

# Lecture XXXII: Dimension Theory II

Recall: ①  $X$  topological space

- $\dim(X) = \sup \{ r \mid Z_0 \supseteq Z_1 \supseteq \dots \supseteq Z_r : Z_i \subseteq X \text{ closed and irreducible} \}$
- For  $Y \subseteq X$  closed & irreducible:  $\text{codim}_X(Y) = \sup \{ r \mid Z_0 \supseteq Z_1 \supseteq \dots \supseteq Z_r = Y : Z_i \subseteq X \text{ closed irreducible } \forall i \}$

②  $R$  commutative ring  $\dim R = \sup \{ r \mid \mathfrak{P}_0 \subsetneq \mathfrak{P}_1 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq \mathfrak{P}_r : \mathfrak{P}_i \subseteq R \text{ prime } \forall i \}$   
 If  $\mathfrak{P} \subseteq R$  prime  $\text{codim}_R \mathfrak{P} = \sup \{ r \mid \mathfrak{P}_0 \subsetneq \mathfrak{P}_1 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq \mathfrak{P}_r = \mathfrak{P} : \mathfrak{P}_i \subseteq R \text{ prime } \forall i \}$

Remark: If  $X$  affine variety  $Y \subseteq X$  closed, irred

- (1)  $\dim X = \dim \mathbb{K}[X]$
- (2)  $\text{codim}_X Y = \text{codim}_{\mathbb{K}[X]} I_Y(X)$

Lemma: Fix  $X$  Noetherian topological space &  $X = X_1 \cup \dots \cup X_s$  irreducible comp.

- $\dim(X) = \max_{1 \leq i \leq s} \dim(X_i)$
- If  $Y \subseteq X$  closed irred  $\text{codim}_X(Y) = \max_{1 \leq i \leq s} \{ \text{codim}_{X_i}(Y) \mid Y \subseteq X_i \}$

Lemma: • If  $Y \subseteq X$  is a topological subspace, then  $\dim Y \leq \dim X$

- If  $U \subseteq X$  open &  $Y \subseteq X$  closed irred with  $U \cap Y = \emptyset$   $\text{codim}_X Y = \text{codim}_U U \cap Y$

## §1 Finite morphisms and dimension theory.

Finite morphisms interact nicely with dimension

Theorem 1: Fix  $X, Y$  affine varieties over  $\overline{\mathbb{K}} = \mathbb{K}$  &  $\Psi: X \rightarrow Y$  finite surjective morphism. Then,  $\dim X = \dim Y$ .

Moreover, if  $Z \subseteq X$  is closed & irreducible, we have  $\text{codim}_X Z = \text{codim}_Y \Psi(Z)$ .

Proof: We will use that finite morphisms are closed (see Theorem 1 §29.1)

If  $Z_0 \supseteq Z_1 \supseteq \dots \supseteq Z_r$  is a sequence of closed irred sets in  $X$ , then

$$\Psi(Z_0) \supseteq \Psi(Z_1) \supseteq \dots \supseteq \Psi(Z_r)$$

is a sequence of closed sets in  $Y$ .

Claim 1:  $Z_i$  irred, then  $\overline{\Psi(Z_i)} = \Psi(Z_i)$  is irreducible

Claim 2:  $Z_k \subsetneq Z_\ell \Rightarrow \Psi(Z_k) \subsetneq \Psi(Z_\ell)$  by Lemma 1(1)

Conclusion:  $\dim X \leq \dim Y$ .

