Lecture XXVII: Completences & finite maps I

Recall: X presencety is implete if #Y preveniety we have TZ: X=Y -> Y is closed (x,y) -> y (Here, XXY is indowed with the (Zarishi) top induced by the puraviety structure) Lemma: It is enough to check the case of Y affine ministies (because they are an open ever of any preventity) Thurem: If TK=1K, any projective veriety our K is complete. Poopsition: IF IK=K IZ: PM × PM -> TPM is closed Kemark: Assuming Z=V(F1,...,Fr) = P" x P" is closed with F1,...Fr bitmogeneous plynmials in K[xo,...xm, Yo,...Yn], then TZ(Z) ER" is closed by the Proportion. Nouver: $\pi_{2}(z) = V_{P(o)}\left(\langle F_{1}, \dots, F_{r} \rangle \cap |K[y]\right)$ In other words, we need to eliminate the x variables from the bihouseemous ideal generated by F1--- Fr. This can be done using Gaöbner bases! Not every complete veniety is projective (the Hirmaka venietz, which is a smooth 3-fold) \$1. Nou n complete varieties. .Here is a key projecty of complete varieties: Theorem 1: Let F: X -> Y be a morphism of prevaieties. Assume Y is a veniety IF X is complete, then F(X) is a complete choud subvariety of Y. <u>Brook:</u> Since X is couplete, we know that The : XXY -> Y is closed. We prove the statement in 2 parts: (laim1: f(x) ⊆ Y is closed, so f(x) is a variety by Lemma 3 § 25.2 $3f/since f(x) = T_z(\Gamma_f)$ where $\Gamma_f = srath of f in XxY$ $= \langle (x, f_{(x)}) | x \in X \} \leq X \times \langle ,$ it suffices to show that I' is cloud in XXY. This is true because Y is a

Uaim 2:
$$f(x) \in Y$$
 is complete.
 $3f/$ We check that $f(x)$ satisfies the definition. By Lemma 2 \$25.2, if is
cusugh to consider products with affine varieties Y' .

Fix Y'an affine variety a set T'_{2} : $F(x) \times Y' \longrightarrow Y'$. We want to show that $T'_{2}(z) \leq Y$ is closed whenever $Z \leq F(x) \times Y'$ is closed.

To do this, we ansider the maps:

$$\Psi = (F, id): X \times Y' \longrightarrow Y \times Y' \qquad \text{and} \quad X \times Y' \longrightarrow Y'$$

They are related to TI2' via the commutative diagram ;



Then
$$\mathbb{T}'_{2}(z) = \mathbb{T}'_{0} \Psi(\Psi'_{2}) = \mathbb{T}_{2}(\Psi'_{2})$$
 is cloud in Υ' because
X is complete.

Remark: We only need X to be a complete principly & Y to be a mining base Corollary 1: If TK = IK & X, Y are projective recieties. Then, for any negative map $f: X \longrightarrow Y$ we have that $F(X) \subseteq Y$ is closed (i.e., we don't need to take closen of the image to get a projective variety!)

$$\begin{array}{l} \underbrace{ \operatorname{brollary} Z: Assum X is a connected conflict pressure ty . Then $\mathcal{O}_{X}(X) = K$, it every global regular function $M X$ is constant. In particular, $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{N}}^{n}}(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{K}}^{n}) = \mathbb{K}$

$$\begin{array}{l} \underbrace{\operatorname{Brooh}: & \operatorname{Pich} & \mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{O}_{X}(X) & \text{We get a regular map} & X \xrightarrow{\Psi} \to A_{\mathbb{N}}^{1} & (\operatorname{Brally} \\ \operatorname{rational}) & \operatorname{Charing demonimators will give as a map to \mathbb{R}^{1} , since locally $\mathcal{P} = \frac{F}{8} \times \mathbb{R}^{1} \times$$$$$

