = \bigcap_{i=1}^n r(\tilde{q}_i) = \bigcap_{i=1}^n \mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}$ .  
*(exercise)*

• We prove that  $q$  is primary using the definition.

Pick  $xy \in q$  with  $y \notin q$ . Then  $\exists j$  with  $y \notin \tilde{q}_j$

Since  $\tilde{q}_j$  is primary  $\exists n \geq 1$  with  $x^n \in \tilde{q}_j$  i.e.  $x \in r(\tilde{q}_j) = \mathcal{P} = r(q)$

$\Rightarrow \exists N \geq 1$  with  $x^N \in q$ , as we wanted. □

Obs: If  $\mathcal{A} = q_1 \cap \dots \cap q_\ell$  is a reduced primary decomp., the  $q_i$ 's are called primary components of  $\mathcal{A}$ . They are not unique.

Example:  $\mathcal{A} = (x^2, xy) \subset R := \mathbb{K}[x, y]$  (monomial ideal)

- $\mathcal{P}_1 = (x)$  &  $\mathcal{P}_2 = (x, y)$  are prime ideals of  $R$
- $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{P}_1 \cap \mathcal{P}_2^2 = \mathcal{P}_1 \cap (x^2, y)$  are 2 reduced primary decomp of  $\mathcal{A}$ .
- $\mathcal{P}_1$  primary:  $fg \in (x)$  &  $g \notin (x) \Rightarrow x | f$ , so  $f \in (x)$ . ( $n=1$ )
- $\mathcal{P}_2^2$  ————— because  $r(\mathcal{P}_2^2) = \mathcal{P}_2$  is maximal.
- $(x^2, y)$  —————  $r(x^2, y) = \mathcal{P}_2$  —————.
- $\mathcal{P}_i/\mathcal{A} = \sqrt{\mathcal{P}_i}/\mathcal{A}$  is a minimal prime of  $R/\mathcal{A}$  ( $\mathcal{P}_i$  is a minimal prime on  $\mathcal{A}$ )

Def:  $\text{Ass}(\mathcal{A}) = \{ \sqrt{q_1}, \dots, \sqrt{q_\ell} \}$  = Associated primes.

Key results •  $\text{Ass}(\mathcal{A})$  doesn't depend on the choice of reduced primary decomp

• Any  $\mathcal{P}$  prime containing  $\mathcal{A}$  where  $\mathcal{P}/\mathcal{A}$  is a minimal prime of  $R/\mathcal{A}$  must feature in  $\text{Ass}(\mathcal{A})$ . Call them  $\text{Min}(\mathcal{A})$

• Uniqueness of  $q_i$  only applies to  $q_i$ 's with  $r(q_i) \in \text{Min}(\mathcal{A})$

Theorem 2: Assume that  $\mathcal{A} = \mathfrak{q}_1 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{q}_k$  is a reduced primary decomp with  $\Pi(\mathcal{A}) = \{ \sqrt{\mathfrak{q}_1}, \dots, \sqrt{\mathfrak{q}_s} \}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{q}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{q}_s$  are uniquely determined by  $\mathcal{A}$ . More explicitly:  $\mathfrak{q}_i = j_i^{-1}(j_i(\mathcal{A}) R_{\mathfrak{p}_i})$   
 $\hookrightarrow i=1, \dots, s$  where  $\mathfrak{p}_i = \sqrt{\mathfrak{q}_i}$  &  $j_i: R \rightarrow R_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$   
 $a \mapsto \frac{a}{1}$

Proof: We fix  $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$  and write  $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}_i, \mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{q}_i$  &  $j = j_i: R \rightarrow R_{\mathfrak{p}}$   
 $S = (R \setminus \mathfrak{p})$   
 $a \mapsto \frac{a}{1}$

Define  $\mathfrak{b} =$  ideal in  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  generated by  $j(\mathcal{A})$   
 $= S^{-1}\mathcal{A} = j(\mathcal{A}) R_{\mathfrak{p}}$

GOAL: Show  $\mathfrak{q} = j^{-1}(\mathfrak{b})$

We prove this by a series of claims:

Claim 1:  $S^{-1}\mathcal{A} = \bigcap_{k=1}^l S^{-1}(\mathfrak{q}_k)$

PF/ A regu by induction on  $l$  using that for  $l=2$  this works for any pair of submodules of an ambient module  $M$ . (Take  $M=R, N_1=\mathfrak{q}_1, N_2=\mathfrak{q}_2$ ) More precisely.

