Lecture XXV : Abstract Varieties II

- . Recall: (X, O_X) is a prevariety if it has a finite open come by affine varieties $3\xi_i^{(1)}$ (with the endition (Z_i, O_{Z_i}) satisfies $O_{Z_i} := O_X|_{Z_i}$ $(Z_i \subseteq X$ open)) . Name: O_X sheaf of regular functions on X
- . Lemma : (1) Open a closed subsets of premieties are presenties a the inclusion map is a morphism of prevenieties

(2) Paramieties can be gened along inverteble maps a opens $U_{ij} \xrightarrow{h_{ij}} U_{ji}$ satisfying competibility enditions: $F_{ik} = F_{jk} \circ F_{ij}$ on $U_{ij} \cap U_{ik}$ $\forall i,j,k$) $\hat{\chi}_{i}^{i} = f_{ij}^{i} \hat{\chi}_{j}^{i}$ <u>Ex:</u> \mathbb{P}^{1} , <u>my</u> affine or projective miety.

31. Products of Primities:

Next, we show that the product of z privarieties (defined via universal proferty), is a prevariety.

Remark: We use the universal property as opposed to working with covers $3U_i \{ j \in I \} \times 3V_i \{ j \in I \}$ of Y by affine varieties to define $(X \times Y, U_{X \times Y})$ by the cover $3U_i \times U_j \}$ to avoid the need to show independence with mapsel to the choice of covers. The universal property gives uniqueness, a the independence will follow from this.

Definition: Fix X, Y z prevarieties. A product of X+Y is a prevariety Ptogether with morphisms $T_X: P \longrightarrow X \in T_Y: P \longrightarrow Y$ satisfying the following uniscusal property: "firm 2 prevalety a maps $F_X: 2 \longrightarrow X$, $F_Y: 2 \longrightarrow Y$, the $\exists ! f: Z \longrightarrow P$ morphism of prevaleties with $F_X = T_X \circ F & F_Y = T_Y \circ F$



Thurem 1: Any 2 prevailities X & Y have a swallet. Furthermore, it is unique up to unique is morphism. We denote it by XXY. (It's topology is NOT the product Top)

Lemma 1: The statement holds for abbine revieties. <u>**Broof</u>: If X = V(I) \leq |A|^* = Y = V(J) \leq |A|^*, then P = X \times Y = V(J + J) \leq |A|^{+m}</u>** with I+J SIK[X, y] ideal. The space P is endowed with the Zarishi Topology, NOT the pavolust topology. Then: $\mathcal{O}_{XXY}(U) = \Im \mathcal{Q}: U \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}_{K}^{\prime}$ regular at every point (9,6) on U} = \Im \mathcal{Q}: U \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}_{K}^{\prime} cont $\|\mathcal{Q}\|_{W \cap U}$ is regular for every ineducible locally man of M of an ineducible component W of XXY we have $\Psi_{V} = \frac{F(K_{y})}{S(K_{y})} \in IK(W) \quad (F, g \in IK(W]), g \text{ nowhere only}$ The mays XXY The XXY The XXY The are the usual projections, obtained by netricting A"× 1A" = 1A"+" > 1A" & 1A"×A" = 1A"+" > 1A". The maps $\mathcal{O}_{x} \xrightarrow{\pi_{x}^{*}} (\pi_{x})_{*} (\mathcal{O}_{x \times Y}) \ll \mathcal{O}_{y} \xrightarrow{\pi_{y}^{*}} (\pi_{y})_{*} (\mathcal{O}_{x \times y})$ have assignments: $\mathcal{O}_{n} \mathcal{U}_{SX} \not\cong \varphi \longrightarrow \varphi_{0} \pi_{x}|_{\mathcal{U}_{X}} \qquad \mathcal{O}_{n} \mathcal{V}_{SY} \not\cong \varphi \longrightarrow \varphi_{0} \pi_{y}|_{X \times V}$ Since l'is uqular & TT_x & TTy are polynamial maps, the empositions are regular maps. Claim 1: (P, TTX, TY) satisfies the universal property. SF/ Set-theoretically 7=XXY, SO FX: Z -> X determine h=(Fx, Fy) Fy: Z -> Y This function is continuous a satisfies $f_X = \Pi_X \circ f + f_Y = \Pi_Y \circ f$. (fis ugular = $f_X = f_Y \circ c_1 regular)$ We need to check & is a morphism. We define f#: Op -> fx Oz $\mathsf{m} \mathsf{spins} \ \mathsf{U} \subseteq \mathsf{P} \qquad (\mathsf{P}(\mathsf{U}) \xrightarrow{\mathsf{f}^{\#}_{\mathsf{U}}} \mathsf{O}_{\mathsf{Z}}(\mathsf{f}^{-}(\mathsf{U}))$ By the small exim, its mongh to build Ft (4) enally mU So we can work with an open cover 3 Ukt & of P & U = hk Sk, hk E [XXY] Sk nowhen O M Vk. • f_{U}^{*} consponds to a pullback map. Indeed : $f_{UK}^{*}(q|_{UK}) = f_{K}^{*}(\frac{h_{K}}{S_{K}}) = \frac{f_{K}^{*}(h_{K})}{F_{K}^{*}(g_{K})}$ a $f^{*}(h), F^{*}(y) \in \mathbb{K}[\mathbb{Z}] \cong f^{*}(\mathbb{S}_{k}) = \mathbb{S}_{k} \circ F$ is nowhere O on $F^{-1}(V) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$. the local definition of if meach spon V agrees in overlaps. • By the definition of 400 p (U), so Plue sene To P.

