

# Lecture XXIX : Finite maps III

Fix  $\bar{K} = K$  & a regular morphism  $X \xrightarrow{\psi} Y$  between affine varieties

Definition: We say  $\psi$  is finite if  $\varphi = \psi^\# : \mathcal{O}_Y(Y) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(X)$  is finite  
 $\mathbb{K}[Y] \qquad \mathbb{K}[X]$  since  $\bar{K} = K$

Lemma:  $\psi$  finite  $\Leftrightarrow \psi^\#_{D(f)} : \mathbb{K}[Y]_{(f)} = \mathcal{O}_Y(D(f)) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(D(\psi^*(f))) = \mathbb{K}[X]_{(\psi^*(f))}$  is finite  $\forall f \in \mathbb{K}[Y] \setminus \{0\}$


Remark:  $\psi$  surjective  $\rightarrow \psi$  is finite

Example 1: Closed immersion  $X \xrightarrow{i} Y$   $\left( \begin{array}{l} (1) i(X) \subseteq Y \text{ closed} ; (2) i: X \rightarrow i(X) \text{ homeo} \& \\ (3) i^\# : \mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow i^* \mathcal{O}_X \text{ is a surjective morphism of sheaves on } Y \end{array} \right)$

We can restrict to dominant regular maps:  $X \xrightarrow{\psi} Y$  finite  $\Leftrightarrow X \xrightarrow{\psi} \overline{\psi(X)}$  is

Advantage:  $\psi$  dominant  $\Leftrightarrow \psi$  is injective.

Theorem:  $\psi$  has finite fibers

 The size of the fibers can vary along  $Y$ . If  $X$  &  $Y$  are irreducible &  $\psi$  is dominant, this number will be constant on a dense open set of  $Y$ .

 Converse is not true!

Example: Fix  $\bar{K} = K$  & set  $\psi: V(xy-1) \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$ . Then:  
 $(x,y) \longmapsto y$

(1)  $\psi$  has finite fibers ( $|\psi^{-1}(y)| = 1$  or  $0$ )

(2)  $\psi$  is not a finite morphism:  $\mathbb{K}[x,y]_{(xy-1)}$  is not a finitely generated  $\mathbb{K}[y]$ -module ( $\mathbb{K}[x] \subseteq \mathbb{K}[x,y]_{(xy-1)}$ )

§1 Note on finite maps for affine varieties over  $\bar{K} = K$ :

Lemma 1. If  $X \xrightarrow{\psi} Y \xrightarrow{\eta} Z$  are finite morphisms of affine varieties, then  $\eta \circ \psi: X \rightarrow Z$  is also finite.

PF/ On algebras  $\mathcal{O}_Z(Z) \xrightarrow{\eta^*} \mathcal{O}_Y(Y)$  are finite &  $(\eta \circ \psi)^* = \psi^* \circ \eta^*$   
 $\mathcal{O}_Y(Y) \xrightarrow{\psi^*} \mathcal{O}_X(X)$

makes  $\mathcal{O}_X(X)$  a finite  $\mathcal{O}_Z(Z)$ -module. □

Here is the second main property of finite maps:

Theorem 1: A finite morphism  $\Psi: X \rightarrow Y$  between affine varieties over  $\overline{\mathbb{K}} = \mathbb{K}$  is closed

To prove this theorem, we will need the following lemmas:

Lemma 2: Given  $\Psi: X \rightarrow Y$  a regular map between affine varieties over  $\overline{\mathbb{K}} = \mathbb{K}$ , write  $\Psi = \Psi_Y^\# : \mathbb{K}[Y] \rightarrow \mathbb{K}[X]$ . Fix an ideal  $\mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_Y(Y) = \mathbb{K}[Y]$  & let  $Z = V(\mathfrak{b})$ .