. For the other inclusion: suppose we are given a sequence  $W_0 \supsetneq W_1 \supsetneq \dots \supsetneq W_s$  of closed irred in  $Y$ . We want to build a chain

$$T_0 \supsetneq T_1 \supsetneq T_2 \supsetneq \dots \supsetneq T_s \quad \text{in } X$$

where  $T_i$  closed & irred  $\forall i$

$$\bullet \Psi(T_i) = W_i \quad (\text{so inclusions are proper})$$

We use Lemma 6 below to achieve this. Indeed, by Lemma 1.(2) we can find  $T_0 \subseteq X$  closed & irreducible with  $\Psi(T_0) = W_0$ . By Lemma 1.(3)  $\exists T_1 \subseteq T_0$  closed & irreducible in  $X$  with  $\Psi(T_1) = W_1$ . Repeating this argument, we get a chain

$$T_0 \supsetneq T_1 \supsetneq \dots \supsetneq T_s \quad \text{with } T_i \text{ closed \& irreducible in } X \quad \forall i.$$

Conclusion:  $\dim Y = \sup \leq \dim X$ .

. The proof of the 2<sup>nd</sup> assertion is analogous, but now all our chains must end at  $Z$  or  $\Psi(Z)$ , respectively. For this we use Lemma 1.(2) replacing  $X$  &  $Y$  with  $\square_Z$  &  $\Psi(Z)$ , respectively. Since  $\Psi$  is finite  $\Psi|_Z$

Next, we write the statement of the technical results on finite maps that we need. The proof will follow from analogous results for finite ring homomorphisms

Lemma 1: Fix  $X \xrightarrow{\Psi} Y$  finite morphism of affine varieties over  $\overline{\mathbb{K}} = \mathbb{K}$ .

Then (1) If  $Z_1 \subseteq Z_2$  are irreducible closed subsets of  $X$ , then  $\Psi(Z_1) \subsetneq \Psi(Z_2)$  are irreducible closed subsets of  $Y$

(2) If  $\Psi$  is surjective, then given any irreducible closed subset  $W$  of  $Y$ , there exists  $Z \subseteq X$  closed & irreducible with  $\Psi(Z) = W$

(3) If  $Z_1 \subseteq X$  is closed & irreducible &  $W_1 \supsetneq W_2$  are irreducible, closed subsets of  $Y$ , with  $W_1 = \Psi(Z_1)$ , then there exists  $Z_2 \subseteq Z_1$  irreducible & closed in  $X$  with  $W_2 = \Psi(Z_2)$ .

pf/ We may assume  $\Psi$  is dominant, by replacing  $Y$  with  $\overline{\Psi(X)} = \Psi(X)$  (recall finite morphisms are closed) Write  $\varphi = \Psi_Y^\# : \mathcal{O}_Y(Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(X)$

Recall that  $\varphi = \Psi_Y^\# : \mathbb{K}[Y] \hookrightarrow \mathbb{K}[X]$  is injective because  $\Psi$  is dominant.

Claim: Write  $Z = V_x(q)$  for  $q \subseteq K[x]$  prime ideal. Then

$$\Psi(Z) = \overline{\Psi(Z)} = V(\Psi^{-1}(q))$$

$\Psi$  closed

(1) We set  $Z_1 = V(q_1)$   $Z_2 = V(q_2)$  with  $q_1, q_2 \subseteq K[x]$  prime,  $q_1 \subsetneq q_2$  by the Nullstellensatz.

Lemma 2(1) below says  $q_1 \subsetneq q_2$  prime  $\Rightarrow \mathfrak{P}_1 := \Psi^{-1}(q_1) \subsetneq \Psi^{-1}(q_2) =: \mathfrak{P}_2$  are also prime.

So by the Nullstellensatz & the claim we have:

$$\Psi(Z_1) := V(\Psi^{-1}(q_1)) \subsetneq V(\Psi^{-1}(q_2)) =: \Psi(Z_2)$$

(2) This follows from Lemma 2(2) below. Set  $W = V(\mathfrak{P})$   $\mathfrak{P} \in K[Y]$  & take  $Z = V(q \subseteq K[x])$  where  $\Psi^{-1}(q) = \mathfrak{P}$

