

Lecture XVIII: Projective Varieties III

Recall: If $W \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ is given as $W = V_{\text{proj}}(I)$ for I homogeneous ideal of $K[x_0, \dots, x_n]$, then $C(W) = \pi^{-1}(W) \cup \{0\} \cong V(I) \subseteq \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{K}}^{n+1}$ is the affine cone over W . Furthermore, $C(W) = V(I)$ if, and only if, $W \neq \emptyset$.

§1 Projective Nullstellensatz:

Theorem 1 (Projective Nullstellensatz): Assume $\overline{K} = K$ & let \mathcal{R} be a homogeneous ideal of $K[x_0, \dots, x_n]$. Then:

(1) $V_{\text{proj}}(\mathcal{R}) = \emptyset \iff \mathcal{R} \supseteq (x_0, \dots, x_n)^m$ for some $m \iff \sqrt{\mathcal{R}} \supseteq I^0$

(2) If $V_{\text{proj}}(\mathcal{R}) \neq \emptyset$, then $I^h V_{\text{proj}}(\mathcal{R}) = \sqrt{\mathcal{R}}$.

Proof: We prove the statement by reducing it to $C(W) \subseteq \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{K}}^{n+1}$ where $W = V_{\text{proj}}(\mathcal{R})$.

$\mathcal{R} \neq (1)$ is a homogeneous ideal. By Lemma 5, $C(W) = V(\mathcal{R}) \subseteq \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{K}}^{n+1}$.

By construction, $W = V_{\text{proj}}(\mathcal{R}) = \emptyset \iff C(W) = \{0\}$

(1) (\implies) Since $C(W)$ is Zariski closed, the Strong Nullstellensatz implies:

$$I^0 = \mathcal{M}_0 = I(\{0\}) = I(C(W)) = I(V(\mathcal{R})) = \sqrt{\mathcal{R}}$$

(\impliedby) If $\sqrt{\mathcal{R}} \supseteq I^0$ then $W = V_{\text{proj}}(\mathcal{R}) = V_{\text{proj}}(\sqrt{\mathcal{R}}) \subseteq V_{\text{proj}}(I^0) = \emptyset$
 \downarrow Lemma 5 §16.2

(2) We prove the double inclusion. $I^h V_{\text{proj}}(\mathcal{R}) \supseteq \sqrt{\mathcal{R}}$ by Corollary 2.

For (\subseteq) we argue as follows. The strong Nullstellensatz implies $I(V(\mathcal{R})) = \sqrt{\mathcal{R}}$.

Since $V(\mathcal{R}) \neq \{0\}$ we know that $I(V(\mathcal{R})) \neq I^0$.

Now given $f \in I^h(W)$, we write $f = f_0 + \dots + f_d$ where $d = \deg f$. Since $I^h(W)$ is homogeneous, we know $f_k \in I^h(W) \forall k$. Thus, we may assume f is homogeneous.

Since $W \neq \emptyset$ we have $0 = f(\underline{a}) \forall \underline{a} \in W$

Using the fact that f is homogeneous of degree d we conclude that

$$f(\lambda \underline{a}) = \lambda^d f(\underline{a}) = 0 \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{K}^* \quad \& \quad \text{by continuity } f(\underline{0}) = 0.$$

Since $f(\underline{a}) = 0 \forall \underline{a} \in W$, we conclude that $f = 0$ on $C(W)$. Therefore,

$$f \in I(C(W)) = I(V(\mathcal{R})) = \sqrt{\mathcal{R}}$$

□

Corollary 1: If $\overline{K} = K$ there is a 1-to-1 inclusion-reversing correspondence:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{projective subvarieties} \\ W \text{ of } \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{K}}^n, W \neq \emptyset \end{array} \right\} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{I^h} \\ \xleftarrow{V_{\text{proj}}} \end{array} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{homogeneous radical ideals} \\ I \text{ of } \mathbb{K}[x_0, \dots, x_n], I \neq I^0 \end{array} \right\}$$

§ 2. Homogeneous coordinate ring:

Assume \mathbb{K} is an infinite field.

Definition: Given a non-empty projective subvariety $W \subseteq \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{K}}^n$, we define its homogeneous coordinate ring as $S(W) = \mathbb{K}[x_0, \dots, x_n] / I^h(W)$

Example: $W = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{K}}^n$ then $S(W) = \mathbb{K}[x_0, \dots, x_n]$ $I^h(W) = \langle 0 \rangle$.

Remark: Since $I^h(W)$ is homogeneous, we have $I^h(W) = \bigoplus_{d \geq 0} (I^h(W))_d$ where $J_d := J \cap \mathbb{K}[x_0, \dots, x_n]_d$ for any ideal J . We have a graded ideal.
 $=: \{0\} \cup \{ \text{homog. polynomials of degree } d \}$

Thus $S(W)$ becomes a graded ring with grading in \mathbb{N}_0 . Indeed:

$$S(W) := \bigoplus_{d \geq 0} \frac{\mathbb{K}[x_0, \dots, x_n]_d}{(I^h(W))_d}$$

Note: $S_k \cdot S_l \subseteq S_{k+l}$ since $J_k J_l \subseteq J_{k+l} \Rightarrow J = I^h(W) \forall k, l$
 $\mathbb{K}[x]_k \mathbb{K}[x]_l \subseteq \mathbb{K}[x]_{k+l}$

This is the condition for the ring to be graded.