Then  $\Psi^{-1}(Z) = V_X(\mathfrak{b} \mathcal{O}_X(X)) = V_X(\Psi(\mathfrak{b}) \mathbb{K}[X]) = V(\pi^{-1}(\Psi(\mathfrak{b}))) \subseteq X$ , where

$\pi: \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n] \rightarrow \mathbb{K}[x]$  (if  $X \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n$ ) is the natural projection

Proof:  $\Psi^{-1}(Z) = \{x \in X \mid g(\Psi(x)) = 0 \ \forall g \in \mathfrak{b}\} = V_X(\mathfrak{b} \cdot \mathcal{O}(X)) = V_X(\Psi(\mathfrak{b})) \quad \square$   
 $= \Psi(g)(x)$

We'll need the following un-standard version of Nakayama's Lemma:

Nakayama's Lemma: If  $A \xrightarrow{\varphi} B$  is finite &  $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq A$  is a proper ideal, then  $\mathfrak{a} \cdot B \subsetneq B$  is a proper ideal of  $B$ .

Proof: The result follows by the standard version of Nakayama's Lemma for f.g. modules over local rings ( $(A, \mathfrak{m})$  local ring &  $\Pi$  a f.g.  $A$ -module with  $\Pi = \mathfrak{m} \cdot \Pi \Rightarrow \Pi = 0$ )

We argue by contradiction & fix  $\mathfrak{m} \subseteq A$  maximal ideal with  $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$ . We extend

$B$  into an  $A_{\mathfrak{m}}$ -module via  $A_{\mathfrak{m}} \xrightarrow{\varphi_{\mathfrak{m}}} (A/\mathfrak{m})^{-1} \cdot B \quad \frac{a}{t} \mapsto \frac{\varphi(a)}{t}$

(If  $\Pi_1 \xrightarrow{\Psi} \Pi_2$  is a morphism of  $A$ -modules &  $S \subseteq A$  is multiplicatively closed, then

$S^{-1}\Pi_1, S^{-1}\Pi_2$  are  $S^{-1}A$ -modules &  $\Psi$  extends to  $S^{-1}\Pi_1 \xrightarrow{S^{-1}\Psi} S^{-1}\Pi_2$  morphism of  $S^{-1}A$ -modules).

Note:  $(A/\mathfrak{m})^{-1} B = \{ \frac{a}{t} \cdot b = \frac{\varphi(a)b}{t} \mid a \in A, b \in B, t \notin \mathfrak{m} \}$  is a ring because the equivalence relation defining  $(A/\mathfrak{m})^{-1} B$  is

$$\frac{b}{t} = \frac{b'}{t'} \Leftrightarrow \exists t'' \notin \mathfrak{m} \text{ with } 0 = t'' \cdot (t' \cdot b - t \cdot b') = \varphi(t'') (\varphi(t') b - \varphi(t) b')$$

This ensures that  $(A/\mathfrak{m})^{-1} B$  is a ring.

Claim 1:  $\varphi_{\mathfrak{m}}$  is injective

$$\text{BF/ } \varphi_{\mathfrak{m}}\left(\frac{a}{t}\right) = \frac{\varphi(a)}{t} = 0 = \frac{0}{1} \Leftrightarrow \exists t' \notin \mathfrak{m} \text{ with } t' \cdot (\varphi(a) \cdot 1 - 0) = \varphi(t' a) = 0$$

But  $\varphi$  is injective, so  $t \frac{a}{t} = 0$  in  $A$ . Thus,  $\frac{a}{t} = \frac{0}{1}$  in  $A_m$ .

Claim 2:  $A_m \mathcal{R} \subseteq A_m$  is a proper ideal (since  $A_m \mathcal{R} \subseteq mA_m$ ) &  $(A \cdot m)^{-1} B$  is a f.g.  $A_m$ -module (can use the same generators of  $B$  as an  $A$ -module)

$\Rightarrow$  By the standard version of Nakayama's Lemma, we have:

$$A_m \mathcal{R} ((A \cdot m)^{-1} B) = A_m (\mathcal{R} B) = A_m B = (A \cdot m)^{-1} B \Rightarrow (A \cdot m)^{-1} B = 0$$

However  $1_0 = \frac{1}{1} \in (A \cdot m)^{-1} B$  &  $\frac{1}{1} \neq \frac{0}{1}$  in  $(A \cdot m)^{-1} B$  since  $t \cdot (1) = \varphi(t) = 0$  forces  $t = 0$ . But  $t \notin m$  forces  $t \neq 0$ . Contradiction.  $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1: Pick  $Z \subseteq X$  closed & decompose it into irreducibles  $Z = Z_1 \cup \dots \cup Z_r$ .