By Theorem 1,
$$\operatorname{Trn} \mathbb{P} \subseteq \mathbb{V} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{1}$$
 is closed. Then, $\operatorname{In} \mathbb{P}$ is a finite set
(it is project).
P is antimumo a X is connected so $\operatorname{Im} \mathbb{P} = \operatorname{Im} \mathbb{P}$ is connected.
Crachesin: \mathbb{P} is a pt (connected + finite \Rightarrow a simpletin), it \mathbb{P} is constant.
When $X = \mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{R}}^{n}$, Theorem 1 § 26.1 increas X is complete prevailely.
Levelleng 3: Let $X = \mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{R}}^{n}$ be an increasible projective variety over $\mathbb{R} = \mathbb{K}$ with $|X| \ge 2$.
Then, for any knowed programmed $\mathbb{P} \in \mathbb{K}[X_{0}, ..., X_{n}]$ of \mathbb{P}^{n} the degree, we have
 $X \cap \mathbb{V}_{POS}^{(\mathbb{P})} \neq \emptyset$.
Remark: The statement fails for $|X| \le 1$: given any $(\in \mathbb{P}^{n}]$ we can find \exists with
 $\mathbb{P} \notin \mathbb{V}_{POS}^{(\mathbb{P})}(\mathbb{T})$. The point of the coollary is that we cannot do two if $|X| \ge 2$.
Baob:: Pick two distinct pts $\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q} \in X$. Given $d = \log \mathbb{F} > 0$ we can find
 $G \in \mathbb{K}[X_{0}, ..., X_{n}]_{3}$ with $G(\mathbb{P}) = 0$ a $G(\mathbb{Q}) \neq 0$ leg $G(\mathbb{Q}) = 1$ is a reformulation
(Remark $\mathbb{P}^{n} = \mathbb{P}$ and $\mathbb{P}^{n} = \mathbb{P}^{n}$ is considered to solving a linear system
of 2 equations (v) $\begin{cases} \sum_{\substack{n \le A_{n} \\ |n| \ge d}} \mathbb{P}^{n} = 0$ in $[\mathbb{A}_{n}]_{n| \ge d}$
 $\sum_{\substack{n \le A_{n} \le S^{n} \\ |n| \ge d}} \mathbb{P}^{n} = 0}$ in $[\mathbb{A}_{n}]_{n| \ge d}$
Since $\mathbb{P} \neq \mathbb{Q}$ and $[\mathbb{P}^{n}]_{n| \ge d} = \mathbb{P}^{n}(\mathbb{P})$; $[\mathbb{P}^{n}]_{n| \ge d} = \mathbb{P}^{n}(\mathbb{P})$, the injectivity of \mathbb{P}_{n}
(Theorem 3 § 22,2) insume that the matrix of coefficients of we have \mathbb{P} and \mathbb{P} and \mathbb{P} and \mathbb{P} is the origination of \mathbb{P} and \mathbb{P} and \mathbb{P} is the origination of \mathbb{P} for \mathbb{P}^{n} .

. We argue by entradiction . Assume $V_{(roj}(F) \cap X = \phi$, ie $F(x) \neq 0$ $\forall x \in X$. Thus, the map $\Psi: X \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{1}$ $\Psi(x) = [F_{(X)}: G(x)]$ is argular (F, G are homogeneous of the same degree & F is nordered to in X) $\notin [0:1] \notin Im P$ By Theorem 1, $\Psi(X) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{1}$ is closed & profer, so $\Psi(x)$ is a finite set of points. Since X is inclucible, then $\Psi(x)$ is also inclucible (Amy dumpoitin $\Psi(x) = Y_{1} \cup Y_{2}$ sines a disampoition $X = \Psi^{-1}(Y_{1}) \cup \Psi^{-1}(Y_{2})$). Thus, $\Psi(X) = pt$. However, $\Psi(P) = [F(P):G(P)] = [1:0]$ & $\Psi(\Phi) = [F(\Phi): 1] = 0$ [$\Psi(X| \ge 2$ ($\pi th: 0$) Corollary 4: Two unres in P²_{IK} intersect.

Remark: This is a particular case of Dégout's Theorem, which will also say how many points of intersection we have!

In order to set the foundations for Leveloping dimension theory for varieties, we need some preliminaries from Commutative Algebra & the notion of finite maps

Recall: Given 2 commutative rings A, B & a ring homomorphism
$$\mathcal{P}$$
, A $\longrightarrow \mathcal{B}$
we can view B as an A-module via $a \cdot b := \mathcal{P}(a) b \in \mathcal{B}$ $\forall a \in A$
 $\forall b \in \mathcal{B}$
Definiting (1) We say \mathcal{P} is finite (or module-finite) if it makes B into a
finitely exercised A-module, ie $\exists b_1, \dots, b_r \in \mathcal{B}$ s.t
 $B = A < b_1, \dots, b_r > = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{r} \mathcal{P}(a_i) b_i : a_1, \dots, a_r \in A$

$$a_{1}, ..., a_{n} \in A$$
 s.t. $P_{(b)}: b^{n} + l(a_{1}) b^{n-1} + \cdots + l(a_{n-1}) b + l(a_{n}) = 0$
 $(k_{u}: P_{(x)} \in l(A)[x]$ is minic)

(4) An integral extension is an integral map 9: A -> B that is injecture.

. Our main interest is in the context of artime varieties a regular maps between them.

As we discussed in \$11.1, we can always assume our nigular maps are dominant.

Fix
$$X \subseteq H_{\mu}^{m}, Y \subseteq A^{n}$$
 (affine) varieties and $Y: X \longrightarrow Y$ a dominant regular map.
We have the morphism of sheares $\Psi^{\#}: \mathcal{O}_{Y} \longrightarrow \Psi_{*} \mathcal{O}_{X}$ or Y induced by yull-back
In particular, neget a ring hummrephism (in fact a hummorphism of IK-algeboas)
 $\Psi = \Psi_{X}^{*}: A \coloneqq \mathcal{O}_{Y}(Y) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X}(\Psi^{-}(Y)) = \mathcal{O}_{X}(X) =: B$
 $f \longmapsto \Psi^{*}f = f_{0}\Psi$

Recall: IF X, Y are inclucible and TK=1K, then by Theorem 1313.1 when

Our objective is to use printeness of Q to study the map Y. Here is a sample statement:

Throrem: Finite morphisms of varieties have finite fibers (ie fig) is finite for all yey.)