## Letrere X: Cordinate Rings & Mrphisms

So far we have described the objects of interest (altrine subvarieties of 
$$|A_{IK}^{n}\rangle$$
  
Next, we unstruct functions between these objects to obtain a category.  
St. Coordinate Rings:  
Definition: Given  $W \subseteq A_{IK}^{n}$  we define its coordinate eing or the aring of polynomial functions  
m W as  $|K[W] := |K[x_1, \dots; x_n]_{I(W)}$   
Note: We know from Lemma 9 \$3.2 that  $I(W)$  is radical, so  $|K[W]$  has no nilpotents

This lack of nilpotents will be dropped when dealing with Schemes. Q: Why is this called the ring of polynemial functions?

A: A phynomial 
$$F \in K(x_1, \dots, x_n)$$
 defines a function  $F: A_{K}^{n} \longrightarrow K = A_{K}^{n}$ .  
 $P \longmapsto F(P)$ 

However, 2 functions  $F, g \in \mathcal{H}_{1K}^{n}$  restrict to the same function on  $W \subseteq \mathcal{H}_{1K}^{n}$  subvariety if, and may if,  $f(\underline{p}) - g(\underline{p}) = 0$   $\forall \underline{p} \in W$ . Equivalently, if  $f = -g \in I(w)$ . Thus,  $\overline{f} = \overline{g}$  in |K[V]The lock of vibratists  $|\underline{p}||K[v]$  ensure that we don't have f with  $f^{m}(\underline{p}) = p$  there

• The lack of nilpotents for IK[V] ensures that we don't have f with f<sup>m</sup>(p)=0 <u>±</u>I∈V but f ≠0. on V.

The ring |K[w] admits many presentations, so I(w) cannot be recovered uniquely fam |K[w]. (Eg  $|K[A'_{iK}] = |K[X] = |K[X,y]_{(y)}$ ) • For affine schemes, the space is determined by its ring of functions, so the presentation will be inderent

<u>Remark</u>: W is ineducible  $\iff$  [K.[W] is an integral domain. • This construction allows us to build relative versions of V(·) & I(·) to pre surselves from the ambient variety  $A_{ik}^{\mu}$ .

32. Polynomial maps between affrine varieties:
Given V = 1A<sup>n</sup><sub>ik</sub> & W ∈ A<sup>m</sup><sub>ik</sub> see used goal is to define maps 4: V → W compatible with the algebra of affrine nucleis. What conditions we want to impose on 4?
(1) Maps should be continuous with respect to the Zanishi topology a both V & W
(2) If W = A<sup>1</sup><sub>ik</sub> we should recore IK[V] which we identified with polynomial maps V → A<sup>n</sup><sub>ik</sub>.
In general, maps V → A<sup>m</sup><sub>ik</sub> built out of frontions m V (ie IK[V]), so we'll need m-tuples of elements on K[V] to define 4. In short: 4 ← K(V)<sup>m</sup>.

(9) Niewing 
$$K[w]$$
 as maps  $W \longrightarrow H'_{iK}$  &  $K[v]$  as maps  $V \longrightarrow H'_{iK}$   
We see that any  $g \in K[w]$  should give us an element of  $K[v]$  via  
 $V \xrightarrow{\varphi} W \xrightarrow{g} A'_{iK}$   
 $g, \varphi$ 

This will had to a map  $Q^*: \mathbb{K}[W] \longrightarrow \mathbb{K}[V]$  called the pullback In general, newing  $V \longrightarrow W \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}^n_{\mathbb{K}}$  we see that maps  $Q_*V \longrightarrow \mathbb{W}$  come from polynomial maps whose image lies in  $\mathbb{W}$ .

