

Lecture XXXIV: Dimension Theory IV

Last Time: we discussed the following 2 theorems:

Theorem 1 (Dimension of Polynomial Rings)

Fix K any field (not necessarily algebraically closed) & $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

(1) $\dim K[x_1, \dots, x_n] = n$

(2) All maximal chains of prime ideals in $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ have length n .
(w.r.t. inclusion)

Theorem 2 (Krull's Principal Ideal Theorem) Let R be Noetherian ring & let $a \in R$

Then, every minimal prime ideal \mathcal{P} over (a) satisfies $\text{codim}_R \mathcal{P} \leq 1$.

§1 More on Krull's Principal Ideal Theorem:

Corollary 1: Let R be Noetherian ring & $a \in R$ be a non-zero divisor. Then for

every minimal prime ideal \mathcal{P} over (a) we have $\text{codim}_R \mathcal{P} = 1$.

Proof: We use the characterization of associated primes of (0) from Lecture 6. Let

$\mathcal{P}_1, \dots, \mathcal{P}_r$ be the minimal primes of R over (0) . By Proposition 2 §6.3:

$$\mathcal{P}_i = \sqrt{(0 : b_i)} \quad \text{for some } b_i \in R \setminus \{0\}$$

($b_i \neq 0$ because \mathcal{P}_i is a proper ideal of R)

Claim 1: a non-zero divisor $\Rightarrow a \notin \mathcal{P}_i$ for all $i=1, \dots, r$

PF/ Otherwise, $a \in \sqrt{(0 : b_i)}$ for some i . Thus $a^m b_i = 0$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$

Picking m minimal we see $a^m b_i = a (\underbrace{a^{m-1} b_i}_{\neq 0}) = 0$ forcing a to be

a zero-divisor. Contradiction! \square

Claim 2: \mathcal{P} is not a minimal prime of R

PF/ $a \in \mathcal{P}$, $a \notin \mathcal{P}_i$ $\forall i=1, \dots, r$. & $\text{Min}(R) = \{\mathcal{P}_1, \dots, \mathcal{P}_r\}$. \square

Thus $\mathcal{P}_i \not\subseteq \mathcal{P}$ for some i . Therefore $\text{codim}_R \mathcal{P} \geq 1$.

By Krull's PID we have $\text{codim}_R \mathcal{P} \leq 1$, so equality holds.

Example: ① $R = \mathbb{R}[x, y] / (x^2 + y^2 - 1)$ $(x^2 + y^2 + 1)$ is a non-zero divisor in $\mathbb{R}[x, y]$

$$\dim R = \dim \mathbb{R}[x, y] - \text{codim}_{\mathbb{R}[x, y]} (x^2 + y^2 + 1) = 2 - 1 = 1.$$

↓
Thm 1 (2) &
 $(x^2 + y^2 - 1)$ is prime

↓
Theorem 1
Corollary!
 $(x^2 + y^2 + 1)$ is minimal prime over $x^2 + y^2 + 1$
 $= 2 - 1 = 1$

② Same idea gives: $\dim \mathbb{R}[x, y] / (x^2 + y^2 + 1)$

But $V(x^2 + y^2 + 1) = \emptyset$ in $A^2_{\mathbb{R}}$. This is why we need to restrict to affine or quasi-affine varieties over $\overline{\mathbb{K}} = \mathbb{K}$.

Recall: If $X \subseteq A^n$ is a variety over $\overline{\mathbb{K}} = \mathbb{K}$, fix $I(X) \subseteq \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$

We know it is a radical ideal. How to relate the components of X with primes over $I(X)$?

Proposition 1: The irreducible components of X correspond to the minimal primes over $I(X)$.

Proof: Since $\mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is Noetherian, we consider a minimal primary decomposition

$$\text{of } I(X). \text{ Say } I(X) = \mathfrak{q}_1 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{q}_s$$

Since $I(X)$ is radical $I(X) = \sqrt{I(X)} = \sqrt{\mathfrak{q}_1 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{q}_s} = \sqrt{\mathfrak{q}_1} \cap \dots \cap \sqrt{\mathfrak{q}_s}$. & $\sqrt{\mathfrak{q}_1}, \dots, \sqrt{\mathfrak{q}_s}$ are prime ideals

Thus, we only need to keep those $\sqrt{\mathfrak{q}_i}$ that are minimal primes over $\sqrt{I(X)}$.

$$\Rightarrow I(X) = \sqrt{I(X)} = \sqrt{\mathfrak{q}_{i_1}} \cap \dots \cap \sqrt{\mathfrak{q}_{i_r}} \text{ where } \sqrt{\mathfrak{q}_{i_j}} \text{ are the minimal primes over } I(X).$$

(The fact that we are not missing any minimal prime in the list was seen in Lemma 4 §5.2)

$$\text{Then } X = V(I(X)) = V(\sqrt{\mathfrak{q}_{i_1}} \cap \dots \cap \sqrt{\mathfrak{q}_{i_r}}) = V(\sqrt{\mathfrak{q}_{i_1}} \dots \sqrt{\mathfrak{q}_{i_r}}) = \bigcup_{j=1}^r V(\sqrt{\mathfrak{q}_{i_j}})$$

Since $V(\sqrt{\mathfrak{q}_{i_j}})$ are irreducible, then we see that the irreducible components of X correspond to minimal primes over $I(X)$ \square

Theorem 3 (Knull) Let X be a quasi-affine variety over $\overline{\mathbb{K}} = \mathbb{K}$, and fix $f \in \mathcal{O}(X)$. Then, any

irreducible component Y of $V_X(f) = \{u \in X \mid f(u) = 0\}$ has $\text{codim}_X Y \leq 1$.