Then by the stand axis,
$$F^{*}(\Psi_{|V|}) = \Psi_{i}^{V_{i}}$$
 coming the star U give to a sequence map or F_{UV}
Using 2. Uniquence follows by uniqueal peoplety. Istendend argument (Usin 3:in the part of Theorem 1) to
Part of Theorem 1: By Lemma 1, the statement holds for invaluable affirm variation.
- For the yound case, take open cours $SE(E_{in}^{-1} \in SV_{i})_{i=1}^{-1} d X \in Y$, anglethold by the
Affice variables a give the affire products $E(i \times V_{i})$ along the identity uniphrism.
After variables a give the affire products $E(i \times V_{i})$ along the identity uniphrism.
Aftering Constantian $Z \in 24.1$. They precisely, $E(i \times V_{i}) = Z_{V_{i}} \times V_{i}$ are gland along
 $(2i \cap Z_{K}) \times (V_{i} \cap V_{i})$. These rule are open in both $E(xV_{i}) = Z_{K} \times V_{K}$ because
the Zarishi topology is first than the personal topology S . $E(\cap Z_{K} \ is specified
Y i $X \times V_{i}$.
So they are observed to a personal topology S . $E(\cap Z_{K} \ is specified
 $Y_{i} \in V_{K}$.
We let P is the presentity obtained by the planing. The topology of the glaing space
 P is such that $E(xV_{i}) \longrightarrow P$ is or lowed by finitly many opens $Z_{i}N_{i}$, call of these and there variaties
. By construction, set-twoedicables, P is the analy moder mays between affine variaties,
 $Z_{i} \times V_{j} = \frac{\pi_{i}^{(1)}}{\pi_{2}^{(1)}}$. $E(Z) = X$ are angular mays between affine variaties,
 $Z_{i} \times V_{j} = \frac{\pi_{i}}{\pi_{2}^{(1)}}$ a $\pi_{i}Y|_{2\pi V_{j}} = \pi_{i}^{(1)}$ and $Z_{i}XV_{i} > P$ is analogous.
On each often $U \subseteq X$ or define $\pi_{X}^{(i)}(0) : O_{X}(0) \longrightarrow (\pi_{X})_{X} O_{P}(0XY)$
 Ψ is standed by giving $\Psi_{i} = \Psi_{i}$ and to π_{i}^{*} is analogous.
On each often $U \subseteq X$ or define $\pi_{X}^{*}(0): O_{X}(0) \longrightarrow (\pi_{X})_{Y} O_{X}$
 Ψ is standed by giving $\Psi_{i} = \Psi_{i}$ and Ψ_{i} for $X_{i}^{*}(\Psi_{i})$. We obtain the specifies $m_{i}(\Phi_{i})$ by obtain
the affire variations on $(E(\cap U) \times V_{i} V_{i})$. The ensult lies in $[\pi_{X}(U_{i})(V_{i}(X_{i}) \dots 1]$$$