 There are some major differences between $S(W)$ & $\mathbb{K}[C(W)]$

① Although they are the same ring, but in $\mathbb{K}[C(W)]$ we don't consider its grading.

② Elements of $S(W)$ do not define functions on $W \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$

The main issue is $g([a])$ is not well-defined! Even if g were homogeneous, say, of degree d , then $g(\lambda a) = \lambda^d g(a)$.

So $\bar{g} \in S(W)$ cannot define a function on W .

③ The only thing that we can do is to work with rational functions of degree 0, i.e. $\varphi = \frac{\bar{g}}{\bar{h}}$ where $g, h \in \mathbb{K}[x_0, \dots, x_n]$ homogeneous of the same degree & $\bar{h} \neq 0$. (Rational functions are restricted!)

④ $S(W)$ is not intrinsic to W . This graded ring carries information about the embedding $X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$.

Example: $S(\mathbb{P}^1) = \mathbb{K}[x_0, x_1]$ & $W = (\mathbb{P}^1 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2)$ via $(x_0 : x_1) \mapsto [x_0^2 : x_0 x_1 : x_1^2]$
 $I^h(W) = \langle xz - y^2 \rangle$ & $S(W) = \frac{\mathbb{K}[x, y, z]}{\langle xz - y^2 \rangle}$. (Seque embedding)

$W \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$ but $S(\mathbb{P}^1) \neq S(W)$ as (graded) rings ((RHS) is not a UFD, but the (LHS) is, so they cannot be isomorphic as rings)

§3. Homogenization & affimization:

We can view $A_{\mathbb{K}}^n \xrightarrow{\varphi_i} \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{K}}^n$ via $\varphi(\underline{a}) = [a_1 : a_2 : \dots : a_i : 1 : a_{i+1} : \dots : a_n]$

Definition: (1) Given $f \in \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ of degree d , we define the homogenization of f to be the polynomial $f^h \in \mathbb{K}[x_0, \dots, x_n]$ with $f^h(x_0, \dots, x_n) = x_0^d f(\frac{x_1}{x_0}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{x_0})$

(2) Given an ideal $I \subseteq \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, we define $I^h \subseteq \mathbb{K}[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n]$ to be the (homogeneous) ideal generated by $\{f^h : f \in I\}$

Example: $f = 1 + x + y^2 \rightsquigarrow f^h(z, x, y) = z^2 \left(1 + \frac{x}{z} + \left(\frac{y}{z}\right)^2 \right) = z^2 + zx + y^2$

 If $I = \langle f_1, \dots, f_r \rangle \not\Rightarrow I^h = \langle f_1^h, \dots, f_r^h \rangle$

$I = \langle 1+x, y+x^2 \rangle$ $(1+x)^h = z+x$; $(y+x^2)^h = zy+x^2$
 $(-x(1+x) + (y+x^2))^h = (y-x)^h = y-x \in I$ but $y-x \notin \langle z+x, zy+x^2 \rangle$

Definition: Given a homogeneous polynomial $F \in \mathbb{K}[x_0, \dots, x_n]$, we define its affimization with respect to the variable x_k as $F^{(k)} = F(x_0, \dots, x_{k-1}, 1, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n)$

Examples: (1) $F(x, y, z) = z^2 + zx + y^2 \rightsquigarrow F^{(3)} = 1 + x + y^2$

$$(2) F(x, y, z) = x^2z + zy^2 \quad \leadsto \quad f^{(3)} = x^2 + y \quad \& \quad (F^{(3)})^h = x^2 + zy \neq \bar{F}$$

Natural Questions:

① Given $V = V(f_1, \dots, f_m) \subseteq \mathbb{K}[x_0, \dots, \hat{x}_k, \dots, x_n]$

Q: What is $V \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n \simeq U_k \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$?

A: $V = V_{\text{proj}}(f_1^h, \dots, f_m^h) \cap U_k$

⚠ It is not necessarily true that \bar{V} in \mathbb{P}^n equals $V_{\text{proj}}(f_1^h, \dots, f_m^h)$
 \hookrightarrow Zariski topology

Q2: How do we compute \bar{V} in \mathbb{P}^n ?

② Given $W = V_{\text{proj}}(F_1, \dots, F_m)$ where F_1, \dots, F_m are homogeneous.

Q: What equations cut out $W \cap U_k$?

A: We homogenize the equations! Thus, $W \cap U_k = V(F_1^{(k)}, \dots, F_m^{(k)})$

$$F_i^{(k)} \in \mathbb{K}\left[\frac{x_0}{x_k}, \dots, \hat{1}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{x_k}\right]$$