Proof: Fix assume $X = \bigcup U \cap X'$ with $U \subseteq A^n$ open & $X' \subseteq A^n$ variety.

$$\text{Set } \mathcal{R} = \mathcal{O}(X) = \mathcal{O}_{X'}(X' \cap U)$$

We prove the theorem by reducing to special cases:

Claim 1: We can assume X is affine.

$\mathcal{R}f$ / Since X is open in X' & $Y \subseteq X'$ is irreducible, Lemma 4 §31.2 yields

$$\text{codim}_X Y = \text{codim}_X \overline{Y} \cap X = \text{codim}_{X'} \overline{Y}.$$

Furthermore \overline{Y} is an irreducible component of $V_{X'}(f) = V_{X'}(f)$. □

Claim 2: We can assume X is irreducible

pf/ If $X = X_1 \cup \dots \cup X_s$, then $V_X(f) = \bigcup_{i=1}^s V_{X_i}(f)$

If Y is a component of $V_X(f) \subseteq X$, then $Y \subseteq X_i$ for some $i=1, \dots, s$

($Y = \bigcup_{i=1}^s (Y \cap X_i)$ \implies $Y \cap X_i = Y$ for some i) So $Y \subseteq V_{X_i}(f)$.

By Lemma 5 §3.2 $\text{codim}_X Y = \max_{1 \leq i \leq s} \{ \text{codim}_{X_i}(Y) : Y \subseteq X_i \}$

If $\text{codim}_{X_i}(Y) \leq 1 \forall i$ with $Y \subseteq X_i$, the same will be true for $\text{codim}_X Y$.

Now, we consider $R = \mathcal{O}_X = \mathbb{K}[X] = \frac{\mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]}{I(X)}$ if $X \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n$.

Thus, R is a Noetherian ring

• By Proposition 1, any irreducible component Y of $V_X(f)$ corresponds to a prime ideal $I_X(Y)$ of R minimal over f .

• By Krull's Principal Ideal theorem: $\text{codim}_Y X = \text{codim}_R I_X(Y) \leq 1$.

Corollary 2: Let X be a quasi-affine variety over $\overline{\mathbb{K}} = \mathbb{K}$, and fix $f \in \mathcal{O}(X)$. Assume f is a non-zero divisor. Then, every irreducible component Y of $V_X(f)$ has $\text{codim}_X Y = 1$.

pf/ Follow the proof of Theorem 3 & use Corollary 1, instead of Krull's PI Theorem.

Corollary 3: Let X be a quasi-affine variety over $\overline{\mathbb{K}} = \mathbb{K}$, and fix $f_1, \dots, f_r \in \mathcal{O}(X)$. Then, any irreducible component Y of $V_X(f_1, \dots, f_r)$ has $\text{codim}_X Y \leq r$.

Proof: Induction on r . • Base case: $r=1$ is Theorem 3.

• Inductive Step We can follow the same reductions as in the proof of Theorem 3 & assume X is irreducible & affine.

Set $X' = X \cap V(f_1, \dots, f_{r-1})$ Then, $V_{X'}(f_r) = V_X(f_1, \dots, f_r)$

Any irreducible component Y of $V_{X'}(f_r)$ has $\text{codim}_{X'} Y \leq 1$ by Theorem 3

But $X' = V_X(f_1, \dots, f_{r-1})$ & $Y \subseteq V_{X'}(f_r)$ is irreducible forces Y to lie

in an irreducible component Y' of X' . By Lemma 5 § 31.2 :

$$\text{codim}_{X'} Y = \max_{Y' \subseteq X_i'} \{ \text{codim}_{X_i'}(Y) : Y \subseteq X_i' \} \quad (*)$$

where $X' = \bigcup_{i=1}^s X_i'$ is the irreducible decomposition of X' . Pick $Y' = X_i'$ realizing this maximum value.

We have $Y \subseteq Y' = X_i' \subseteq X' \subseteq X \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n$. Furthermore

• $\text{codim}_X Y' \leq r-1$ by (IH)

• $\text{codim}_{Y'} Y + \text{codim}_X Y' = \text{codim}_X Y$ because X is assumed to be

irreducible & every maximal chain of prime ideals in $(K[x_1, \dots, x_n])$ has length n

(We can extend the chain $\mathcal{I}(Y) \supseteq \mathcal{I}(Y') \supseteq \mathcal{I}(X)$ to a maximal one)

Thus, $\text{codim}_X Y = \text{codim}_{Y'} Y + \text{codim}_X Y' = \underbrace{\text{codim}_{X'} Y}_{\leq 1} + \underbrace{\text{codim}_X Y'}_{\leq r-1} \leq r$, as

we wanted to show. □

• We have a partial converse to this statement.

Proposition 2: Let X be an affine variety over $\bar{K} = \bar{k}$. If Y is an irreducible closed subset of X with $\text{codim}_X Y = r \geq 1$, then there are $f_1, \dots, f_r \in \mathcal{O}(X)$ such that Y is an irreducible component of $V(f_1, \dots, f_r)$.

 We should not expect $Y = V(f_1, \dots, f_r)$.

We'll discuss the proof &  next time.