Definition: A polynomial map or morphism from V To W is a map  

$$\Psi: V \longrightarrow W$$
 such that there exists  $F_{1,--}$ ,  $F_{M} \in \mathbb{K}[V]$  with  
 $\Psi(I_{-}) = (F_{1}(P_{1}), \cdots, F_{M}(P_{1}))$   $\forall P \in V$ 

The set of morphisms is denoted by Hom(V, W). <u>Examples:</u> (1) Hom  $(A_{1K}^{n}, A_{1K}^{m}) = IK[x_{1,\cdots}-x_{n}]^{m}$ (2) Hom  $(V, A_{1K}^{n}) = IK[V]$ (3) IF  $V \subseteq W$  is subveriety, then  $inc: V \subseteq W$  lies in Hom(V, W)  $(W \subseteq A_{1K}^{m}) = (\overline{x}_{1}, \cdots, \overline{x}_{m})$   $\overline{x}_{i} \in IK[V] = \underline{C[x_{1}\cdots x_{m}]}$ In particular,  $A_{V}: V \longrightarrow V \in Hom(V, V)$ .

Next, we check our wishlist of projecties for Hm (V,W): <u>Proposition 2:</u> Any YEHm (V,W) is continuous when V&W are endowed with their respective Zarishi topologies.

Suph: IF ZEW is dived , then 
$$P^{-}(Z) = 3 P EV : Fr(P), -F_{m}(P) EZ$$
  
Since W is clock  $M_{MK}^{m}$ , then Z is clock in  $M_{K}^{m}$  is  $Z = U(S)$  for sine  
 $S = {}^{3}S_{1}\cdots S_{F} E = [K(X_{1}, ..., X_{m}]]$ . In particular,  
 $P^{-1}(Z) = V(\Gamma V (\frac{S_{1}(F_{1}, ..., F_{m})}{E(K_{1}, ..., X_{m}]}) = E[K(X_{1}, ..., X_{m}]]$   
So  $P^{-1}(Z)$  is an efficie subscrift of  $M_{K}^{m}$ .  $e P^{-1}(Z) \leq V$ . Thus, it is clock  
in the Zanishi Topology of V.  
Conclusion: pairmage of clock all of W are cloud in V, so P is entimeters. D  
Next, we deak enditions that maps in a collegous must satisfy:  
Proportion 3: (1) IF PEHam(V,W) & VEHam(V,W)  
Scool: (1) Composition of polynomial functions are polynomial functions.  
IF  $P = (F_{1}...,F_{m}) = V = (S_{1}...,S_{S}) = Q \in E[K_{1}W] = K_{1}(Y)$ .  
Then  $g_{1}(F_{1},...,F_{m}) = (Y = (S_{1}...,S_{S})) = Q \in E[K_{1}W] = V = K_{1}(Y)$ .  
(2)  $H = V \longrightarrow V = EHam(V,W)$   
Subscheider is independent in the independent of  $g_{1} \in K_{1}(W]$  is  $F_{1} \in K_{1}(V)$ .  
Then  $g_{1}(F_{1}...,F_{m}) = (Y = (S_{1}...,S_{S})) = Q \in E[K_{1}W] = V_{1}, F_{1}(Q) \in W$ ,  
is the value is independent in the independent of  $g_{1} \in K_{1}(W)$  is  $f_{1} \in K_{1}(V)$ .  
(2)  $H = (X_{1}...,X_{m}) = F = V = M_{K}^{m}$ .  
But nume theorem onlines that the data of a wortherm is puelly using therefore.  
 $\frac{P^{+}S}{S} = g_{0}P \in K_{1}(V)$   
Lemma  $Z : P^{+} : K_{1}(W] \longrightarrow K_{1}(V) = G = K_{1} = A_{2} = A_{1} = A_{1$ 