(3) This follows from Lemma 2(3) below. Set  $W_1 = V(\mathfrak{P}_1)$ ,  $W_2 = V(\mathfrak{P}_2)$  with  $\mathfrak{P}_1 \subseteq \mathfrak{P}_2$  prime. & set  $q_1 \subseteq K[x]$  prime with  $\Psi^{-1}(q_1) = \mathfrak{P}_1$ . Then pick  $q_2 \supseteq q_1$  prime in  $K[x]$  with  $\Psi^{-1}(q_2) = \mathfrak{P}_2$  □

The analogous result for finite morphisms of rings is:

Lemma 2: Fix  $\Psi: A \longrightarrow B$  finite morphism of rings. Then:

(0) Fix  $q \subseteq B$  prime ideal & set  $\mathfrak{P} := \Psi^{-1}(q)$ . Then:  $q \subseteq B$  is max  $\Leftrightarrow \mathfrak{P} \subseteq A$  is max.

(1) [Incomparability] If  $q_1 \subsetneq q_2$  are prime ideals of  $B$ , then  $\Psi^{-1}(q_1) \subsetneq \Psi^{-1}(q_2)$

(2) [Lying Over] If  $\Psi$  is injective, then  $\forall \mathfrak{P} \subseteq A$  prime ideal, we can find  $q \subseteq B$  prime with  $\Psi^{-1}(q) = \mathfrak{P}$ .

(3) [Going-Up] Given  $\mathfrak{P}_1 \subseteq \mathfrak{P}_2$  prime ideals in  $A$  & a prime  $q_1 \subseteq B$  with  $\Psi^{-1}(q_1) = \mathfrak{P}_1$   $\exists$  prime ideal  $q_2 \subseteq B$  with  $q_1 \subseteq q_2$  &  $\Psi^{-1}(q_2) = \mathfrak{P}_2$ .

Pictorially:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} q_1 & \subseteq & q_2 & \text{in } B \\ | & & | & \\ \Psi^{-1}(q_1) = \mathfrak{P}_1 & \subseteq & \mathfrak{P}_2 = \Psi^{-1}(q_2) & \text{in } A \end{array}$$

Proof: (0) By construction we have an induced map  $\bar{\varphi} : A/\mathfrak{p} \longrightarrow B/\mathfrak{q}$

Furthermore,  $\bar{\varphi}$  is a finite & injective map ( $\mathfrak{p} = \varphi^{-1}(\mathfrak{q})$ ) between domains.

Claim:  $A = A/\mathfrak{p}$  is a field  $\iff B = B/\mathfrak{q}$  is a field.

Sf/ ( $\Leftarrow$ ) Fix  $u \in A \setminus \mathfrak{p}$  & let  $b = \frac{1}{\varphi(u)} \in B'$ . Since  $B' | A'$  is integral

$\exists n \in \mathbb{N}$  &  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A'$  with  $b^n + \varphi(a_1)b^{n-1} + \dots + \varphi(a_n) = 0$  in  $B'$

then  $\left(\frac{1}{\varphi(u)}\right)^n + \varphi(a_1)\left(\frac{1}{\varphi(u)}\right)^{n-1} + \dots + \varphi(a_n) = 0$  yields

$$\frac{1}{\varphi(u)} + \varphi(a_1) + \varphi(a_2)\varphi(u) + \dots + \varphi(a_n)\varphi(u)^{n-1} = 0$$

ie  $\frac{1}{\varphi(u)} = -\varphi(a_1 + a_2u + \dots + a_nu^{n-1}) \in \varphi(A)$

Then  $\frac{1}{u} = a_1 + a_2u + \dots + a_nu^{n-1}$  in  $A$  by the injectivity of  $\varphi$ .

( $\Rightarrow$ ) Assume  $A'$  is a field & pick  $b \in B' \setminus \mathfrak{p}$ . Since  $B' | A'$  is integral, then  $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}$  &  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A'$  with  $b^n + \varphi(a_1)b^{n-1} + \dots + \varphi(a_n) = 0$  in  $B'$   
(minimal)

By minimality &  $b \neq 0$  we have  $\varphi(a_n) \neq 0$  ie  $a_n \neq 0$ .

