

Last Time: Reduced Primary Decompositions for ideals of Noetherian rings (commutative)

Definition: A primary decomposition $\mathcal{A} = \mathfrak{q}_1 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{q}_\ell$ is reduced if

(1) $\mathfrak{q}_i \not\supseteq \bigcap_{j \neq i} \mathfrak{q}_j$ for $j=1, \dots, \ell$ (ie no \mathfrak{q}_i is redundant)

(2) $\mathfrak{P}_i = \sqrt{\mathfrak{q}_i}$ are all distinct

Def: $\text{Ass}(\mathcal{A}) = \{ \sqrt{\mathfrak{q}_1}, \dots, \sqrt{\mathfrak{q}_\ell} \}$ = Associated primes of \mathcal{A}

Key results • $\text{Ass}(\mathcal{A})$ doesn't depend on the choice of reduced primary decomp (we didn't give a proof of this!)

• Any \mathfrak{P} prime containing \mathcal{A} where \mathfrak{P}/\mathcal{A} is a minimal prime of R/\mathcal{A} must feature in $\text{Ass}(\mathcal{A})$. Call them $\text{Min}(\mathcal{A})$

• Uniqueness of \mathfrak{q}_i only applies to \mathfrak{q}_i 's with $\mathfrak{r}(\mathfrak{q}_i) \in \text{Min}(\mathcal{A})$
 $(\mathfrak{q}_i = \mathfrak{j}_i^{-1}(\mathfrak{j}_i(\mathcal{A})) \quad \mathfrak{j}_i: R \rightarrow R_{\mathfrak{P}_i} \quad \mathfrak{P}_i = \mathfrak{r}(\mathfrak{q}_i))$

§1. Primary Decompositions for PIDs:

Recall A commutative ring R is a principal ideal domain (PID) if it is a domain and every ideal of R is of the form (a) for $a \in R$.

Examples ① \mathbb{Z} ($I \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ & $I \neq (0)$, then $I = (\min(I \cap \mathbb{Z}_{>0}))$)

② $K[x]$ ($I \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$, $I \neq (0)$, then $I = (f)$ where $f \in I$ has minimal degree.

• ① & ② are Euclidean domains & all Euclidean Domains are PIDs.

Observation: PID \Rightarrow Noetherian (Ideals are finitely generated!)

As a consequence, we have primary decompositions for PIDs.

Q: What do they look like?

Lemma 1: Fix a PID R & a nonzero prime ideal \mathcal{P} of R . Then, \mathcal{P} is a maximal ideal of R . (29)

Proof: Write $\mathcal{P} = (a)$ with $a \neq 0$ (R is a PID & \mathcal{P} is an ideal)

Assume $\mathcal{P} = (a) \subsetneq I = (b) \subseteq R$. We need to show $I = R$.

• Since $a \in (b)$ we can write $a = bc$ for $c \in R$.

If $I \neq \mathcal{P}$ then $b \notin \mathcal{P}$. The prime condition gives $c \in \mathcal{P}$, so $c = ax$.

Then $a = bc = bax$, i.e. $a(bx - 1) = 0$

Since $a \neq 0$ & R is a domain, we conclude $bx - 1 = 0$, so b is a unit & thus $I = R$. □

Corollary: If \mathcal{Q} is a nonzero primary ideal in a PID, then $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Q})$ is a maximal ideal.

Q: What more can we say about primary components?

Lemma 2: If R is a PID & $\mathcal{Q} \neq (0)$ is a primary ideal, then

$\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{M}^n$ for some maximal ideal ($\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Q})$)

Proof: We know $\mathcal{Q} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Q}) = \mathcal{M}$

Since R is a PID, we have $\mathcal{Q} = (q)$ & $\mathcal{M} = (p)$ with $p^n \in \mathcal{Q}$ for some $n \geq 1$.

Pick smallest such n , i.e. $p^n \in \mathcal{Q}$ but $p^{n-1} \notin \mathcal{Q}$.