Claim 1: If  $\varphi(Z_i)$  is closed in  $Y \forall i$ , the same is true for  $Z$ .

Claim 2: It suffices to show the statement for  $X$  irreducible &  $Z = X \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n$ .

Prf/ By claim 1 we may focus on the case when  $Z \subseteq X$  is irreducible. Since

$Z \xrightarrow{i} X$  is a closed immersion, then it is finite by Lemma 3 §28.3. Thus,  $Z \xrightarrow{\varphi \circ i} Y$

is finite by Lemma 1 above, & we are reduced to the case when  $Z = X$ .  $\square$

As discussed in §28.2, we may also assume  $\varphi$  is dominant. Thus,  $\varphi$  is closed is equivalent to  $\varphi$  being surjective. Recall:  $\varphi$  dominant & finite  $\Rightarrow \varphi$  injective & finite.

Claim 3:  $\varphi$  is surjective.

Prf/ Pick  $y \in Y$  & let  $\mathfrak{m}_y \subseteq \mathbb{K}[Y]$  be the associated maximal ideal.

• We want to show that  $\varphi^{-1}(y) \neq \emptyset$

• But  $\varphi^{-1}(y) \subseteq X$  is closed and  $\varphi^{-1}(y) = V(\varphi(\mathfrak{m}_y) + I(X)) \subseteq \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  by Lemma 2.

Thus, by the Nullstellensatz  $\varphi^{-1}(y) = \emptyset \Leftrightarrow \varphi(\mathfrak{m}_y) + I(X) = (1)$

By Nakayama's Lemma, we have  $\mathfrak{m}_y \cdot \mathbb{K}[X] \neq \mathbb{K}[X]$ . Equivalently, we have

$\varphi(\mathfrak{m}_y) + I(X) \neq (1)$ . Thus  $\varphi^{-1}(y) \neq \emptyset$ .  $\square$

Corollary 1: If  $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y$  is finite & dominant, then  $\varphi$  is surjective.

⚠ Some textbooks use finite to mean finite as  $\varphi = \varphi_y^\#$  finite + surjective.

§2. Geometric version of Noether Normalization for  $\overline{K} = K$ :

• Recall Noether Normalization's Theorem  $\mapsto K$  infinite seen in Lecture 9

Theorem (NN): Fix an irreducible variety  $X \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n$  over  $\overline{K} = K$ . Then,

$\exists r = 0, \dots, n$  &  $u_1, \dots, u_r \in K[X]$  algebraically independent over  $K$ , obtained as generic  $K$ -linear combinations of  $\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_n \in K[X]$  such that  $K[X]$  is integral over  $K[u_1, \dots, u_r]$

This allows us to construct another example of a finite map.

Example 2: By NN  $\varphi: K[u_1, \dots, u_r] \hookrightarrow K[X]$  is finite and

$$u_i = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j \quad \forall i=1, \dots, r \quad \text{with } \text{rank}(a_{ij}) = r \quad (A = (a_{ij}) \in K^{r \times n})$$

Up to reordering columns of  $A$  we may assume the first  $r \times r$  minor of  $A$  is invertible on  $K$ . Thus, the matrix  $\Pi = \begin{bmatrix} A \\ 0 \quad I_{n-r} \end{bmatrix}$  is invertible over  $K$  and induces

an isomorphism  $\mathbb{A}_x^n \xrightarrow{\Pi} \mathbb{A}_u^n$

Note:  $(\Pi^\#)_{\mathbb{A}^n}^\# : K[\underline{u}] \longrightarrow K[\underline{x}]$  because  $P(\underline{u}) \longmapsto P(A \cdot \underline{x}) \in K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$

The resulting composition  $\Psi: X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}^n \xrightarrow{\Pi} \mathbb{A}^n \xrightarrow{\pi_1} \mathbb{A}^r$  where  $\pi_1(u_1, \dots, u_n) = (u_1, \dots, u_r)$  is a finite map. Indeed, by construction

$$\varphi: K[u_1, \dots, u_r] \longrightarrow K[u_1, \dots, u_n] \xrightarrow{(\Pi^\#)_{\mathbb{A}^n}^\#} K[x_1, \dots, x_n] \longrightarrow K[X]$$

corresponds to the inclusion  $K[u_1, \dots, u_r] \hookrightarrow K[X]$  which is finite by construction.