We write  $0 = b^n + \varphi(a_1)b^{n-1} + \dots + \varphi(a_n) = b(b^{n-1} + \varphi(a_1)b^{n-2} + \dots + \varphi(a_1)) + \varphi(a_n)$

equivalently:  $1 = b \underbrace{\left(-\varphi\left(\frac{1}{a_n}\right)(b^{n-1} + \varphi(a_1)b^{n-2} + \dots + \varphi(a_n))\right)}_{\in B}$  in  $B$

Thus,  $b \in B$  is invertible. □

(1) Note:  $\exists \mathfrak{q} \in B$  prime with  $\varphi^{-1}(\mathfrak{q}) \in \mathcal{P} \left\{ \xleftrightarrow{1 \cdot b^{-1}} \right\} \tilde{\mathfrak{q}} \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{p}} = A_{\mathfrak{p}}/B$  prime with  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{p}} \subseteq \tilde{\mathfrak{q}}$

$$\mathfrak{q} \longmapsto \mathfrak{q} B_{\mathfrak{p}} / \mathcal{P} B_{\mathfrak{p}}$$

(Localization is exact)

• Since  $\varphi$  is finite the induced morphism  $\varphi_{\mathfrak{p}} : A_{\mathfrak{p}} \longrightarrow B_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is finite

& so is  $\bar{\varphi}_{\mathfrak{p}} : \underbrace{A_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathcal{P}A_{\mathfrak{p}}}_{\text{field}} \longrightarrow \frac{B_{\mathfrak{p}}}{\mathcal{P}B_{\mathfrak{p}}} = B \otimes_A A_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathcal{P}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$

Given  $\mathfrak{q}_1$  &  $\mathfrak{q}_2$  as in (1), assume  $\varphi^{-1}(\mathfrak{q}_1) = \mathfrak{p} = \varphi^{-1}(\mathfrak{q}_2)$  By (0) we have that  $\mathfrak{q}_1 B_{\mathfrak{p}} / \mathcal{P} B_{\mathfrak{p}}$  &  $\mathfrak{q}_2 B_{\mathfrak{p}} / \mathcal{P} B_{\mathfrak{p}}$  are maximal ideals of  $\frac{B_{\mathfrak{p}}}{\mathcal{P} B_{\mathfrak{p}}}$

However  $\mathfrak{q}_1 \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{P}} / \mathcal{P} \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{P}} \not\subseteq \mathfrak{q}_2 \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{P}} / \mathcal{P} \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{P}}$  by Note above, so this cannot happen!

(2) By construction, we know  $B$  is a f.g.  $A$ -module, so  $B_{\mathcal{P}}$  is a f.g.  $A_{\mathcal{P}}$  mod  $0 \neq A_{\mathcal{P}} \hookrightarrow A_{\mathcal{P}} B =: \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{P}}$  so  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{P}} \neq 0$ .

By Nakayama's Lemma (Lecture §29.1)  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{P}} \neq \mathcal{P} \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{P}}$

Now  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{P}} / \mathcal{P} \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{P}} \neq 0$  so it contains a prime ideal  $\tilde{\mathfrak{q}} \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{P}} / \mathcal{P} \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{P}}$ .

By the note:  $\tilde{\mathfrak{q}} = \mathfrak{q} \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{P}} / \mathcal{P} \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{P}}$  for some  $\mathfrak{q} \subseteq B$  prime ideal with  $\varphi^{-1}(\mathfrak{q}) = \mathcal{P}$ .