• Since $q \in (p)$, we have $q = px$ for some x

• Since $p^n \in (q)$ we have $p^n = qy$ for some $y \in R \setminus \mathcal{M}$ (otherwise, we get $p^{n-1} \in (q)$)

$\Rightarrow p^n = qy = (px)y$ gives $p(p^{n-1} - xy) = 0$

Since R is a domain, $p^{n-1} = xy = yx \in \mathcal{M}^{n-1}$

• But \mathcal{M}^{n-1} is a primary ideal ($\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M}^{n-1}) = \mathcal{M}$ is maximal), so

$yx \in \mathcal{M}^{n-1}$ & $y \notin \mathcal{M} = \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M}^{n-1})$ forces $x \in \mathcal{M}^{n-1}$ (definition of primary ideal)

$\Rightarrow x \in (p^{n-1})$ & we can write $x = p^{n-1}z$ for some $z \in R$.

Conclude: $q = px = p(p^{n-1}z) = p^n z$

$\Rightarrow q = (q) \subseteq (p^n) = m^n \subseteq (q)$ giving $q = m^n$. \square
 $p^n \in q$.

This statement has a crucial consequence:

Theorem 1 (Primary Decompositions for PIDs)

Fix a nonzero proper ideal \mathcal{A} of a PID R . Then, there exists primary ideals q_1, \dots, q_ℓ of R satisfying:

- (1) $\mathcal{A} = q_1 \cap \dots \cap q_\ell$ ("Primary Decomposition of \mathcal{A} ")
- (2) $q_i \not\subseteq \bigcap_{j \neq i} q_j$ (no redundancies in (1))
- (3) $\{ \mathfrak{P}_i = \mathfrak{r}(q_i) \}_{1 \leq i \leq \ell}$ are distinct non-zero prime ideals of R } *reduced primary decomp.*

Furthermore, $\text{Min}(\mathcal{A}) = \text{Ass}(\mathcal{A})$, & q_1, \dots, q_ℓ are unique.
 \hookrightarrow all nonzero prime ideals are maximal (so $\text{Ass}(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \text{Min}(\mathcal{A})$)

PF/ Use existence of reduced primary decomp for Noetherian rings, (Lecture 28, Thm 1 & Lemma 1). For the uniqueness, we note that $m_i := \mathfrak{r}(q_i)$ is maximal by Lemma 1 & $q_i = m_i^{n_i}$ by Lemma 2. In particular, any 2 reduced primary decompositions will have the form:

$$\mathcal{A} = m_1^{n_1} \cap \dots \cap m_\ell^{n_\ell} = m_1^{s_1} \cap \dots \cap m_\ell^{s_\ell}$$

The Associated primes are unique because they are minimal $\hat{\text{on}} \mathcal{A}$ (Lecture 28, Lemma 2)

To finish, we have to show $n_i = s_i$ for each s_i .

Claim $n_i \leq \max \{ n \geq 1 : \mathcal{A} \subseteq m_i^n \}$ (This will be crucial to show that the n_i 's are unique)

PF/ \mathcal{A} is proper & $m_i \in \text{Min}(\mathcal{A})$ so $\mathcal{A} \subseteq m_i$.

We want to show $\Lambda = \{ n \geq 1 : \mathcal{A} \subseteq m_i^n \}$ is finite. If not, this will force

$$\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \text{ since } m_i^{j+1} \subseteq m_i^j \text{ says } "j+1 \in \Lambda \Rightarrow j \in \Lambda"$$

Since R is a PID, write $\mathcal{A} = (a)$ $a \neq 0$ & $m_i = (x)$ $x \neq 0$ & not a unit

• $\alpha \subseteq m_i^j$ translates to $a = b_j x^j$ for each $j \geq 1$

Since R is a domain $a = b_{j+1} x^{j+1} = b_j x^j$ yields $b_j = b_{j+1} x$, and so we get an ascending chain of ideals in R :

$$(b_1) \subseteq (b_2) \subseteq (b_3) \subseteq \dots$$

Since R is Noetherian $\exists m$ with $(b_m) = (b_{m+1}) = \dots$

Thus, $b_m = b_{m+1} x$ & $b_{m+1} \in (b_m)$ gives $b_{m+1} = c b_m$ for $c \in R$

$$\Rightarrow b_m = (c b_m) x = b_m c x \text{ gives } 1 = c x, \text{ which cannot occur}$$

because $x \in m_i$ mxl ideal.