(\*) If  $N_1, N_2 \subseteq M$  are  $R$ -submodules, then  $(S^{-1}N_1) \cap (S^{-1}N_2) = S^{-1}(N_1 \cap N_2)$

• Indeed,  $(\supseteq)$  is true since  $N_1 \cap N_2 \subseteq N_i \Rightarrow S^{-1}(N_1 \cap N_2) \subseteq S^{-1}(N_i)$  are  $S^{-1}R$  submodules of  $S^{-1}M$ .

• For  $(\subseteq)$  Pick  $\frac{m}{s} \in S^{-1}N_1 \cap S^{-1}N_2$ , so  $\exists m_1 \in N_1, m_2 \in N_2$  &  $s_1, s_2 \in S$  with  $\frac{m}{s} = \frac{m_1}{s_1}$  &  $\frac{m}{s} = \frac{m_2}{s_2}$  in  $S^{-1}M$

Then:  $\exists t \in S$  with  $t(s_2 \cdot m_1 - s_1 \cdot m_2) = 0$

$N_1 \ni (ts_2) \cdot m_1 = (ts_1) \cdot m_2 \in N_2$ , so it's in  $N_1 \cap N_2$

Now  $\frac{m}{s} = \frac{m_1}{s_1} = \frac{ts_2 m_1}{ts_2 s_1} \in S^{-1}(N_1 \cap N_2)$  as we wanted.  $\square$

Claim 2:  $S^{-1}q_k = S^{-1}R$  if  $k \neq i$  so  $\bar{j}^{-1}(S^{-1}q_k) = R$  if  $k \neq i$  (28) □

PF/ It suffices to show  $q_k \cap S \neq \emptyset$ . If this were not the case, then  $q_k \subseteq \mathcal{P}$ , then  $\mathcal{P}_k = r(q_k) \subseteq r(\mathcal{P}) = \mathcal{P}$ , so  $\mathcal{P} \subseteq q_k \subseteq r(q_k) = \mathcal{P}_k \subseteq \mathcal{P}$  &  $\mathcal{P} \in \text{Prim}(\mathcal{A})$  forcing  $\mathcal{P}_k = \mathcal{P}$ . This cannot happen because our primary decomposition was reduced!

Claim 3:  $\bar{j}^{-1}(S^{-1}q) = q$

PF/ Clearly  $q \subseteq \bar{j}^{-1}(S^{-1}q)$  Note:  $q \subseteq r(q) = \mathcal{P}$  so  $q \cap S = \emptyset$

Conversely, if  $x \in \bar{j}^{-1}(S^{-1}q)$ , then  $x = \frac{x}{1} \in S^{-1}q$ , so

$$\frac{x}{1} = \sum_{m=1}^N \frac{a_m}{b_m} \frac{x_m}{1} \quad \text{with } \frac{a_m}{b_m} \in R_{\mathcal{P}}, \quad x_m \in q.$$

$$= \sum_{m=1}^N \frac{a_m}{b_m} x_m \quad \text{with } b = \prod b_m \notin \mathcal{P}$$

Call  $a = \sum_{m=1}^N \frac{a_m}{b_m} x_m \in q$ , to see  $\frac{x}{1} = \frac{a}{b}$  with  $a \in q$  &  $b \notin \mathcal{P}$

Now:  $\exists s \notin \mathcal{P}$  with  $s(bx - a) = s(bx - a) = 0$  in  $R$   
 $(sb)x = sa \in q$ .

By definition, if  $x \notin q$ , then  $\exists n > 0$  with  $(sb)^n \in q$ , i.e.  $sb \in \mathcal{P}$  but this can't happen because  $s \notin \mathcal{P}$  &  $b \notin \mathcal{P}$ . (9)

Conclude  $x \in q$ . so  $\bar{j}^{-1}(S^{-1}q) \subseteq q$ . □

Observation: This uniqueness will be easy to prove for PIDs, since each primary ideal  $q \neq (0)$  will be of the form  $m^n$  for some  $n \geq 1$  & with  $m$  a maximal ideal.