(3) Fix  $\mathcal{P}_1, \mathcal{P}_2, \mathfrak{q}_1$  as in the statement & consider the induced morphism of rings  $\bar{\varphi}: A/\mathcal{P}_1 \longrightarrow B/\mathfrak{q}_1$


• Since  $\varphi(\mathfrak{q}_1) = \mathcal{P}_1$ ,  $\bar{\varphi}$  is injective

•  $\bar{\varphi}$  is finite because  $\varphi$  is finite

Applying (2)  $\exists \tilde{\mathfrak{q}}_2 \subseteq B/\mathfrak{q}_1$  prime with  $\bar{\varphi}(\tilde{\mathfrak{q}}_2) = \mathcal{P}_2/\mathcal{P}_1$

By construction  $\tilde{\mathfrak{q}}_2 = \mathfrak{q}_2/\mathfrak{q}_1$  with  $\mathfrak{q}_2$  prime in  $B$  &  $\varphi^{-1}(\mathfrak{q}_2) = \mathcal{P}_2$ .  $\square$

## §2 Properties of Krull dimension:

 Noetherianness of a ring and finite dimension are not related, although it's hard to find examples where only one of these properties fails.

Example 1 (Nagata)  $A = \mathbb{K}[x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}]$  & take an increasing sequence  $m_1, m_2, \dots$  with  $m_{i+1} - m_i > m_i - m_{i-1} \quad \forall i$  Set  $m_0 = 0$ .

Take  $\mathcal{P}_i = (x_{m_i+1}, \dots, x_{m_{i+1}})$  & set  $S = A \setminus \bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{P}_i$

(1)  $S$  is multiplicatively closed

(2)  $R = S^{-1}A$  is Noetherian

(3)  $S^{-1}\mathcal{P}_i \subseteq R$  has codimension  $m_{i+1} - m_i \xrightarrow{i \rightarrow \infty} \infty$

Conclude:  $R$  is Noetherian &  $\dim R = \infty$

Example 2  $R = \mathbb{K}[x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}] / (x_n^2 : n \in \mathbb{N})$  is not Noetherian, but  $\dim R = 0$

Lemma 3: For every  $\mathcal{P} \subseteq R$  prime we have:

(1)  $\text{codim}_R \mathcal{P} = \dim R_{\mathcal{P}}$       (2)  $\dim R/\mathcal{P} + \text{codim}_R \mathcal{P} \leq \dim R$

Proof: (1)  $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}} \subseteq R_{\mathcal{P}} \iff \mathcal{Q} = \tilde{\mathcal{Q}} \cap R \subseteq R$  prime ideal containing  $\mathcal{P}$

(2) Any pair of chains  $\mathcal{P}_0 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq \mathcal{P}_r = \mathcal{P}$  of primes in  $\mathcal{P}$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \exists \mathcal{Q}_0 = \mathcal{Q}_0 \subseteq \dots \subseteq \mathcal{Q}_s & & \text{---} R/\mathcal{P} \\ \uparrow & & \\ R/\mathcal{P} \text{ domain} & & \end{array}$$

produce a chain  $\mathcal{P}_0 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq \mathcal{P}_r = \mathcal{P} = \pi^{-1}(\mathcal{Q}_0) \subsetneq \pi^{-1}(\mathcal{Q}_1) \subsetneq \dots \subseteq \pi^{-1}(\mathcal{Q}_s)$   
of prime ideals of  $R$  of length  $r+1+s = r+s+1$  where  $\pi: R \rightarrow R/\mathcal{P}$

Taking  $\sup_{r,s}$  gives  $\text{codim}_R \mathcal{P} + \dim R/\mathcal{P} \leq \dim R$ .

Corollary 1: Given  $X$  affine variety &  $Y \subseteq X$  irreducible affine variety, we have  
 $\dim X \geq \dim Y + \text{codim}_X Y$ .

Remarks: (1) The equality need not hold in examples  $X = \begin{array}{c} \swarrow \quad \searrow \\ \square \\ \swarrow \quad \searrow \end{array} \leftarrow Y$        $\dim X = 2$   
 $\dim Y = 1$   
 $\text{codim}_X Y = 0$

(2) However, if  $R = K[x]$  has the property that every maximal chain of prime ideals has the same dimension, then we would have  $=$  in the Corollary.  
This is precisely what happens for  $X = A^n$ !