$$\begin{aligned} &(ii) \varphi^{*}(1) = 1 \circ \varphi = 1 \\ &(iii) \varphi^{*}(\varsigma ) = (\varsigma ) \circ \varphi = (\varsigma \circ \varphi) \cdot (F \circ \varphi) = \varphi^{*}(\varsigma) \cdot \varphi^{*}(F) . \\ &\bullet \text{Next}, \text{ we chick that } \varphi^{*}_{|IK} = \text{MC}_{|K_{j}|K[V]} \\ &\varphi^{*}(\overline{k}) = \overline{k} \circ \varphi = \overline{k} = \text{inc}_{|K_{j}|K[V]}(k) . \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2: If  $V \leq A_{IK}^{n}$  a  $W \leq A_{IK}^{m}$  an affiny subvarieties, then  $\Psi \rightarrow \Psi^{*}$ defines a bijection: Hom  $(V, W) = \frac{\Phi}{2} + \frac{3\Psi}{1K} |K_{IW}| \rightarrow |K_{IW}| |K - algebra homomorphisms F$ 

$$\frac{\sum_{u \ge 0} f}{\sum_{v \ge 1} (u + u)} = (v + u) = (v + u), \quad \forall = (v + u) \in W(u)$$

$$\frac{\varphi^{u} = \tilde{\varphi}^{u}}{\sum_{v \ge 1} (u + u)} = (v + u), \quad \forall = (v + u) \in W(u)$$

$$\frac{\varphi^{u} = \tilde{\varphi}^{u}}{\sum_{v \ge 1} (u + u)} = (v + u) \in W(v) \quad \forall g \in W(u)$$

$$\frac{\varphi^{u} = \tilde{\varphi}^{u}}{\sum_{v \ge 1} (u + u)} = (v + u) \quad \forall g \in W(u)$$

$$\frac{\varphi^{u} = \tilde{\varphi}^{u}}{\sum_{v \ge 1} (v + u)} = (v + u) \quad \forall g \in W(v) = v$$

$$\frac{\varphi^{u} = \tilde{\varphi}^{u}}{\sum_{v \ge 1} (v + u)} = (v + u) \quad \forall g \in W(v) = v$$

• 
$$\oint is surgetive!$$
 Fix  $\Psi: |K[w] \rightarrow |K[v] |K - algebra hummerphism & consider
 $f_j = \Psi(g_j) \in |K[v] |_{fr} all j = 1, ..., m$ .  
Set  $\Psi = (f_1, ..., f_m): V \rightarrow A_{ik}^{in}$   
(laim !:  $\Psi(g) \in W = \Psi g \in V$ , so  $\Psi \in Him (V, W)$   
 $\Im f/We need to show that  $\forall g \in I(w): go \Psi(g) = 0 \quad \forall g \in V$   
For any  $g \in |K[w]$ , we write  $g = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n g^n + I(w)$ . Then:  
(M)  $\Psi(g) = \Psi(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n g^n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \Psi(g_n)^n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n E^n = g(f_1, ..., f_m) \in |K[v]$   
 $\Psi hummervelowers = \Psi(g_j) = f_j: = go \Psi$$$ 

By constantion, 
$$\Psi(\overline{s}) = 0 \in K[V]$$
 whenever  $g \in I(W)$  because  $\Psi(o_1 = 0$ .  
There if  $g \in V$ :  $\Psi(g)(g) = g(f_1(g), ..., f_m(g)) = 0$   
We enclude that  $(f_1(g_1, ..., f_m(g)) \in V(I(w)) = W$ .  
(laim 2:  $\Psi^{K} = \Psi$  so  $\overline{\Phi}(\Psi) = \Psi$ .  
 $\overline{g} f \xrightarrow{K} By (e)$  we get  $\Psi(g) = g(f_1, ..., f_m) = g_0 \Psi = (\Psi^{K}(g)) \quad \forall g \in K[w] . \square$   
Remark. Theorem 2 is what ditermines workhisms between affirm schemes. We define  
schemes by identifying the space with its coordinate ring so  $\overline{\Phi}$  will  
be defined tautologically.  
 $M$  Une constantion is not well-adapted to lead behaviores (eg holomorphic  
bunctions on connected of a subsets of C vs. there defined as all  $\Omega$ ). For this  
reason, we will like to extend the work of a sequelar function to den selects of  
 $M_{M}^{*}$  (or subminities  $W = (h_{M}^{*})$  with supert to the Zoniski Topology. In doing so,  
we'll avice naturally to the work of shares.