

## Lecture V: Primary Decompositions & minimal primes of ideals

Fix  $R =$  Noetherian commutative ring

Recall:  $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq R$  ideal is primary if  $ab \in \mathfrak{a}$  &  $a \notin \mathfrak{a} \Rightarrow b \in \sqrt{\mathfrak{a}}$

Lemma: (1)  $\mathfrak{q} \subseteq R$  primary  $\Rightarrow \sqrt{\mathfrak{q}}$  prime.

(2)  $\sqrt{\mathfrak{q}} \subseteq R$  is maximal  $\Rightarrow \mathfrak{q}$  is primary

&1. More on indecomposable ideals:

GOAL: Write any ideal of  $R$  as an intersection of finitely many primary ideals with different radicals. [Primary decompositions]

Proposition 1: If  $\mathfrak{q}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{q}_r$  are primary ideals of  $R$  with  $\sqrt{\mathfrak{q}_1} = \dots = \sqrt{\mathfrak{q}_r} = \mathfrak{P}$  then  $\mathfrak{q}_1 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{q}_r$  is also primary and  $\sqrt{\mathfrak{q}_1 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{q}_r} = \mathfrak{P}$ .

Proof. The second statement follows directly from Lemma 1 (below).

• It remains to show that  $\mathfrak{q} := \mathfrak{q}_1 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{q}_r$  is primary.

Fix  $a, b \in R$  with  $ab \in \mathfrak{q}$  & assume  $a \notin \mathfrak{q}$ . This means:

(i)  $ab \in \mathfrak{q}_j$   $\forall j = 1, \dots, r$

(ii)  $\exists j_0$  with  $a \notin \mathfrak{q}_{j_0}$

Since  $ab \in \mathfrak{q}_{j_0}$ ,  $a \notin \mathfrak{q}_{j_0}$  &  $\mathfrak{q}_{j_0}$  is primary, we get  $b \in \sqrt{\mathfrak{q}_{j_0}} = \mathfrak{P} = \sqrt{\mathfrak{q}}$   $\square$

Lemma 1: For any pair of ideals  $\mathfrak{a}_1$  &  $\mathfrak{a}_2$  we have  $\sqrt{\mathfrak{a}_1 \cap \mathfrak{a}_2} = \sqrt{\mathfrak{a}_1} \cap \sqrt{\mathfrak{a}_2}$

pf/ ( $\subseteq$ ) is clear because  $\mathfrak{a}_1 \cap \mathfrak{a}_2 \subseteq \mathfrak{a}_i$  for  $i=1,2$  &  $\sqrt{\quad}$  preserves inclusions.

( $\supseteq$ ) If  $a \in \sqrt{\mathfrak{a}_1} \cap \sqrt{\mathfrak{a}_2} \Rightarrow \exists n_1, n_2 \geq 1$  s.t.  $a^{n_1} \in \mathfrak{a}_1$  &  $a^{n_2} \in \mathfrak{a}_2$ . Thus, for  $N = \max\{n_1, n_2\}$  we have  $a^N \in \mathfrak{a}_1 \cap \mathfrak{a}_2$ , i.e.  $a \in \sqrt{\mathfrak{a}_1 \cap \mathfrak{a}_2}$   $\square$

• To build primary decompositions of ideals, we'll take a detour. More precisely, we'll:

(1) define indecomposable ideals.

(2) construct "indecomposable decomp." of ideals (Noetherianness is key here!)

(3) show that indecomposable ideals are primary.

## §2 Irreducible ideals:

Definition: An ideal  $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq R$  is called irreducible if the following holds:

$$\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{a}_1 \cap \mathfrak{a}_2 \text{ for some ideals } \mathfrak{a}_1, \mathfrak{a}_2 \text{ of } R \Rightarrow \mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{a}_1 \text{ or } \mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{a}_2$$

Note: The terminology matches that of affine subvarieties of  $A^n$ .

$$[\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{a}_1 \cap \mathfrak{a}_2 \Rightarrow V(\mathfrak{a}) = V(\mathfrak{a}_1) \cup V(\mathfrak{a}_2)]$$

The next result gives us a large class of irreducible ideals:

Lemma 2: Every prime ideal is irreducible

Proof: Pick  $\mathfrak{P}$  a prime ideal & write  $\mathfrak{P} = \mathfrak{a}_1 \cap \mathfrak{a}_2$  for 2 ideals  $\mathfrak{a}_1, \mathfrak{a}_2$

Therefore  $\mathfrak{P} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}_1$  &  $\mathfrak{P} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}_2$ .