Corollary 2 (NN): Any irreducible affine variety over  $\overline{K} = K$  admits a finite morphism to some  $\mathbb{A}^r$  ( $r = \dim(K[X] | K)$ ) Since  $\varphi$  is injective,  $\Psi$  is dominant & closed, thus surjective.

• Next we'll see this can be constructed for projective irreducibles. To do so, we need to:

(1) extend the finiteness notion for regular maps to abstract varieties over  $\overline{K} = K$ .

(2) prove the corollary with surjectivity condition for projective irreducible varieties.

Note: Corollary 2 will allow us to define  $r = \dim X$

### § 3. Finite morphisms for abstract varieties over $\bar{K} = K$ :

Fix  $X, Y$  two abstract varieties over  $\bar{K} = K$ .

Definition: A morphism  $X \xrightarrow{\Psi} Y$  is finite if for every affine open subset  $V \subseteq Y$  (ie  $V \subseteq Y$  is open & an affine variety), the set  $U = \Psi^{-1}(V) \subseteq X$  is an affine variety (with  $\mathcal{O}_U = \mathcal{O}_X|_U$ ) and the induced  $K$ -algebra homomorphism  $\mathcal{O}_Y(V) \xrightarrow{\Psi = \Psi_V^{\#}} \mathcal{O}_X(\Psi^{-1}(V))$  is finite (ie,  $U \xrightarrow{\Psi|_U} V$  is a finite morphism of affine varieties)

This definition matches the one given for affine varieties, thanks to the following theorem

Theorem 2: A regular map  $\Psi: X \rightarrow Y$  of abstract varieties is a finite morphism if, and only if,  $\exists$  finite open cover of  $Y = \bigcup_{j=1}^r V_j$  with

①  $U_i = \Psi^{-1}(V_i) \subseteq X$  affine  $\forall i=1, \dots, r$ ; and

②  $\Psi_i = \Psi|_{U_i}: U_i \rightarrow V_i$  is finite  $\forall i=1, \dots, r$

Corollary 3: The definition for affine varieties matches the one for abstract ones over  $\bar{K} = K$

PF/ Fix  $X, Y$  affine varieties &  $X \xrightarrow{\Psi} Y$  finite map between affine varieties. Then,  $K[Y] \xrightarrow{\Psi} K[X]$  is finite. We use Theorem 2 & verify conditions ① & ② on a suitable affine open cover of  $Y$ .

Pick  $Y = \bigcup_{i=1}^n D(f_i)$  open cover with  $f_i \in K[Y]$ . Indeed, such a cover exists because  $Y$  is Noetherian &  $\{D(f) : f \in K[Y]\}$  are a basis of opens for the Zariski topology.

Claim 1:  $\Psi^{-1}(D(f_i)) = D(f_i \circ \Psi)$  &  $f_i \circ \Psi \in K[X]$ , so  $\Psi^{-1}(D(f_i))$  is open in  $X$  and an affine variety by Problem 1 HW4. This proves condition ①

Claim 2:  $\Psi_i: D_x(f_i \circ \Psi) \rightarrow D_y(f_i)$  is finite for all  $i$ .

PF/ This is a consequence of Theorem 1 §28.1:  $\mathcal{O}(D_x(f_i \circ \Psi)) = \mathcal{O}(X)_{(f_i \circ \Psi)} \quad \forall i=1, \dots, r$   
 $\mathcal{O}(D_y(f_i)) = \mathcal{O}(Y)_{(f_i)}$

Each map  $\Psi_i^\#(f_i)$  comes from localizing the map  $\varphi$ . Since  $\varphi$  is finite, so is its localization. This proves condition ②.  $\square$

Once Theorem 2 is proven, we get the statements of finite fibers and closed maps for free from the affine case: Indeed these last conditions can be tested on finite open affines.

Corollary 4: Finite regular morphisms between abstract varieties are closed & have finite fibers.