Claim 2: (P, Π_X, Π_Y) satisfies the uninersal property. $\Im F/$ Set -theoretically, $7 = X \times Y$ so $F_X : Z \longrightarrow P$ determine $f = (F_X, F_Y)$ $F_Y : Z \longrightarrow P$ This function is continuous a satisfies $F_X = \Pi_X \circ F = \Pi_Y \circ f$. We need to check f is a morphism a we can do this by restricting to an affine

We need to check f is a morphism a core can do this by restaicting to an affirm ofen over of both Z & ? by ineducibles that is compatible with f.

Set
$$3 \ge_{i \ge V_{j}} t_{i = j}$$
 to be the convertices of affine varieties as in the proof of Claim 1.
Generation
$$f^{-'}(\ge_{i \ge V_{j}}) = f_{x}^{-'}(\ge_{i}) \cap f_{y}^{-'}(\lor_{j})$$

By instantion, they are open in Z ethey core Z, so they are prevenieties by Lemma 1829. • Since $F_X \otimes F_Y$ are morphismes, both $F_X'(Z_i) \ll F_Y'(V_Y)$ are prevarieties, so they can be conserved by spens which are affirm varieties. These intersections are spino in affire varieties, which we corn by basic opens. These are affirm varieties. We can break them into their meducible components.

Conclusion:
$$Z = W_1 \cup \dots \cup UW_C$$
 with W_k affine e $f_{W_k}: W_k \longrightarrow Z_i \times V_j \in \mathbb{P}$
By constanction, $F_{W_k} = (f \times |W_k, F_y|_{W_k})$ $f_{X_1|W_k} \longrightarrow Z_i \in f_y|_{W_k}: W_k \longrightarrow V_j$
on ugular maps, so F_{W_k} is a negalar map. Locally, $f^{\#}$ compands to a pullback map; .
as we saw on the proof of Lemma 1.

3F/We let (P'IT'x, T'y) be another product a use the universal property



Symmetrically:
$$p'_{1}$$
 the product p_{1} the formation of p_{1} the product p_{2} the product p_{2} the minimum of p_{2} the product p_{2} the minimum of p_{2} the product p_{2} the minimum of p_{2} the product p_{2} the pro

Dreadery: UN A' × A' ∩
$$\Delta_{K^{K}} = b(g) \cap \Delta_{K^{K}} = \emptyset$$
 $\iff x - j \mid g$.
But if $(x - g) \mid g$, then $(o_{i}) \notin U \cap [A' \times A']$ $(o_{i} \times A')$ $(o_{i} \times A')$

 $\begin{array}{c} \underline{Gaodf}: (1) & \text{We use the universal projecty of product to build <math>(F_1, \text{id}): X \times Y \longrightarrow Y \times Y \\ & X \times Y \longrightarrow X \\ & X \times Y \longrightarrow Y \\ & X \times Y \longrightarrow Y$

. Y is a variety, so $\Delta y = Y \times Y$ is closed. <u>Conclusion</u>: $\Gamma_{f} = \Psi^{-1}(\Delta_{Y}) = (F_{i}id)^{-1}(\Delta_{Y})$ is closed in $X \times Y$

(z) We proceed in the same fashin :



. Y is a variety, so $\Delta y = Y \times Y$ is closed. <u>Inclusion</u>: $3 \times : F_{1\times 3} = g_{1\times 3} = (F,g)^{-1}(\Delta_Y)$, so it is closed in $X \times X$.