By construction  $\mathfrak{a}_1, \mathfrak{a}_2 \subseteq \mathfrak{a}_1 \cap \mathfrak{a}_2 = \mathfrak{P}$ . This gives:

Claim:  $\mathfrak{a}_1 \subseteq \mathfrak{P}$  or  $\mathfrak{a}_2 \subseteq \mathfrak{P}$ .

St/ By contradiction, if  $a_1 \in \mathfrak{a}_1 \setminus \mathfrak{P}$  &  $a_2 \in \mathfrak{a}_2 \setminus \mathfrak{P}$ , then  $a_1 a_2 \notin \mathfrak{P}$  because  $\mathfrak{P}$  is prime, but  $a_1 a_2 \in \mathfrak{a}_1 \mathfrak{a}_2 \subseteq \mathfrak{P}$ . Contradiction!

We conclude from here that  $\mathfrak{a}_1 = \mathfrak{P}$  or  $\mathfrak{a}_2 = \mathfrak{P}$ . □

 primary  $\not\Rightarrow$  irreducible (see HW2)

Our next results guarantees "irreducible decompositions" of ideals exist.

Theorem 1: Assume  $R$  is a Noetherian commutative ring. Then, any ideal of  $R$  is a finite intersection of irreducible ideals.

Proof: We argue by contradiction & assume the set:

$$\Sigma = \{ \mathfrak{a} \subseteq R \text{ ideal} : \mathfrak{a} \text{ is not a finite intersection of irred. ideals} \}$$

is non-empty. Since  $R$  is Noetherian,  $\Sigma$  must have a maximal element wrt inclusion. Say  $\mathfrak{a} \in \Sigma$  is such a mxl element.

• Since  $\mathfrak{a} \in \Sigma$ , we know that  $\mathfrak{a}$  cannot be irreducible, so we can write  $\mathfrak{a}$  as  $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{b} \cap \mathfrak{c}$  for two ideals  $\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{c}$  with  $\mathfrak{a} \subsetneq \mathfrak{b}$  &  $\mathfrak{a} \subsetneq \mathfrak{c}$ .

• Since  $\mathfrak{a}$  was maximal in  $\Sigma$ , then  $\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{c} \notin \Sigma$ . Thus we can decompose  $\mathfrak{b}$  &  $\mathfrak{c}$ :

$$\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{b}_1 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{b}_s \quad \text{with } \mathfrak{b}_i \text{ irred. } \forall i=1, \dots, s$$

$$\mathfrak{c} = \mathfrak{c}_1 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{c}_r \quad \text{--- } \mathfrak{c}_j \text{ --- } \forall j=1, \dots, s$$

This gives a decomposition of  $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{b}_1 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{b}_s \cap \mathfrak{c}_1 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{c}_r$ , so  $\mathfrak{a} \notin \Sigma$ .

Contradiction! Conclusion:  $\Sigma = \emptyset$ , as we wanted to show.  $\square$

To finish, we need to show the validity of (3):

Lemma 3: Irreducible ideals are primary ideals, if  $R$  is Noetherian.

Proof: Fix  $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq R$  irreducible ideal  $\Rightarrow (0) \subseteq R/\mathfrak{a} = \tilde{R}$  is also an irred. ideal in the Noetherian commutative ring  $\tilde{R}$ . In addition:  $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq R$  is primary iff  $(0) \subseteq \tilde{R}$  is primary. Thus, it is enough to prove the statement for  $(0)$  when it's irreducible.

• Pick  $a, b \in R$  &  $ab = 0$ . Assuming  $a \neq 0$ , we want to show  $b^n = 0$  for some  $n$ .

For this, we consider the chain of ideals:

$$(*) \quad \text{Ann}(b) \subseteq \text{Ann}(b^2) \subseteq \dots \subseteq \text{Ann}(b^k) \subseteq \text{Ann}(b^{k+1}) \subseteq \dots$$

[Recall  $\text{Ann}(x) = \{y \in R : y \cdot x = 0\} \subseteq R$  is an ideal]

• Since  $R$  is Noetherian, the ascending chain  $(*)$  stabilizes, so  $\exists n > 0$  st

$$\text{Ann}(b^n) = \text{Ann}(b^k) \quad \forall k \geq n.$$

Claim:  $(0) = (b^n) \cap (a)$

pf/ We prove the inclusion  $\supseteq$  Pick  $x \in (b^n) \cap (a)$ .