Conclusion: Λ is bounded say $\Lambda = \{1, \dots, N_i\}$

By construction, $\alpha \subseteq m_i^{N_i}$ & $\alpha \not\subseteq m_i^{N_i+1}$. This forces $n_i \leq N_i$ \square

By intersecting α with $m_i^{N_i}$ we get:

$$\alpha = \alpha \cap m_i^{N_i} = m_1^{n_1} \cap \dots \cap \underbrace{(m_i^{n_i} \cap m_i^{N_i})}_{= m_i^{n_i}} \cap \dots \cap m_\ell^{n_\ell}$$

Conclusion: $\alpha = m_1^{n_1} \cap \dots \cap m_\ell^{n_\ell}$ is a reduced primary decomposition. (assoc. primes are \neq & minimal)

$$\text{Now: } \alpha = m_1^{n_1} \cap \dots \cap m_\ell^{n_\ell} = m_1^{N_1} \cap \dots \cap m_\ell^{N_\ell} \text{ with } n_i \leq N_i \forall i$$

• We want to show $n_i = N_i \forall i$

Since m_1, \dots, m_ℓ are different maximal ideals, they are pairwise coprime and so are $\{m_1^{n_1}, \dots, m_\ell^{n_\ell}\}$ & $\{m_1^{N_1}, \dots, m_\ell^{N_\ell}\}$ (extend Lemma 1, Lecture 27)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{So } m_1^{n_1} \cap \dots \cap m_\ell^{n_\ell} &= m_1^{n_1} \dots m_\ell^{n_\ell} \\ m_1^{N_1} \cap \dots \cap m_\ell^{N_\ell} &= m_1^{N_1} \dots m_\ell^{N_\ell} \end{aligned} \text{ by Problem 2 HW7}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Write } m_i &= (x_i) \text{ for } i=1, \dots, \ell, \text{ so } m_1^{n_1} \dots m_\ell^{n_\ell} = (x_1^{n_1} \dots x_\ell^{n_\ell}) \\ m_1^{N_1} \dots m_\ell^{N_\ell} &= (x_1^{N_1} \dots x_\ell^{N_\ell}) \end{aligned}$$

Since $x_1^{n_1} \dots x_\ell^{n_\ell} \in (x_1^{N_1} \dots x_\ell^{N_\ell})$ we get $x_1^{n_1} \dots x_\ell^{n_\ell} = c x_1^{N_1} \dots x_\ell^{N_\ell}$ for some $c \in R$

Since $n_i \leq N_i$ & R is a domain, we get $1 = c x_1^{N_1-n_1} \dots x_\ell^{N_\ell-n_\ell} \in m_i^{N_i-n_i}$.

If $n_i < N_i$ for some i , then we get $1 \in m_i^{N_i-n_i} \subseteq m_i$, which can't happen. This ends our proof.

Corollary: Assume R is a PID & $\exists x \in R \setminus \{0\}$. Then x can be uniquely written as $x = u p_1^{n_1} \dots p_l^{n_l}$ where

(1) u is a unit in R

(2) $n_1, \dots, n_l \geq 0$ are integers

(3) p_1, \dots, p_l are prime elements in R (meaning (p_i) is a prime ideal) with $(p_i) \neq (p_j)$ if $i \neq j$ & $\{(p_1), \dots, (p_l)\}$ is unique

Definition: A commutative ring R satisfying (1) - (3) is called a unique factorization domain (U.F.D.)

Remark: Our corollary says all PIDs are UFDs.

Proof of Corollary: Combine Theorem 1 & Lemma 3 to write

$$(0) \neq (x) = (p_1^{n_1}) \cap \dots \cap (p_l^{n_l}) = (p_1)^{n_1} \cap \dots \cap (p_l)^{n_l}$$

$\bullet (p_1^{n_1}), \dots, (p_l)^{n_l}$ are unique \Rightarrow $\bullet n_1, \dots, n_l$ are unique &

$\bullet (p_i) = \bigcap \{(p_i)^{n_i}\}$ are unique

\bullet The proof of Theorem 1 says $n_i = \max \{n : x \in (p_i)^n\}$. so they are unique! □

Example: In \mathbb{Z} , $0 \neq m = \pm p_1^{n_1} \dots p_l^{n_l}$ p_i distinct primes (> 0) corresponds to $(m) = (p_1)^{n_1} \cap \dots \cap (p_l)^{n_l}$.

$\bullet (\pm 1) = \mathbb{Z}$ has no primary component other than \mathbb{Z} itself, so $l=0$ for $m=\pm 1$