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} (1) \cdot x \in (a) \Rightarrow xb \in (ab) = (0) \\ (2) \cdot x \in (b^n) \Rightarrow x = b^n y \text{ for some } y \in R \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \left. \begin{array}{l} b^{n+1} y = 0, \text{ i.e.} \\ y \in \text{Ann}(b^{n+1}) = \text{Ann}(b^n) \end{array} \right\}$$

Plugging this back in (2) gives  $x = b^n y = 0$ .  $\square$

• Since  $(0)$  is indecomposable by assumption &  $(a) \neq (0)$  by hypothesis, we conclude that  $(0) = (b^n)$  i.e.  $x=0$  as we wanted to show.  $\square$

Corollary 1: We can decompose any ideal as a finite intersection of primary ideals with different radicals.

pf/ Combine Theorem 1 & Lemma 3 to build the decomposition - Group together those primary ideals with the same radical via Proposition 1 to get the second part of the statement.

For radical ideals we get:

Corollary 2: Every radical ideal is a finite intersection of prime ideals

Remark: The construction for  $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  & power series rings is due to Lasker (1905)

It uses induction on  $n$  & complicated eliminations. The statement and proof for any Noetherian comm. ring discussed above is much cleaner. It is due to E Noether (1921).

Natural Question: (1) Are these decompositions unique?

(2) What do the radicals of the primary components have to do with  $\mathfrak{a}$ ?

## §2 Minimal Primary Decompositions & minimal primes

Next goal: (1) characterize primary ideals in the decomp  
(2) analyze possible uniqueness.

Theorem 2: Let  $R$  be a Noetherian commutative ring &  $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq R$  a proper ideal. Then

$\exists \mathfrak{q}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{q}_r$  primary ideals (so, proper) such that

(1)  $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{q}_1 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{q}_r$  (primary decomp)

(2)  $\mathfrak{p}_1 = \sqrt{\mathfrak{q}_1}, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_r = \sqrt{\mathfrak{q}_r}$  are all distinct primes.

(3) [Minimality] The intersection in (1) has no irrelevant terms, that is  $\forall j=1, \dots, r$   $\mathfrak{q}_j \not\supseteq \bigcap_{i \neq j} \mathfrak{q}_i$  (no  $\mathfrak{q}_j$  can be omitted from (1))

Name:  $\mathfrak{q}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{q}_r$  are called primary components of  $\mathfrak{a}$  for this decomposition.

Proof: (1) follows from any irreducible decomp of  $\mathcal{A}$  (Theorem 1 § 3.2)

(2) comes from grouping together primary ideals featured in (1) with the same radical (Proposition 1 § 4.1).

(3) is obtained from (1) by removing redundant  $\mathcal{Q}_i$ 's on (RHS)

Definition: The set  $\{\mathcal{P}_1, \dots, \mathcal{P}_r\}$  is called the set of Associated primes of  $\mathcal{A}$ . We denote it by  $\text{Assoc}(\mathcal{A})$

Remark: The construction of  $\text{Assoc}(\mathcal{A})$  is independent of the minimal decomp, but this is NOT obvious! We'll see it in § 5.2

One thing we can show is the minimal primes over  $\mathcal{A}$  always lie in  $\text{Assoc}(\mathcal{A})$ .

Definition: Given  $\mathcal{A}$  ideal &  $\mathcal{P}$  prime ideal with  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{P}$ , we say  $\mathcal{P}$  is a minimal prime of  $\mathcal{A}$  if  $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{A}$  or if  $\mathcal{A}$  is NOT prime &  $\nexists \mathcal{P}'$  prime with  $\mathcal{A} \subsetneq \mathcal{P}' \subsetneq \mathcal{P}$ . We write  $\text{Min}(\mathcal{A})$  for the set of minimal primes of  $\mathcal{A}$ .

- Our next result ensures  $\text{Min}(\mathcal{A})$  is finite.

Lemma 4: For any proper ideal  $\mathcal{A}$  of a Noetherian commutative ring  $R$  we have  $\text{Min}(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \text{Assoc}(\mathcal{A})$

Proof: Next time.

Corollary 3: The set  $\text{Min}(\mathcal{A})$  is finite

Note: We are not using that  $\text{Assoc}(\mathcal{A})$  is independent of the minimal primary decomposition of  $\mathcal{A}$ , just that it is finite for any such decomposition.